ENVIRONMENTAL RULES REVIEW COMMITTEE AGENDA

Thursday, January 30, 2020, 1:00 - 3:00 p.m.

Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy Constitution Hall, Lee Walker Conference Room, Atrium Level North 525 West Allegan Street, Lansing, Michigan 48933

ERRC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Attending:

Janet Barlow

Shayna Schupan Barry

Grant Trigger

Daniel Frakes

Dave Maness

Fadi Mourad

Jeremy Orr Eric Pessell

Robert Nederhood (Chair)

Absent:

Helen Taylor

Non-Voting Members:

James Clift, EGLE Jim Johnson, MDARD Kirk Lapham, DNR

Deb MacKenzie-Taylor, DHHS

Clerk:

Dale Shaw

- ERRC Roll Call 1. Dale Shaw, Clerk
- 2. Approval of Agenda Robert Nederhood, ERRC Chair
- Approval of ERRC Minutes from November 14, 2019 Meeting Robert Nederhood, ERRC Chair
- Discussion of the three public meetings/hearings conducted for the Supplying Water to the Public (PFAS) Rules (2019-35 EG) Drinking Water and Environmental Health Division Staff
- 5. Public Comment
- Next Steps in the Rulemaking Process Dave Fiedler, Regulatory Affairs Officer
- 7. Adjournment

Next Scheduled Meetings February 27, 2020 March 26, 2020

·	•	·	-
!			

ENVIRONMENTAL RULES REVIEW COMMITTEE **MINUTES**

Thursday, November 14, 2019, 1:00 - 3:30 p.m.

Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy Constitution Hall, Lee Walker Conference Room, Atrium Level North 525 West Allegan Street, Lansing, Michigan 48933

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Voting Members Present:

Janet Barlow

Shayna Schupan Barry

Tyler Ernst Daniel Frakes Dave Maness

Fadi Mourad

Robert Nederhood (Chair)

Jeremy Orr

Eric Pessell

Helen Taylor **Grant Trigger**

Voting Members Absent:

Mark Fowler (Vice Chair)

Non-Voting Members:

James Clift, EGLE

Kirk Lapham, DNR

Kevin Besey, MDARD

Deb MacKenzie-Taylor, DHHS

Clerk:

Candra Wilcox

1. ERRC Roll Call

The meeting was called to order at 1:04 p.m. Candra Wilcox, clerk, provided roll call. Robert Nederhood, Chair, noted that a quorum was present.

Approval of Agenda 2.

Motion: Dave Maness made a motion to approve the agendas as provided; Eric Pessell seconded the motion. The motion was approved by all members present.

Approval of ERRC Minutes from October 31, 2019, Meeting 3.

Motion: Grant Trigger made a motion to approve the minutes as provided: Daniel Frakes seconded the motion. The motion was approved by all members present.

4. Rulemaking Process Refresher

Dave Fiedler, EGLE, provided a refresher on the rulemaking process. The ERRC can vote to have the rules proceed to the public hearing process; vote that additional review is needed; vote that the rules can return to the normal rule making process; or take no vote today, at which time the rules would default to the public hearing process.

After the public hearing process, EGLE staff will summarize the comments of the public hearing: EGLE can make changes to the rules based on public comments. EGLE will provide the rules to the ERRC after the public hearings, at which time the ERRC will vote on the rules.

5. Discussion of the changes to the Regulatory Impact Statement for the Draft Supplying Water to the Public (PFAS) Rules (2019-35 EG)

Eric Oswald, Director, Drinking Water and Environmental Health Division, provided an update on the Regulatory Impact Statement.

6. Public Comment

Tim Minotas, Sierra Club, urged the ERRC to move forward with the package without further delay.

Alia Phillips, Michigan League of Conservation Voters, stated that there are more than 1.9 million people at risk. So far Michigan has been ahead of the curve in testing; we should be the first in the nation to take action to protect families. Alia is disappointed that the ERRC did not move the rules two weeks ago. Alia urged the ERRC to not delay any further to establish a tough drinking water standard.

Sean McBrearty, Clean Water Action, represents 250,000 members across Michigan. The proposed standards are well grounded in current science and encouraged the ERRC to put the rules in place as soon as possible. There are people across the state right now who cannot drink the water out of their taps; this is a real concern. Michigan residents have been waiting for the development of the rules and hope today that you move it forward to the public comment phase. Sean asked the ERRC to consider the impact PFAS has on families that cannot drink water from their taps, and to vote today to move standards forward or not vote and let it move to the public comment process.

Steve Sliver, MPART, stated that a Citizens Advisory Workgroup was formed from impacted communities across the state. The workgroup recently held a web-based meeting with the members and pointed out the meeting today as a key milestone in moving the rules forward. The workgroup fully supports moving the package forward without any delay.

7. Deliberation on Draft Rules (2019-35 EG)

The ERRC members deliberated on the draft rules.

8. ERRC Vote on the Draft Rules (2019-35 EG)

Motion: Jeremy Orr made a motion to move forward with the regular rulemaking process; Helen Taylor seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken, and the following members voted affirmatively: Jeremy Orr, Eric Pessell, and Helen Taylor. The motion was not passed.

Motion: Eric Pessell made a motion that Rule Set No. 2019-35 EG meets the criteria of the Administrative Procedures Act and should move to public hearing; Tyler Ernst seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken, and the following members voted affirmatively: Janet Barlow, Shayna Schupan Barry, Tyler Ernst, Daniel Frakes, Dave Maness, Fadi Mourad, Robert Nederhood, Jeremy Orr, Eric Pessell, Helen Taylor, and Grant Trigger. The motion passed.

9. Meeting Schedule for the Remainder of 2019 and 2020

Motion: Dave Maness made a motion to approve the 2020 schedule as provided and cancel the November 21, 2019, meeting; Grant Trigger seconded the motion. The motion was approved by all members present.

10. Adjournment

Motion: Eric Pessell made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 2:55 p.m.; Janet Barlow seconded the motion. The motion was approved by all members present.

	-			•
d				
! :				

PFAS Rulemaking: Public Comment Update

Eric Oswald, Director
Drinking Water and Environmental Health Division
Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes,
and Energy

国创业

Public Comment Period: A Timeline

- December 19, 2019
 - Public Comment Period Officially Opens
 - Public Hearing Dates/Locations are Announced
- January 8, 2020: Public Hearing #1, Grand Rapids, MI
- January 14, 2020: Public Hearing #2, Ann Arbor, MI
- January 16, 2020: Public Hearing #3, Roscommon, MI
- January 31, 2020
 - Public Comment Period Officially Closes
 - Deadline for Receipt of Official Public Comments (5:00 PM)

Submit Written Comments by email or US Mail

E-Mail: EGLE-PFAS-RuleMaking@Michigan.gov

Address:

Drinking Water and Environmental Health Division

Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy

Attention: Suzann Ruch

PO Box 30817

Lansing, Michigan 48909-8311

EGY:

Public Comment Session #1 – January 8, 2020

- Grand Valley State University, LV Eberhard Center
 301 Fulton Street W., Grand Rapids, MI 49504
- 101 attendees signed-in
- 31 comment cards received/24 public comments heard
- 5 written comments received
- · Event was livestreamed

,

Public Comment Session #2 – January 14, 2020

- Washtenaw County Community College, Morris Lawrence Building 4800 E. Huron River Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105
- 125 attendees signed-in
- 45 comment cards received/40 public comments heard
- 6 written comments received
- Event was livestreamed

Public Comment Session #3 – January 16, 2020

- Ralph A. McMullen (RAM) Conference Center 104 Conservation Drive, Roscommon, MI 48653
- 81 attendees signed-in
- 20 comment cards received/19 public comments heard
- 1 written comment received
- · Event was not livestreamed

Comments To-Date – January 28, 2020

Current Comment Count (Approximate)

- 640 via EGLE-PFAS-RuleMaking@michigan.gov
- 6 written comments via US Postal Service
- 83 oral comments via public hearings
- 12 written comments via public hearings

Overall Engagement (Approximate)

- 307 in attendance at public hearings
- 130 unique IP addresses viewed public hearings via livestreaming

Comment Themes To-Date (Partial List)

- "Michigan must move quickly we cannot delay."
- "Michigan should be a leader in addressing the PFAS contamination crisis, by setting strong standards."
- "The proposed numbers are a step in the right direction but need to change:"
 - "Michigan should take a class-based approach to setting a standard and utilize non-targeted analysis."
 - "Michigan should set a standard level based on combined totals of the various PFAS compounds."
 - "Standards must ensure protection of our most vulnerable populations."
 - · "Standards should be based on the best and most recent science."
 - "The proposed numbers are too high (suggested numbers as low as 1 part per trillion, or even zero)."

-{e}\<u>\</u>=

Comment Themes To-Date (Partial List)

- "Different Point of Departure (POD) values should be used in developing health-based values for certain PFAS compounds."
- "A mandatory review process should be included in the rule, including an update of best-available treatment technology."
- "A more aggressive sampling schedule should be required."
- "Public notice should include a physical component (example drinking fountains)."
- "The Safe Drinking Water Act should apply to additional drinking water supplies, including smaller public supplies and private wells"
- "Affordable testing and treatment options should be made available for private well owners."

Relie

Comment Themes To-Date (Partial List)

- "Michigan's efforts to address PFAS are piecemeal, premature, and misplaced."
- "The proposed rules will have a negative effect on Michigan's economy."
- "Michigan should use interim measures, adopting the equivalent of the US EPA Lifetime Health Advisory, while addressing the sources of PFAS."
- "Michigan should allow the science to catch up with the rulemaking process and use real data."

EGLE


Public Comment: Next Steps

- EGLE will review comments and assess the categories of concern raised, across all comments received.
- The rule may be updated by EGLE, based on the comments received.
- An Agency Report will be created, identifying comments which were the basis for any rule changes, as well as EGLE's rationale for these changes.
- Updated Draft Rules and Agency Report will be sent to the Environmental Rules Review Committee (ERRC), for their review.
- ERRC votes to A) Approve the Draft Rules; B) Approve the Draft Rules with Modifications, or; C) Reject the Draft Rules.

Michigan Department of

Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy

800-662-9278 www.Michigan.gov/EGLE





Sign up for email updates



Subscribe to our YouTube Channel



Follow us on Twitter @MichiganEGLE



MICHIGAN DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES AND ENERGY PUBLIC HEARING

January 8, 2020

Prepared by

Network Reporting — STATEWIDE COURT REPORTERS

depos@networkreporting.com Phone: 800.632.2720 Fax: 800.968.8653 www.networkreporting.com

Let us assist you GLOBALLY for all of your deposition needs.

STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES and ENERGY

PUBLIC HEARING

FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES SUPPLYING WATER TO THE PUBLIC 301 Fulton Street West, Grand Rapids, Michigan

Wednesday, January 8, 2020, 5:30 p.m.

APPEARANCES:

For the Department of Environment, Great

MR. JAMES OSTROWSKI

Supervisor, Training and Outreach Unit

525 West Allegan Street Lansing, Michigan 48909

(517) 284-6870

EGLE PANEL:

Mr. Dave Fiedler Mr. George Krisztian

Mr. Eric Oswald

RECORDED BY:

Marcy A. Klingshirn, CER 6924 Certified Electronic Recorder Network Reporting Corporation Firm Registration Number 8151

1-800-632-2720



1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	PAGE
3 .	
	Statement by Mr. Ostrowski
4	Statement by Mr. Fiedler 4
5	Statement by Ms. Sandy Wynn-Stelt
6	Statement by Ms. Sue Popma
	Statement by Ms. Theresa Chaplin
7	Statement by Ms. Jaime Fleming
	Statement by Mr. Mike McIntosh 20
8	Statement by Ms. Kim Conner
	Statement by Mr. Scott Harvey
9	Statement by Mr. Larry Campbell
	Statement by Ms. Jennifer McKay
10	Statement by Ms. Anne Marie Hertl
4.5	Statement by Mr. Robert Allen
11	Statement by Mr. Richard Rediske
12	Statement by Mr. A.J. Birkbeck
12	Statement by Mr. Andrew Fishback
13	Statement by Ms. Deb Havens
13	Statement by Ms. Lynn McIntosh
14	Statement by Ms. Gail Mancewicz
	Statement by Senator Winnie Brinks
15	Statement by Mr. Andrew Blok
	Statement by Ms. Theresa Emshwiller
16	Statement by Mr. Dan Buyze
	Statement by Ms. Corinne Carey
17	Statement by Ms. Renae Mata
	Statement by Ms. Shannon Donley 61
18	
	Closing Statement by Mr. Ostrowski 64
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 2



1	Lansing, Michigan
2	Wednesday, January 8, 2020 - 6:06 p.m.
3 .	MR. OSTROWSKI: Good evening. The Michigan
4	Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy in
5	partnership with the Michigan Department of Health and Human
6	Services would like to welcome you to the public hearing on
7	the proposed administrative rules rule revisions to:
8	Rule Set #2019-35 EG - Supplying Water to the Public.
9	My name is Jim Ostrowski, and I am the hearings
10	officer for the public hearing and I'd like to take the
11	opportunity to also introduce Eric Oswald, who actually you
12	already met Eric over here (indicating). He's the director
13	of the Drinking Water and Environmental Health Division, and
14	Dave Fiedler, who is EGLE's regulatory affairs officer.
15	There are also several staff from EGLE and the Michigan
16	Department of Health and Human Services available to answer
17	your questions in the resource area outside the hearing
18	room. So if you didn't get a chance to meet them, you might
19	want to do that. They're right outside there. And they
20	will be at the tables for the entire length of tonight's
21	meeting. And also they're going to stick around for a
22	little bit after we adjourn as well, so if you have
23	questions.
24	The hearing agenda, first, we're going to begin
25	with some background information about why we're here, then
	Page 3



1	we will describe the purpose of the hearing and how your
2	comments will be considered. Following that, we'll outline
3 .	the procedures under which we will take your comments and
4	describe what will happen happen after tonight's hearing.
5	And then it'll be time to hear your comments and we'll
6	respond to the majority of tonight's time we will spend
7	the majority of tonight's time listening to and recording
8	those comments.
9	Dave Fiedler will now provide some background
10	information. So, Dave, come on up.
11	MR. FIEDLER: Thank you, Jim. Good evening. The
12	Drinking Water and Environmental Health Division is
13	responsible for ensuring that public water supplies serve
14	water meeting state drinking water requirements. The law
15	governing those responsibilities is the Michigan Safe
16	Drinking Water Act, Public Act 399 of 1976. We are here for
17	a public hearing on the proposed revisions to drinking water
18	standards, water supply sampling, public notification, and
19	laboratory provisions of the administrative rules, called
20	the Supplying Water to the Public, Parts 1 to 28.
21	The proposed amendments to the administrative
22	rules we are discussing today are state specific revisions
23	that go beyond federal regulations. While the U.S.
24	Environmental Protection Agency has established an
25	unenforceable lifetime health advisory level for total PFAS
	Page 4

and PFOA, many academia, health officials, and the Agency
for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry deem this advisory
level is too high or nonprotective. In addition, the lack
of an enforceable standards for PFOS and PFOA and other
commonly found PFAS chemicals impairs EGLE's ability to take
enforceable actions to protect human health and the
environment.

enforceable drinking water standards and monitoring requirements for several per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances, commonly referred to as PFAS. Notable rule revisions include: Establishment of maximum contaminant levels for seven PFAS; establishment of sampling requirements for community and nontransient noncommunity water supplies; public notification requirements for water supplies that exceed a maximum contaminant level or do not monitor as required; criteria for certifying drinking water laboratories for PFAS analysis.

When drafting the proposed revisions, EGLE considered data and recommendations made by the Michigan PFAS Response Team or MPART, MPART's Science Advisory work group, and numerous stakeholders including, but not limited to, environmental organizations, water industry representatives, public health professionals, and citizens groups.



16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thanks, Dave. 2 slides there. Okay. So I got a few more pages to read 3 here, so bear with me. All right. Now, I will explain the rule making process and how your comments will be used. In 5 order for the rules to be adopted, the Department must follow the procedures set forth in the Administrative 6 Procedures Act, Act 306 of 1969. The purpose of today's 8 hearing is to give anyone interested in the proposed rules 9 an opportunity to provide information the Department can use 10 in making possible changes to the draft rules. We are here 11 tonight to receive public input on these proposed changes 12 and intend to better protect public health by establishing: 13 PFAS drinking water standards, PFAS sampling requirements, 14 PFAS publication notif- -- public notification requirements, 15 PFAS laboratory certification criteria.

The Notice of this Public Hearing was published in three newspapers of general circulation: The Ann Arbor News, The Grand Rapids Press, and The Marquette Mining Journal on December 12th, 2019. It was also published on January 1st, 2020 in The Michigan Register, and posted on the EGLE calendar beginning on December 16th, 2019. Copies of the Notice of Public Hearing, Regulatory Impact Statement, and proposed rules have been made available on the EGLE and Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules web sites and upon request.



1 As you came in, you were given the opportunity to 2 fill out an attendance card which I already mentioned. 3 request that everybody fill out a card and indicate if you would like to make a comment. We will use these cards to call upon those who want to make a statement today. And to ensure that the hearing is conducted in a fair manner, we are going to follow these steps. I will call on those who have indicated on the cards that they would like to speak, in the general order in 10 which the cards were turned in. When this has been 11 completed, and if time permits, I will ask if anyone else 12 would like to make a statement. When your name is called, 13 please come to the microphone and speak directly -- that microphone right there (indicating) -- speak directly into 14 1.5 the microphone, and make your statement. If you have 16 written comments or materials that you would like to 17 present, please hand them to me as you come to the table. Before you begin your comments, please state your name and 19 any group or association you may represent. And if you 20 would, please spell your name as well, that way our court 21 reporter can make sure she gets it correctly in the 22 transcripts. 23 We have limited time tonight and want to be fair 24 and allow everyone an opportunity to speak. Therefore, each 25 person indicating they want to speak will be given three Page 7



5

6

7

8

Q,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 minutes to make their comments and I will indicate when you 2 have one minute left. At that time, please begin wrapping 3 up your comments and end within the allotted time. be, I will indicate when your time has ended, so I'll let you know that.

> Please be respectful to others and do not interrupt a speaker. Please understand the purpose of the hearing today is to provide an opportunity to receive and listen to your comments only; the hearings process does not allow for open discussion or questions about the proposed EGLE staff will not be responding to questions or comments during the hearing. However, staff are available in the lobby to answer your questions now and after we adjourn, and they will also be there throughout the hearing as well so if you need to step out and ask questions, you can do that.

So how will the information be used? The hearing is being recorded and your comments will become part of the information the Department will consider when finalizing the proposed rules. You will have until Friday, January 31st to submit your written comments. Your agenda contains instructions on where to send the written comments if you did not bring them with you tonight. So that agenda you received when you walked in, if you look on there there's an e-mail box to send them to. If you need a copy of it, you



1 can get them at the front desk there. 2 Following the close of the public comment period on January 31st, we will review all written and verbal 3 comments and prepare an Agency Report which summarizes who is in favor of the draft rules and who is opposed to them. It will also contain an explanation of what additional changes EGLE will be making to the draft rules. This Agency Report and the final proposed rules will then be sent to the Environmental Rules Review Committee which provides 10 stakeholder oversight of the Department's rulemaking. 11 12-member committee will vote to approve, approve with 12 changes, or reject the rules. If the Committee approves the 13 rules, they move forward to the Joint Committee on 14 Administrative Rulemaking or JCAR. And JCAR is a bipartisan 15 legislative committee made up of five house and five senate 16 members and they will provide legislative oversight of the 17 rulemaking process. They can hold hearings on the rules and 18 can also object or ask the agency to make changes to the 19 rules. If the Environmental Reviews -- if the 20 21 Environmental Rules Review Committee approves with 22 modification or rejects them, they must submit a notice of 23 objection to EGLE explaining their decision. If EGLE cannot 24 resolve the issues, then EGLE submits a written finding to the Governor, and if the Governor agrees with EGLE, then the 25 Page 9



Я

rules proceed onward to JCAR. If the Governor does not agree with EGLE, the rules are withdrawn.

If the rules are not opposed by JCAR, then they will be filed with the Secretary of State and will take effect seven days after being filed. You can follow along the rulemaking process, step-by-step by going to the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules' web site.

Okay. Thank you for your attention and I will now begin calling the names of who have indicated they would like to make a statement. All right. So thanks for hanging in there everybody. So I am going to begin calling off names and I've got my phone here to track time so I'm not being rude in looking at my phone, I'm using it for the timer. And like I said, we do five-minute, or three-minute increments and I'll let you know, kind of break in and let you know you have one minute left or I'll give you the one-minute sign just to kind of keep things moving along. And so, yeah, that's how we'll operate. All right.

So Dave's handing me the cards and the first person we have up is Sandy Wynn-Stelt. Sandy, if you want to come on up? Come on down and right in front of the mic. And, again, remember just state your name and if you spell it, I'd appreciate it. And you can -- let me make sure that microphone is on. Sorry.



1	MS. SANDY WYNN-STELT: I got it.
2	MR. OSTROWSKI: Oh, you all right?
3	MS. SANDY WYNN-STELT: I know how to start a
4	party, don't I?
5	MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. All right. There you
6	go. This is really tight here, so
7	MS. SANDY WYNN-STELT: I've got a big enough
8	mouth. I probably don't need it.
9	MR. OSTROWSKI: Well
10	MS. SANDY WYNN-STELT: Trust me.
11	MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. Now we're good.
12	SANDY WYNN-STELT
13	MS. SANDY WYNN-STELT: All right. Hello. My name
14	is Sandy Wynn-Stelt and I am a resident of Belmont.
15	MR. OSTROWSKI: Sandy? I'm sorry. Can you spell
16	your name, too? I'm sorry.
17	MS. SANDY WYNN-STELT: Yes. Sandy, S-a-n-d-y, the
18	last name is Wynn-Stelt, W-y-n-n hyphen S-t-e-l-t. First, I
19	want to thank you all for the work you have done in this
20	issue. I realize that this PFAS contamination kind of came
21	out of nowhere and caught a lot of people off guard and you
22	guys have really stepped up at the state level to work on
23	that and it really is appreciated from everyone.
24	My neighbors and I two years ago set a goal to see
25	the MCLs lowered and for this entire class of chemicals
	Page 11



1 and so I'm really pleased to see the work that has been done 2 in this area and the recommendations that were put out by 3 the science panel. I think addressing more than just simply the PFOS and PFOA is very important and by addressing seven 5 out of the thousands of chemicals in this class is certainly 6 a step in the right direction. The state of Michigan is 7 joining several other states in addressing this issue. 8 you know, others in New York, New Jersey, Vermont, 9 Massachusetts just to name a few are working on their own 10 standards since the federal government seems to refuse to 11 act on this. 12 While I'm concerned that some of the MCLs that 13 have set forth in this rule may not be enough, and that some 14 of the levels are too high, I really think it's important 15 that we move forward with this opportunity while we have it 16 and so I'm very much in favor of these rules. 17 recognize that there's many people who feel that the cost of implementing this is too high and it will be a burden to 18 19 some of the industries and some of the municipalities, but I 20 think it's important that we as a state recognize that cost 21 is measured in a variety of ways. 22 The effects of these chemicals on human health has 23 been established in studies: ulcerative colitis, kidney and 24 testicular cancer, thyroid problems, autoimmune diseases, 25 reproductive issues are just a few, and those diseases Page 12



1	themselves are costly. They're costly in time away from
2	work, in medical costs, in disability claims, and emotional
3	tolls. These chemicals bioaccumulate and allowing higher
4	rates in our waters may place some of us that have already
5	been contaminated at risk. I more than probably most people
6	can attest to the costs that these chemicals can have in
7	your life and a cost that is very difficult to measure in
8	dollars.
9	We need to stop looking at this problem through a
10	short-term risk benefit analysis and recognize that the
11	long-term effects of our decisions. We have an opportunity
12	to continue to be leaders and assuring the health of our
13	citizens and we can start by establishing strong standards
14	for this class of chemicals. This is one step in a right
15	direction. It's one step in a long journey, however. And I
16	look forward to working with all of you in the future to
17	make more changes as we go. Thank you.
18	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. All right. Okay.
19	Thank you. Okay. I'm going to read off who's up next and
20	also who's on deck, that way you can prepare. All right.
21	So the next person coming up is Sue Popma, and after her is
22	going to be Cyndi Roper. So, Sue? And, remember, say your
23	name and spell it for us. Thanks.
24	SUE POPMA
25	MS. SUE POPMA: Sue Popma, S-u-e P-o-p-m-a. I
	Page 13



1	live in Rockford. The proposed state standards for PFAS are
2	certainly much better than the federal guideline level of 70
3 .	parts per trillion, and the state of Vermont has even
4	stronger guidelines than what's proposed for Michigan from
5	what I understand. However, Michigan needs to be the leader
6	in setting the highest standard. We don't need a standard
7	that is a good start at stopping the chemical damages in
8	Michigan. We need to stop the chemical damage. We pay
9	taxes to the government to keep us safe and make good
10	decisions for us. We are depending on you to keep us safe.
11	Please be the leader and set the highest standard. Thank
12	you for your time and attention.
13	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. All right. Okay.
14	Cindi Roper and then who's up next, Theresa Chaplin, yeah.
15	CYNDI ROPER
16	MS. CYNDI ROPER: Good evening. My name is Cyndi
17	Roper. It's C-y-n-d-i R-o-p-e-r. And I'm the Michigan
18	senior policy advocate for the Natural Resources Defense
19	Council. On behalf of our more than three million members
20	and online activists including over 69,000 here in Michigan,
21	NRDC appreciates the opportunity to comment on the Michigan
22	Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy proposed
23	MCLs for PFAS.
24	We laud Governor Whitmer for her leadership in
25	advancing these standards to reduce PFAS in drinking water
	Page 14

rather than waiting for the U.S. EPA to act. And we have a special thanks for the staff at both EGLE and at MPART for the work that they've done to try to address the issues associated with PFAS contamination here in Michigan, and specifically for the rules that have been put forward. However, the Natural Resources Defense Council has serious concerns about the proposed standards. We raised these concerns with the Michigan PFAS Action Response Team after its science advisory work group released the health-based values upon which Michigan's proposed MCLs are based.

We will be submitting detailed comments, written comments, focusing on three major shortcomings within the proposed rules. First, inadequate consideration of the best available current science to ensure the standards protect Michigan's most vulnerable populations like developing fetuses, infants, children, pregnant women, and nursing moms. Two, the absence of class-based regulations that fully protect individuals from all types of PFAS exposure and drinking water, including exposures to mixtures of multiple types of PFAS. And, three, the omission of a total PFAS MCL, requiring water systems that detect PFAS to install water treatment that will remove a broad range of PFAS substances instead of focusing on only a few at a time.

The Natural Resources Defense Council has played a leadership role in advancing solutions to the PFAS crisis



1	through our efforts at the nation's capital and in states
2	throughout the U.S. In Michigan we released a PFAS and
3 .	drinking water report last March and called on EGLE to issue
4	emergency rules with standards that would best protect
5	public health. Although emergency rules were not issued
6	that would have been effective immediately, it was our hope
7	that EGLE would produce MCLs using a class-based approach.
8	This has not happened with the current proposal.
9	MR. OSTROWSKI: One minute.
10	MS. CYNDI ROPER: Given the extensive PFAS
11	contamination in Michigan, EGLE should not attempt to
12	balance industry's acceptance of its proposed drinking water
13	standards against protecting public health. It's time for
14	industry to accept its responsibility for chemical
15	contamination resulting from their successful lobbying
16	efforts that allow chemicals to be released without proving
17	they are safe, that block health protective standards, that
18	protect them from liability for their pollution and more.
19	This is your opportunity to ensure the water we drink will
20	not harm us, especially our most vulnerable populations. We
21	are counting on you. Thank you again for your efforts.
22	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Theresa Chaplin
23	and then Jaime Fleming after that.
24	THERESA CHAPLIN
25	MS. THERESA CHAPLIN: Yes. I'm Theresa Chaplin,
	Page 16



1	T-h-e-r-e-s-a C-h-a-p-l-i-n. And although I live in
2	Plainfield Township, I am not here as a member of that
3 .	community. I'm here as a parent of a child that has
4	suffered with an autoimmune disease for half of her life.
5	And we don't truly know she has lupus for 17 years and we
6	don't know what triggers a flare-up. She's currently in a
7	flare that started in September of 2018 and has not been
8	able to work ever since. So when you want to look at she
9	did not pay taxes for a year and a half. So it's also
10	it's not just the cost of remediation, but what happens with
11	sick people? What is it costing the state? So I commend
12	extremely low levels of limits until we have more science
13	that tells us what triggers some of these things, but I
14	would suggest a provision that would call for the state to
15	periodically review its limits based on science revealed in
16	a period of time, let's say a year, to see if they need to
17	be revised downward. And I also support holding
18	manufacturing organizations responsible for what they
19	discharge into our waste system. Thank you.
20	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. Jaime Fleming,
21	and then Mike McIntosh after that.
22	JAIME FLEMING
23	MS. JAIME FLEMING: My name is Jaime Fleming.
24	It's J-a-i-m-e F-l-e-m-i-n-g. I work for the city of
25	Wyoming as a laboratory manager for the Utilities
	Page 17



1	Department. I am also the chair elect for the Michigan
2	Section of the American Waterworks Association and I'm here
3 .	today in that capacity.
4	I was able to participate in the rulemaking
5	process by the stakeholder listening sections. I
6	appreciated the transparency, active listening, and
7	cooperative spirit on the part of EGLE's representatives.
8	Throughout the conversations it was evident that everyone in
9	the room, no matter the group they represented, was
10	approaching the rules from the perspective of what is in the
11	best interest of public health. The listening sessions were
12	conversational with open dialogue, not just about the rules
13	at hand but also stretching into the tough questions and
14	challenges that remain ahead of us. As for the feedback
15	given on the rules, much of what was brought to the table
16	from the stakeholders and the sessions I attended was
17	reflected in the final form. We understand that it is not
18	possible to create rules that are a perfect fit for every
19	interested group, but the proposed rules appear to be
20	straightforward and follow a format that utilities are
21	familiar with as they utilize existing regulatory patterns
22	for other organic compounds.
23	Additionally, there are key places where the rule
24	language is appropriately flexible. For instance, in the
25	allowable treatment and technologies or for approval of
	Page 18



alternative testing methods. Because our understanding of 1 2 the science is --3 MR. OSTROWSKI: You have one minute. MS. JAIME FLEMING: -- developing alongside these rules and will continue after they are in place, this flexibility is crucial. As many voices have shared, there are some key points that must remain in the forefront of the broader PFAS conversation. Michigan has done great things through the 10 work of MPART. Their efforts have put public health first 11 while being grounded in science. Our understanding of PFAS 12 is unfolding and will continue to unfold well past the 13 implementation of rules for seven of the compounds. It is 14 imperative that we continue to approach PFAS in a science 15 forward way always focusing on what is in the best interest 16 of public health. Protecting public health comes with a 17 price tag and we know that drinking water professionals 18 across the -- across the state take up this responsibility 19 with the utmost of care and dedication. Make no mistake, 20 the additional cost of requirements for monitoring and in some cases implementing treatment is not insignificant, 22 especially for small systems and in the end water supplies 23 must balance competing priorities and most often it falls to 24 rate payers to fund these efforts. 25 As we move forward with these rules and with Page 19



1	others already in place, the avail availability of
2	funding sources must continue to be an ongoing important
3 .	discussion in order to provide safe drinking water in an
4	affordable, equitable way. Creating drinking water
5	stands standards for PFAS is just one piece of the PFAS
6	puzzle. These standards cannot stand alone without real,
7	enforceable protections for our source water. We must find
8	a way to break the PFAS cycle. Michigan's leading the way
9	and we look forward to the continuation of that work.
10	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. I just want to
11	remind you that if for some reason you don't get enough time
12	to do your complete comment, if we have time afterwards I'll
13	call people back up if you want to come back up. Okay.
14	Mike McIntosh, thank you, and Kim Conner is up after Mike.
15	Go ahead.
16	MIKE MCINTOSH
17	MR. MIKE MCINTOSH: Mike McIntosh, M-i-k-e
18	M-c-I-n-t-o-s-h. I'm a member of Neighbors for
19	Neighborhood, a nonprofit that started in Rockford and I'm a
20	member of CCRR as well.
21	And to start with, I just want to say that my
22	experience is that EGLE has some of the hardest working
23	people around. I've seen that over the past few years. And
24	I would say as far as the standards, let's adopt them so
25	they can be enforced, but let's not stop there. Let's
	Page 20



1	continue. I think the the ones that we don't know enough
2	about are guilty by association. And we you know, if we
3	have to wait 'til we see how human health is harmed, we've
4	waited too long. So when we can class things, it seems to
5	me that we could at least class PFOA and PFOS together. A
6	lot of other states does do that. Vermont has five that
7	they that they class together for 20 parts per trillion.
8	Michigan for just those two is 24. I also think we need to
9	address the people who have well water. And I think
10	industry needs to accept the cost of implementation of their
11	products and build that into their pricing structure so that
12	these sorts of contaminants are are dealt with in a
13	healthy way.
14	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. All right. Kim
15	Conner's up next and after Kim, Scott Harvey.
16	KIM CONNER
17	MS. KIM CONNER: Hi. Thank you. My name is Kim
18	Conner, K-i-m C-o-n-n-e-r. I have been a Rockford resident
19	since 1979 and just recently moved to Cedar Springs in the
20	last four years and we discovered PFAS in our well also in
21	Cedar Springs. I wanted to come up here to say that I do
22	encourage going forward not just to have municipality water
23	included in these new laws, but to have well water as well.
24	That's all I have to say. Thank you.
25	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. All right.
	Page 21

1	Scott Harvey is up next, he's ready, and after that is Larry
2	Campbell.
3 .	SCOTT HARVEY
4	MR. SCOTT HARVEY: Scott Harvey, S-c-o-t-t
5	H-a-r-v-e-y. And today on on my card I said I'm
6	representing the Harvey Family. I have 38 grandchildren,
7	all of them have lived within the Plainfield Township area
8	and the discovery three years ago or two and a half years
9	ago of these compounds in the water, having been the
10	township clerk for years, I had no idea. I did have a I
11	did have a knowledge that there were VOCs in the water and
12	that those that water had been clean for years. The
13	contamination is coming from the landfill on Four Mile Road
14	and potentially coming from Boulder Creek across the river.
15	In the 80's the water was started to be recognized
16	as water that could not be consumed by the well, the wells
17	along the plume coming from the Four Mile landfill. So the
18	township went into an agreement with Waste Management to put
19	an air stripper in to clear the VOCs out of that water.
20	First question I asked when I heard what the potential
21	health risks were for the people drinking the PFAS after it
22	was mentioned that it was in the water on the House Street
23	area and in the water, the municipal water of Plainfield
24	Township, every one of those health issues was present in my
25	family and I was shocked to my core with the exception of
	Page 22

1	the testicular cancer. Since then, I have been researching
2	all this all the way through the C8 study and now the
3 .	consent decree that is going to be possibly signed.
4	But what I prepared was kind of a just a
5	chronology of how they found the contamination in the water
6	in the 80's. It took to the mid-90's to get the VOCs
7	stripped out, but none of the PFAS was being stripped out of
8	that. So the people of Plainfield Township potentially were
9	drinking excessive amounts of PFAS in their municipal
10	drinking water for decades. And the issue I have is if
11	if we don't have strong we don't have strong MCLs, that
12	that bioaccumulated in those folks and we're not going to
13	get that cleaned out of their systems. So I'm recommending
14	that you also push for additional health studies for every
15	resident of Plainfield Township and all those contaminated
16	wells from the plumes coming from House Street, the North
17	Kent landfill. And then I did send this to you all in an
18	e-mail format or as attachment to an e-mail, but I can leave
19	it with you.
20	MR. OSTROWSKI: That'd be great.
21	MR. SCOTT HARVEY: Okay. Thank you.
22	MR. OSTROWSKI: Yeah. Just to remind everybody
23	that if you do have any written comments or written
24	materials you would like to submit, you can give them to me
25	and we'll keep them here with us. Also, on your agenda
	Page 23



1	there's a e-mail box where you can send them into as well up
2	to January 31st. Okay. So next up is Larry Campbell and
3	after that is John Smallfield. So, Larry?
4	LARRY CAMPBELL
5	MR. LARRY CAMPBELL: Hello. My name is Larry
6	Campbell, Lima-Alpha-Romeo-Romeo-Yankee, Campbell,
7	Charlie-Alpha-Mike-Papa-Bravo-Echo-Lima-Lima. I'm a U.S.
8	Army veteran and am also a GVSU alumni. Thank you to EGLE
9	for allowing this public forum, and thank you Grand Valley
10	State University for hosting this event.
11	Those here such as myself and my family have been
12	in the trenches with many having been personally and deeply
13	affected by this horrible and debilitating toxin. I
14	currently feel that the current MCLs set at 70 points per
15	trillion threshold is horrifically high. Let me repeat:
16	horrifically high. There should be no tolerance for this
17	chemical whatsoever.
18	I'm certain by now many have seen the movie Dark
19	Waters. I would like to recognize Attorney Rob Bilott and
20	Joe Kiger for the tremendous work they've done. Without
21	their work we likely would not be here today. In the movie
22	Dark Waters Rob Bilott asked the chemist this: "This
23	chemical, what if someone drank it?" "Drank it?" replied
24	the chemist, "That would be like eating a tire." Michigan
25	and its reti residents I'm certain would never think of
	Page 24

1	eating a tire (indicating). How about if we ground it up?
2	Ground this tire up into granule pieces, crumbed rubber, if
3 .	you will, and you were forced to eat a tablespoon a day or a
4	week for the rest of your life? At the current accepted
5	levels, this is an example of the type of exposure a person
6	can be subjected to. Now, think about feeding your
7	children, grandchildren, and great grandchildren the very
8	same cocktail for 15, 20, 30 plus years. Michigan has the
9	most contaminated sites of any state in the country.
10	Because of this, if this contamination could glow, Michigan
11	would be lit up like a Christmas tree.
12	Unfortunately, I feel, excuse me, my family and I
13	have been affected by all the illnesses mentioned by Sandy
14	Wynn-Stelt. If you care about your children, grandchildren,
15	and great grandchildren, I implore the PFAS MCL standards to
16	be set at zero parts per trillion. No exceptions.
17	Unfortunately, I fear there will be a sequel to the movie
18	Dark Waters. It will be set right here in Kent County and
19	Michigan and it will be called Darker Waters. Thank you.
20	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you, sir. Okay, next
21	commenter is John Smallfield and after John is Jennifer
22	McKay. So is John here? All right. I'll put it in the
23	back and if he stepped out, I'll call his name at the end.
24	All right. So next is Jennifer McKay. Jennifer? And after
25	Jennifer it's Anne Marie Hertl Hertl (pronouncing). So,
	Page 25



1	Jennifer?
2	JENNIFER MCKAY
3 .	MS. JENNIFER MCKAY: Thank you. My name is
4	Jennifer McKay, J-e-n-n-i-f-e-r M-c-K-a-y. Thank you for
5	the opportunity to provide comments tonight. I'm here on
6	behalf of Tip of the Mitt Watershed Council.
7	The Watershed Council supports the EGLE's efforts
8	to establish a rule to create a maximum contaminant level
9	for PFAS which is a vital step needed to protect the public
10	health of Michigan citizens. However, there's room for
11	improvement in the scope and protectiveness of the proposed
12	MCL. We encourage EGLE to make it more comprehensive and
13	protective of the public health. Specifically, we have
14	concerns with the following: Limiting MCLs to only seven
15	PFAS, the additive and synergistic effects of PFAS, and new
16	and emerging scientific findings.
17	With limiting the MCLs to seven PFAS, while there
18	may be limited toxicity information for PFAS outside the
19	more studied contaminants proposed for the MCL, a growing
20	body of scientific research indicates that the class
21	collectively poses similar threats to human health and the
22	environment, and further that their effects are additive.
23	As a result, an MCL for only seven PFAS will not
24	sufficiently protect against the risks from the PFAS class
25	of chemicals. Therefore, we we recommend a PFAS class-
	Page 26



1	based MCL. In addition, regulating the class is only is
2	the only way to avert the cycle of regrettable substitution
3 .	in which one, while a studied chemical, is replaced with a
4	similar but poorly studied alternative. Ultimately, EGLE
5	should have a goal of an MCL of zero for the entire PFAS
6	class. In the interim, we recommend MCLs at least be
7	developed for the other PFAS contaminants detected in the
8	straight, state's drinking water.
9	For additive and synergistic effects, PFAS
10	commonly co-occur in drinking water. When multiple
11	substances are present, the potential risk must be evaluated
12	from the combined exposure. Evaluating a mixture of
13	chemicals based only on individual health-based values is
14	cannot provide an adequate margin of safety. Our concern is
15	amplified by the potential additive and synergistic effects
16	of the seven PFAS not only with one another, but the
17	thousands of other PFAS in the environment.
18	MR. OSTROWSKI: You have one minute.
19	MS. JENNIFER MCKAY: As a result, we recommend
20	employing additional uncertain T-factors to account for the
21	high potential of additive effects as well as the limited
22	data on these effects.
23	And new scientific findings. Drinking water
24	guidelines across the country generally go down with by
25	new scientific findings on PFAS health effects. We urge the
	Page 27

state to commit to revisiting these standards by a date certain to ensure Michigan standards incorporate the best available scientific data. And last, we urge the state to concurrently establish groundwater quality standards as groundwater is currently an important source of drinking water in Michigan.

Again, we commend the state for their taking steps towards regulating certain chemicals within the PFAS family to protect human health and thank you for the opportunity to comment.

MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. Anne Marie Hertl and then after that Robert Allen.

ANNE MARIE HERTL

MS. ANNE MARIE HERTL: Anne Marie Hertl, A-n-n-e
M-a-r-i-e H-e-r-t-l. My name is Anne Marie Hertl and I'm
the West Michigan regional coordinator for the Michigan
League of Conservation Voters and I'm here to urge you to
move quickly to set a strong standard for PFAS that is based
on the best available science and protective of public
health. I do want to show some gratitude. Thank you for
hosting a meeting in Kent County. Thank you for hosting
meetings across the state. I also want to show my
appreciation for all the elected officials that are here
tonight. You hear directly from impacted citizens from the
area. So I appreciate that time coming in from Lansing.



1.	In my role at Michigan LCV, I'm fortunate to work
2	with a lot of folks who are impacted by environmental
3	issues. I'll also share that my experience over the last
4	couple of years of working with front line impacted citizens
5	with PFAS-contaminated water, these are people who didn't
6	want to get into political activism. It wasn't a part of
7	the trajectory of their life but they're forced to do it.
8	It's impacting their their families, their children,
9	their neighbors in ways that they didn't imagine. And I
10	just want to share my I guess my gratitude for them and
11	their dedication and commitment being people who just don't
12	give up and are really dedicating their their time and
13	their lives to making sure that other communities in
14	Michigan aren't going to face the same kind of tribulations
15	and challenges that they have been facing over the last
16	couple of years.
17	Also, I'd like to show some gratitude for and
18	appreciation for the nonpartisanship from our legislator.
19	We see that this is a nonpartisan issue. Across the aisle,
20	folks all over Michigan are negatively impacted by PFAS and
21	I'm grateful for the actions that our legislator has taken
22	as well as our Governor.
23	PFAS contamination impacts drinking water more
24	than 1.9 million Michiganders and we can't delay action on
25	protecting the health of our communities. We know PFAS
	Page 29

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

causes health impacts. We know where it's coming from which is why the state must move swiftly to pass a standard that is protective of our public health. Hearing those stories firsthand from impacted citizens is really important and Michigan really should be a leader on addressing the PFAS contamination crisis. That will require strong standards for these toxic chemicals. In fact, Michigan really should have the strongest standards for PFAS in the country. examples, they're in the right direction. The work that's been happening is all in the right direction, but there are some changes that could also be included including a classbased approach to set a standard for the combined total of the various PFAS chemicals instead of setting individual limits, ensuring that standards are protective of our most vulnerable populations -- we've already heard this from other speakers -- and then basing the standards on the best and most recent science. This is really important for the state of Michigan to step up into this being a national leader as a state, but also because the federal government is dragging their feet and really refusing to get this work done in a timely manner. So please, let's encourage and show the support of

So please, let's encourage and show the support of a lot of folks around the state that Michigan really can be a leader and should be a leader in addressing and setting the toughest standards in the country. Thank you.



1	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. All right. So Robert
2	Allen, adjunct or ad okay, Robert Allen, sorry, and
3 '	Richard Red-, Rediske, Rediske (pronouncing) is after that.
4	ROBERT ALLEN
5	MR. ROBERT ALLEN: At your service.
6	MR. OSTROWSKI: Riske (pronouncing). All right.
7	All right.
8	MR. ROBERT ALLEN: At your service. Thank you
9	very much and I appreciate your efforts on this issue. I am
10	a monthly donor of the Natural Resources Defense Council and
11	I have graduate work at Grand Valley in freshwater biology
12	and stream ecology and I'm also an adjunct there.
13	How the PFAS have impacted me: In Ottawa County,
14	Grand Haven, Robinson Township, and the Robinson Elementary
15	School. My kids, three of my kids attended Robinson
16	Elementary School 25 years ago. Now my nieces attend
17	Robinson Elementary School. They were given bottled water
18	when this was discovered. The school is has a a
19	one-square mile plume. It was built on top of a one-square
20	mile plume of PFA water. And I also taught a class there,
21	exercise class in the summer and allowed them to drink water
22	for that class. My nieces, my great my grand nieces'
23	mother said, "You cannot bring these plastic bottles here.
24	You have your own water bottle and you will take your
25	bot your own water to school." And I have since found
	Page 31

out that Robinson Elementary School does recycle the plastic bottle waters -- waters of bottle.

I also eat eggs from a farm that is over this plume and of course hens drink water and I'm not sure what that farm has done. But the residents, whoever live in -- on -- above this contaminated plume have been able to remedy the situation by a cost of a \$200 filter system which requires a \$50 annual filter replacement to bring the contamination under 70 parts per trillion and I think that's good news. Thank you very much.

MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you, sir. Okay. Richard Rediske and then after that A.J. Birkbeck.

RICHARD REDISKE

MR. RICHARD REDISKE: Okay. My name is Richard Rediske, R-i-c-h-a-r-d R-e-d-i-s-k-e. I'm speaking on behalf of the Wolverine Community Advisory Group. The Wolverine Community Advisory Group represents concerned citizens that have been impacted by PFAS contamination from the Wolverine World Wide Tannery in Rockford, Michigan, and their disposal sites at House Street and the Wolven and Jewel neighborhoods. The contaminated areas cover 25 square miles and PFAS compounds have been detected in 900 plus residential wells and in the Plainfield Township municipal water supply which serves 40,000 people. Given the urgency of the PFAS crisis and the need for drinking water standards

1	that are protective of human health, we support the maximum
2	contaminant levels that are currently recommended by MPART
3 .	and feel these values are an important first step in the
4	restoration, the protection of our water supplies.
5	Since the science related to PFAS is rapidly
6	evolving, we recommend that future consideration be given to
7	the following items. PFAS is a class of chemical compounds
8	that includes 40,000 different chemicals and we feel there
9	should be regulat we should be regulating PFAS as a
10	class of chemicals. The regulation of individual PFAS
11	compounds in the cases of the seven MCLs proposed by MPART
12	is not protective against the likelihood of additive and
13	synergistic effects from exposure to chemical mixtures that
14	are encountered in the environment, and water testing indeed
15	usually shows that individuals are exposed to multiple PFAS
16	compounds and Michigan should establish a future value for
17	total PFAS and for classes such as C8 and C6.
18	Please consider the work that's being done by the
19	state of Massachusetts and they proposed a standard of an
20	MCL of 20 parts per trillion for total PFAS compounds.
21	Michigan should endeavor to reflect the best
22	science in its assessment of drinking water standards and
23	the ones that are protective of human health and they should
24	be given consideration to the most vulnerable populations:
25	fetuses, children, pregnant women, other susceptible
	Page 33



1	populations such as the elderly, individuals suffering
2	chronic illness and suppressed immune systems are more
3	sensitive to contaminants due to their physiological
4	development and differences from healthy adults.
5	MR. OSTROWSKI: One more minute.
6	MR. RICHARD REDISKE: Okay. The Wolverine
7	Community Advisory Group appreciates this opportunity to
8	comment on these important legislation. Setting MCLs for
9	the seven PFAS compounds is overdue and in the absence of
10	federal safeguards, Michigan must act to protect drinking
11	water and reduce public health risk. The widespread
12	occurrence of PFAS chemicals in Michigan's drinking water,
13	their persistence, their ability to bioaccumulate and
1.4	circulate a blood serum underscore the need for a swift
15	adoption of these proposed MCLs. Thank you.
16	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. A.J.
17	Birkbeck oh, thank you, sir. All right.
18	A.J. BIRKBECK
19	MR. A.J. BIRKBECK: Greetings. My name is A.J.
20	Birkbeck spelled A-J and then B-i-r-k-b-e-c-k. I'm with the
21	Rockford CCRR. We're the group that first discovered and
22	brought to the attention of EGLE, DEQ at the time, the
23	contamination in north Kent County. I'm a member of the
24	Wolverine Community Advisory Group, of MPART's Citizen
25	Advisory Group, as well as managing director of the PFAS
	Page 34

1 Alliance which is a group of impacted communities across the state and we provide support and assistance to those 3 communities. I wanted to echo what I've heard several people say here, to thank the folks at EGLE, DHHS, and MPART for taking action in the -- the void and black hole that is our 7 federal government in dealing with PFAS. It's very much appreciated and we'd like to see this process continue. With respect to the seven compounds that are 10 proposed to be regulated, there are issues associated with 11 some of the thresholds that are set, but I think that it's 12 important not to let perfect get in place -- get in the way 13 And I think what we have is, is some good solid of good. 14 science behind the thresholds that are set. 15 I did want to raise, however, though, both to --16 both in comment and to other folks who want to comment that 17 while new science is obviously going to be developed with 18 respect to these seven constituents, there are limitations 19 placed on the science that the state of Michigan can 20 consider due to some legislation that was passed in lame 21 duck -- duck under the Snyder administration and so we have 22 to deal with that as far as -- as considering science, 23 moving forward with respect to the use of some of these 24 drinking water standards for cleanup criteria. 25 I also think that it's very important as several Page 35



1 people have said to have a total PFAS standard. 2 concurrent with our public comment period, state of 3 Massachusetts has public comment that started December 27th. They are utilizing a 20 part per trillion, as Dr. Rediske 5 said, total PFAS level for the PFAS constituents that 6 they're considering. I think it's worthwhile for the state 7 of Michigan to do that as well. I don't think that that would be a process that would delay the current process and 8 9 I think we should get started on that as soon as possible. 10 Finally, we've heard a lot about well water, one 11 in four homes in Michigan gets their water from well water. I realize that this particular standard -- the standards 13 that are being discussed, apply only to the, the larger 14 drinking water systems in excess of 13- or 1400 people. 15 we need to have something in place to protect not only the 16 folks that are on well water, but what about all those that are on smaller systems under 1300 and 1400? Again, I 17 realize that's not within the four corners of what we're 18 19 discussing here today, but there needs to be consideration 20 for all those people. I'm not even sure the percentage of 21 We know one in four drinks from well water, but 22 what is the percentage from systems that are smaller than Because if we're approaching half the folks 23 1300 or 1400? 24 in Michigan not being protected by these standards, then I 25 think we have a lot of work yet to do. Thank you very much. Page 36



	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. So for the next
	person, Lonnie Riley, and then after that it'd be Caitlin
	Loc. So Lonnie Riley? Not here? Okay. Caitlin? Caitlin
	Loc? L-o-c, Loc? All right. I'll put your names over here
	in case you're out in the hall. Andrew Fishback, I think.
	Andrew? And then after Andrew, Deb Havens. Okay. Is that
	Andrew?
	MR. ANDREW FISHBACK: Yes.
	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. All right. So, Andrew, go
1	ahead. Then after Andrew is going to be Deb. Okay.
1	ANDREW FISHBACK
1	MR. ANDREW FISHBACK: So my name is Andrew
1	Fishback and that's A-n-d-r-e-w F-i-s-h-b-a-c-k. I'm here
1	today representing the West Michigan Environmental Action
1	Council. I'd like to start by saying that I'm ecstatic to
1	see EGLE looking at a new MCL because it's very necessary.
1	The 70 parts per trillion that is established by the EPA is
1	based on evidence from before 2008 that is not complete and
1	could be up to 100 times of what is actually safe to
2	consume, so really happy to see some some work being done
2	on that.
2	That said, I would also really like to encourage
2	the state I do understand that this is not really within
2	the the parts of these rules, but I would like to
2	encourage the state to adopt a maximum amount of PFAS
	Page 37



*************************************	permitted in production on a per year basis. PFAS are some
2	of the meanest, most persistent compounds and as of now the
3 .	only ways to really deal with PFAS contaminated soil is to
4	just remove it. And there are remedial measures being
5	developed, but there are a lot of logistic and efficacy
6	issues that are just nontrivial in the way of implementing
7	them. And these problems are only going to get accelerated
8	by climate change because right now what we're looking at in
9	the Midwest is an increase in the frequency and intensity of
10	precipitation events. So say you have a drum of PFAS
11	sitting on a hill and the rain is going to happen and
12	eventually the PFAS is going to leech into the soil and then
13	from the soil into either the groundwater and the aquifers
14	from which we get our water or into the surface water from,
15	again, which we get our water. And in addition to all that,
16	studies are currently suggesting that the atmosphere also
17	plays a role in transport and deposition of these chemicals
18	and that's not a role that we currently understand. And so
19	in the interest of caution, I think we need to move forward
20	with limiting the sources because in a situation like this
21	it makes more sense to it doesn't make as much sense to
22	invest in bullet proof vests instead of just taking the
23	bullets out of the gun. So
24	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Thanks, Andrew.
25	All right. So next up is Deb Havens and then after that
	Page 38



1	Eric Piehl or Piehl (pronouncing).
2	MR. ERIC PIEHL: Piehl (pronouncing).
3 .	MR. OSTROWSKI: Piehl (pronouncing). Okay. So,
4	Deb, go ahead.
5	DEB HAVENS
6	MS. DEB HAVENS: Thank you. I'm Deb Havens,
7	that's D-e-b, and last name is H-a-v-e-n-s. I'm the
8	secretary of the Greater Grand Rapids Sierra Club Committee,
9	and I'm here basically to echo what we've heard so often
10	from other speakers. Our membership supports the
11	establishment of maximum contaminant levels that take into
12	account the cumulative impact of exposure to the seven
13	relevant compounds we've been mentioning here. On a
14	personal level, I've lived for 15 years in Plainfield
15	Township drinking municipal water and have suffered many of
16	the health impacts that have been discussed for those who
17	have lived in greater areas identified that were impacted by
18	Wolverine World Wide exposure, and firmly believe that there
19	should be testing for residents who are not directly
20	affected in those areas and that there are many people who
21	are deserving of being included in an examination of the
22	health impacts of this contaminant and I would appreciate
23	that that has been taken into consideration as well. That's
24	it. Thank you.
25	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. Eric? Thank
	Page 39



1	you. And after Eric is Lynn McIntosh.
2	ERIC PIEHL
3	MR. ERIC PIEHL: Okay. Well, there we go. Hi.
4	I'm Eric Piehl. That's Eric, E-r-i-c, Piehl is spelled
5	Papa-India-Echo-Hotel-Lima.
6	Township here in Kent County.
7	So we should not be having this hearing at all
8	because this PFAS issue should never have happened. We
9	should have been testing and evaluating chemicals before a
10	large scale production and use instead of waiting until
11	after we get a body count, but but that's not the way we
12	do it around here, so until we change that, we're left in
13	in this situation where we can do what we can with what we
14	have where we are.
15	So I read your 42-page draft rules and actually as
16	a techie I like them a lot. Your the proposed changes
17	say that the PFAS in the water can be treated with granular
18	activated carbon. I think that's great news. I also concur
19	because I've seen that done with trichloroethylene or
20	brichloroethylene (phonetic) and it works reasonably well so
21	terrific news. But sorry, guys, we might not be able to get
22	the levels down to zero all the time, but like one of the
23	other speakers, I try not to let perfection get in the way
24	of the very good.
25	So in your in the presentations and in the
	Page 40



1	in the document, MCL seems to refer to the maximum
2	contaminant level, but in the rules it also refers to
3 .	something completely different which I found out to be
4	Michigan Compiled Laws. So if anybody else out there cares,
5	that's Michigan Compiled Laws or maximum contamination
6	level, depending on whether it's got this long string of
7	numbers after it or not.
8	In the chart on parts 5 and 6, if I read it
9	correctly, in a particular location if you find PFAS you're
10	going to try to treat that so we bring the level down to the
11	specified level. You're not going to try to go lower. Like
12	if it's the level is six parts per trillion and you find
13	it at seven, your goal is to get it down to six and not
14	not lower than that. Right? I'll take that offline. But
15	interestingly, I find it fascinating that the levels are six
16	parts per trillion to 400,000 parts per trillion. I find
17	that really interesting that you would do that. I assume
18	that's based on science somewhere. I'd love to hear your
19	sources, but I'll take those offline.
20	MR. OSTROWSKI: You got one minute.
21	MR. ERIC PIEHL: Okay. So I greatly enjoy your
22	draft rules. Go, please go do that. Make it so. And I
23	have buddies in Rockford and Plainfield Township that I know
24	would like to hear about progress on the PFAS and I'd love
25	to tell her that then that that there's concrete going
	Page 41



1	in, there's steel cans going in with activated charcoal, and
2	the pumps will be humming soon and stuff like that. I'd
3 ·	love for them to hear it. Thank you for the hearing, the
4	transparency and best wishes keeping our drinking water safe
5	for us, our children, and our grandchildren. Thanks.
6	MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. Thank you, Mr. Piehl.
7	And, again, if you have, like, specific questions, we've got
8	some staff out in the resource area now and and later on,
9	too. You can feel free to ask them any specifics. All
10	right. So next, Lynn McIntosh and after Lynn is Gail
11	Mancewicz, Mancewicz (pronouncing).
12	MS. LYNN MCINTOSH: Mancewicz (pronouncing).
13	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay.
14	LYNN MCINTOSH
15	MS. LYNN MCINTOSH: Lynn McIntosh, L-y-n-n
16	M-c-I-n-t-o-s-h, and I am here as a citizen and I am going
17	to speak from my head and my heart.
18	I don't think there's any need for sarcasm here.
19	I think we ought to be straightforward with each other. I
20	think it's a question of, like, how many life boats do we
21	put on the on the ship? I think about the Titanic and
22	that disaster and the calculations that went into how many
23	lifeboats do we really need? Oh, well, it'll work out.
24	This this is a situation as far as I can see,
25	especially after seeing the movie Dark Waters, this is a
	Page 42



secret that got out. This is a secret that leapt out in

West Virginia because one man worked very, very hard to

figure it out and he stuck with it and the same thing

happened in Michigan. This is a secret that leapt out here.

We weren't even supposed to know about these things. So

while we're caught saying this is emergent -- which the

companies love to use that word "emergent" -- they've been

studying it for years. This is urgent and urgency requires

action, it requires decisive action.

So I do thank you. I know you're walking a

So I do thank you. I know you're walking a tightrope here. You make them too low and you're going to be sued by the companies, by these stakeholders. But the standards that you're choosing, if I can quote Albert Einstein -- I guess anybody can -- "As simple as possible, never simpler." Well, I think as simple as possible would be exactly what everybody has said here tonight.

And so while I thank you for your time, I commend, and I have my own little chart here. I've been studying all the other states this week and knowing what their numbers are and I commend Massachusetts and Vermont, Rhode Island, even New York for their courage. This is a time for Michigan to be courageous. You can't blow an uncertain trumpet and I feel that's exactly what's going to happen. It's urgent. You don't sit and have a meeting about how you're going to put the fire out. You take action. And so,



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

yes, I'm not going to pr- -- you know, propose that we get 1 2 in the way of these standards that you've worked hard on and 3 I appreciate the progress. But the moment they're approved -- and I -- I won't share my words for any industry 5 or anybody who tries to talk you out of making them higher, 6 but the moment they're approved, that next morning I'm going 7 to call up Governor Gretchen Whitmer and I'm going to say, 8 "So, let's get going. We've got to improve them" because we 9 are behind the curve already. I have a -- I have been 10 studying and thinking too much.

MR. OSTROWSKI: You got one minute.

MS. LYNN MCINTOSH: Yeah. Okay. I want to just mention one thing -- well, no, it's already been repeated -about doing them as a class. Obviously that makes the most sense and all these other people who have read more quickly than I, they've -- they've communicated it. We're behind if we don't see them as a class. Secondly, who are the stakeholders? The stakeholders are those people whose lives are at stake and they're not at the table. They're too young, many of them. Some of them aren't born yet. And our responsibility, all of us in this room -- and I tell you, I bet for everybody who's in this room tonight there are 20 other people for each person here who would have liked to have come; they have small children, they're busy. this is a huge turnout if you add in all those people.



1	So when you listen to the other side and you try
2	to put dollar signs with this, will we get to hear them?
3	Will we get to hear the arguments that there's not enough
4	money? Because there's always enough money. There's always
5	enough money. So I encourage everybody here to not get
6	discouraged because we need to make our voices known. If
7	if we have to do that with our pocketbooks, if we have to
8	whatever we have to do, but this is a time to show great
9	courage and leadership. It's too bad if Michigan misses
10	this opportunity. And so that's how I would like to end and
11	I will. Thank you.
12	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Gail
13	Mancewicz and then after Gail, Winnie Binks Brinks.
14	GAIL MANCEWICZ
15	MS. GAIL MANCEWICZ: Yes. Gail Mancewicz, G-a-i-l
16	M-a-n-c-e-w-i-c-z. And on my card I said just a citizen,
17	but I'm also part of Concerned Citizens for Responsible
18	Remediation as well as the Wolverine Community Advisory
19	Group for this PFAS situation. And my concern is and I'm
20	going to be echoing what many people have said here is
21	that we regulate the compounds as a class. We may not know
22	much about some of these, but as science goes on we will and
23	we don't want to get behind the 8 ball and then, you know,
24	how many how much body count do we need to have like
25	someone said? So I encourage the I am very encouraged by
	Page 45

1	the work that's been done, but I would like to see us do it
2	as a class. And, yes, we do need to keep ongoing with
3 .	keep up with the science. And like what Mr. Birkbeck said,
4	the lame duck session kind of nixed that science and we need
5	to get back to real science, current science, and keep
6	updated science. So as a class and use updated science
7	onward, and periodically go back and reevaluate, like, maybe
8	every five years or so and look at, oh, gee, did did the
9	science change on this, should we change our regulations?
10	It may cost more, but we're worth it. Human health is worth
11	every single penny that we put into it. We all have a right
12	to be healthy and to have access to good health care and
13	access to healthy water. Thank you.
14	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. Winnie Brinks
15	and then after that is Andrew Blok.
16	WINNIE BRINKS
17	SENATOR WINNIE BRINKS: Hi. My name is Winnie
18	Brinks. I am the state senator for the 29th district right
19	here in Grand Rapids and surrounding areas. My name is
20	spelled W-i-n-n-i-e B-r-i-n-k-s.
21	I want to first of all thank MPART and EGLE as
22	well as previous employees in DEQ for the attention that
23	they have paid to this. I also cannot say enough positive
24	things about the citizens who originally basically broke
25	this story and brought this whole situation to our
	Page 46



attention, some of whom you've heard from tonight and are much more eloquent than I am about talking about the impact on the lives of my constituents and so many people that I now know by first name who have more knowledge about PFAS than nearly anybody in the legislature. And so I want to thank them, and then also I want to thank folks in the media who have made sure that the state has been put in a position where we have been forced as a state government to pay attention to this issue and to be much more responsive to the constituents that we serve.

When this originally started coming to our attention, the first thing that struck me about conversations I had with my constituents and with many who were contacting me from outside my district is the anger and the disappointment with the reactions that they were getting and the responses that they were getting from all levels of the government, from federal all the way down to local, including state folks. And I think that I can say to you with great confidence that that situation has greatly improved and I genuinely appreciate all of your efforts.

This kind of process tonight is a great opportunity for us to fix some of those wrongs and to help restore some of that faith in government in our obligations, so I appreciate all of your effort toward that effort, toward that end. I also want to say I appreciate the



7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

expedited time frame for addressing this issue in terms of creating these regulations and improving that time frame compared to other chemical contaminants that need to be addressed as well.

We often hear about these P- -- this family of PFAS chemicals as a -- they are referred to as emerging contaminants. Even when I first heard that, I -- it created a little bit of cynicism in me because we have known about these chemicals, some people have known about these chemicals for many decades. They've understood the harm that they've done and they have kept it from the people who have been negatively impacted by them. And so basically this just a -- a friendly term that they like to throw out in order to pretend that nobody knew any better and now that we know better, we will actually try to do better. reality is that it just means that we are learning a lot more about them and will continue to do so. And so I think it would be foolish of us as a state to ignore the fact that the more we learn about these chemicals, the more we understand how much harm they can cause and every new piece of information and research about them indicates that it just continues to get worse. And so I think we would be foolish to ignore that trajectory.

 $\,$ I know we can only regulate things using existing science and our standard of proof is very high in order for



3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

us to be able to defend ourselves as a state in terms of what we require of any party that has anything to do with this contamination or the fact that this is in our water or any unit of government that has to treat the water and get it out of it so that we can safely drink it. But I think it would be ridiculous to not consider the science and the direction that it's heading because we can see the direction that it's heading.

And my message is simple. Like so many people tonight, I urge us to take a look at these as a whole entire class of chemicals. Again, it would be foolish for us to ignore that those shorter chain molecules that are very similar would not be harmful to people in a very similar So while they may be slightly less harmful, I think it does not take a rocket scientist or any kind of scientist to understand that those things will also continue to cause us And when the industry refers to them as less harmful alternatives, I think that's probably an accurate statement, but the key there is that they are still harmful and we should consider that as regulators and as lawmakers and policymakers. And I would encourage, as everybody else has said, to review these standards frequently using the most recent science and to do everything we can to fight efforts to not allow us to use the most recent science. Some folks refers to bills passed in the previous session that caused

8

G

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

us to have difficulty using the most recent science in terms of contamination cleanup levels, in terms of establishing regulations that are stricter than federal, in which case we, oddly enough, are lucky there is no federal standard on this. So I encourage you to review those MCLs frequently and review them for the entire class of chemicals.

But this is probably the most important thing I want to communicate tonight. Every legislator and public servant has taken an oath to uphold the constitution which clearly states lawmakers' responsibilities to protect our environment and our natural resources and to enact laws that protect human health and all of the -- the health of all the -- the creatures and the plants that depend on our -our natural environment. As legislators we depend on your good work at the Department to help us inform that policy and those regulations and the laws that will pass. you to put strong and meaningful standards in front of the legislature and use all of the power and authority that you have in your positions that's available to you to ensure that they pass and I will do the same. We owe it to all the residents of our state to do better with this and all other chemicals -- and we could talk about a lot of other things, but tonight we'll stay focused. But we should never have to learn these lessons the hard way. We should never have to hear Sandy's story again or Tobin's story again or all of



1	the many faces of this tragedy in this this really
2	unfortunate situation that we found ourselves in.
3 .	So people expect this kind of protection for
4	things as basic as drinking water from their government.
5.	Let's not disappoint them again. Thank you.
6	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Andrew, Andrew
7	Blok is next. And just to remind everybody, I only have a
8	couple more cards here. If you want to make a statement, go
9	ahead and grab one of these cards, fill it out and we'll
10	bring it up here and call your name off. Okay? And after
11	Andrew is Theresa Eisensweller, -shwiller. So, sorry. Go
12	ahead, Andrew.
13	ANDREW BLOK
14	MR. ANDREW BLOK: Yeah. Andrew Blok, that's
15	A-n-d-r-e-w B-l-o-k. And I'm glad to hear my concerns
16	already peat repeated a couple of times recently, so
17	I'll be brief. Michigan's plan to regulate these individual
18	PFAS is a strong step in the right direction. However, I'm
19	worried water can meet each of these individual standards
20	and still be unsafe. I'm certain many would not drink water
21	that contained concentrations of all of these chemicals
22	just just below the proposed limits. I strongly ur
23	urge Michigan to enact these limits and then immediately
24	study and set a total PFAS standard in the near future much
25	like Massachusetts has done and other northeastern states

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are working on. PFAS ought to be regulated as a class and standards should be updated regularly as our understanding of PFAS grows. Thanks.

MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Theresa

MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Theresa Emshwiller, sorry, and then after that is Dan Buyze. Go ahead.

THERESA EMSHWILLER

MS. THERESA EMSHWILLER: Theresa Emshwiller, it's T-h-e-r-e-s-a E-m-s-h-w-i-l-l-e-r. I'm here representing my family. I don't have much to say, but watching the news when the city of Parchment learned they had elevated levels, the fear was heightened. They said, and I quote, that "There was no safe level of safe PFAS or PFOA in drinking water." Then in the exact same conversation the news anchors would say that Grand Rapids did test positive but it was well below the established safe levels. So my question is, which is it?, and what am I supposed to think about that when I have three young children drinking Grand Rapids water? We bought an RO system for our kitchen sink but what about the bathroom and the tub? And what about those who can't afford to by an RO system? Which, by the way, we couldn't afford, but felt that clean drinking water and cooking water was a priority so we made it work. encourage you to give Michigan the tightest regulations in the country, especially considering the vastness of this Page 52

1	contamination and especially considering Flint. Thank you.
2	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. Dan Buyze and
3	then we got a couple people that weren't here when I read
4	your name off earlier, so if Lonnie Riley wants to talk
5	next, then he can come up and talk next. Dan, go ahead.
6	DAN BUYZE
7	MR. DAN BUYZE: Okay. Dan Buyze, D-a-n
8	B-u-y-z-e. I'm a member of MPART's Citizens Advisory work
9	group. These standards must apply to well water and smaller
10	systems or we put thousands at risk. However, to do so the
11	standards must influence EGLE's work at contamination sites
12	and plume definition and cleanup. If we fail to do so, we
13	have no idea what potential future public water supplies we
14	may compromise. To have some standards at 70 parts per
15	trillion and larger and for the larger systems proposed
16	lower standards just does not make it makes no sense.
17	Thank you.
18	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. I'm going to
19	call up a couple of people that might not have heard me
20	earlier, so Lonnie Riley. Lonnie, you want to make a
21	statement? Okay. Caitlin Loc? Caitlin here? And we've
22	also got John Smallfield. John Smallfield? All right.
23	Okay. I've also got some people that submit and this is
24	a call for anybody. So as all my cards, we still have time
25	tonight. If anybody would like to make a comment, please
	Page 53

1	come up. So, ma'am, go ahead and come up if you just
2	make sure you oh, you got a card for me. Thank you.
3 .	Just make sure you say your name and spell it. Thank you,
4	ma'am.
5	CORINNE CAREY
6	MS. CORINNE CAREY: I'm Corinne Carey,
7	C-o-r-i-n-n-e C-a-r-e-y. And I feel PFAS, though it's not
8	proven and I think it that there's a very strong
9	connection with my family. My brother, Joel Sturry
10	(phonetic) was a teacher out out in Rockford. He lived
11	there with his family. His two boys were in their
12	elementary school days and my first, their mother died of
13	cancer some years ago. My brother died of a rare lung
14	cancer a couple years ago. One of the sons has two children
15	that are have reading problems and behavior problems and
16	the other son committed suicide. He was a person, again,
17	that had problems. And I'm wondering just what kind of
18	studies PFAS is covering when it comes to the behavior of
19	our brain as well as our body parts?
20	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you, ma'am. Okay. We did
21	get another card that came in from Renae Mata.
22	RENAE MATA
23	MS. RENAE MATA: Hi. This is Renae.
24	MR. OSTROWSKI: Go ahead.
25	MS. RENAE MATA: R-e-n-a-e Mata, M-a-t-a. And I
	Page 54



1	could say a lot of things, but I kind of wanted to speak up
2	for some friends. It's too bad that the state is pretty
3 .	compartmentalized that well water isn't really part of this,
4	but it does dovetail. For some people that, you know, the
5	real estate market property values you know, if it comes
6	down to cost and the almighty dollar, you know, when we
7	went when we went looking for another house, you know, we
8	looked at one side of the street was municipal water, nicely
9	filtered, Plainfield Township, the other side was not. We
10	didn't even look over there, no interest in dealing with
11	that. Friends of ours who are in the plume under 70 parts
12	per trillion would love to get hooked up to the nicely
13	filtered township water. At this point it's probably not
14	available. I mean, who's going to pay for that? Do they
15	have to pay for that and not the polluter? So it's more of
16	a subset, but that could be much greater, you know, with all
17	these 25 percent of people on well water that who's going to
18	foot the bill for them hooking up to the community water
19	sources? So thank you.
20	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. Is there
21	anybody else that wants to come back up and anybody that
22	hasn't spoken yet first of all that wants to speak or make a
23	comment? All right. Anybody that's already spoken that
24	wants to come back up and make more comment? Because we
25	have time. So, yes, sir, just come back up, say your name
	Page 55

again and go ahead.

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A.J. BIRKBECK

Just real briefly. MR. A.J. BIRKBECK: Birkbeck. I didn't mention this before because I was worried about time. But one thing we heard there's -there's this timeline of -- of getting through this rule that involves going past several bodies, one of them being the ERRC which has -- has been also referred to as polluter And one of the things we heard from them was that these drinking water standards should not be implemented because of the way that they're going to implement cleanup standards. And in the state of Michigan, those are two separate but related areas of regulation and it is true these are to be utilized as drinking water standards, at least for systems over 1300 or 14- -- you know, 1300 or 1400 people. But they will immediately for the standards, for as I understand both PFOS and PFOA, become cleanup standards as And so for the first time in Michigan rather than well. having to use the federal 70 parts per trillion standard, we can now use much lower thresholds to ensure more complete and more stringent cleanups which is a very good thing. However, during the ERRC meeting, polluter panel meeting, they were concerned that the other five constituents would automatically become cleanup standards as well and it's my understanding that those need to go through rulemaking as



1	well because they didn't enjoy the benefit of having a
2	preexisting standards like PFOA or PFOS. So I think it
3 .	needs to be made clear to the polluter panel since this is
4	going back in front of them that, in fact, only those two
5	constituents are to become cleanup standards. We're hoping
6	that the remaining five will also become cleanup standards.
7	But I heard a lot of pushback from the ERRC that they were
8	concerned that all seven of these MCLs would automatically
9	become cleanup standards and I think that needs to be made
10	clear to them because obviously if if they give this
11	thumbs down, we're back to the drafting table and it could
12	be another year before we see standards. And, again, I'll
13	repeat, I would hate to see perfect get in the way of good.
14	Thank you.
15	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you, sir. Okay. Go
16	ahead and state your name again so we
17	SCOTT HARVEY
18	MR. SCOTT HARVEY: Scott Harvey. And I just
19	wanted to share a concern I have. I heard somebody talk
20	about good clean water being delivered to the different
21	citizens throughout northern Kent County and currently there
22	is a consent decree that is between the state of Michigan,
23	which would be the Attorney General's responsibility,
24	Plainfield Charter Township, Alcoma Township, and the
25	polluter, Wolverine World Wide. And this consent decree as
	Page 57



1	I understand it will provide clean drinking water to
2	two-thirds of the people impacted by the pollution that
3	that Wolverine admits to having done. There are clearly
4	throughout all of northern Kent County pockets of dump sites
5	where the water is contaminated from the residues from the
6	tannery and the folks that are not going to be treated live
7	fairly close to the Rockford city water. Rockford is not
8	included in this consent decree. And for whatever reason, I
9	fear those folks will be left out. And I know it's not part
10	of the rule, but it does make sense to try to get everybody
11	possibly hooked up to good, clean water and not leave
12	pockets of extremely high levels. And then my other fear in
13	the consent decree is nothing is going to be done to those
14	pockets of contamination that Wolverine is not going to
15	admit to and that will remain in the groundwater forever and
16	who knows what's going to happen once that gets into the
17	different plumes in our part of the county. So just had to
18	say that. Thank you.
19	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thanks. Okay.
20	LYNN MCINTOSH
21	MS. LYNN MCINTOSH: Lynn McIntosh. Do I need to
22	spell it again?
23	REPORTER: (Shaking head negatively)
24	MR. OSTROWSKI: No. Go ahead.
25	MS. LYNN MCINTOSH: Okay. It's my birthday,
	Page 58



1	another scoop of ice cream, another two minutes. Okay.
2	MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. Happy birthday.
3 .	MS. LYNN MCINTOSH: Yeah, I I was going to
4	mention the name Wolverine World Wide, too. I wanted to
5	read a quote from the movie Dark Waters, that very same
6	movie where human beings were referred to as receptors back
7	in those days, yeah, when they knew how bad these things
8	were. And the lawyer, Robert Bilott is quoted as saying to
9	one of his colleagues, "They have all the money, all the
10	firepower, and they'll use it. I know. I was one of them.
11	That's what we're up against. We're up against these big
12	corporations." And then he follows that by saying, "Our
13	government is captive to DuPont." Well, I hope our state is
14	not captive to corporations like Wolverine World Wide
15	because I didn't say this before, but I was that farmer
16	in the beginning of that movie who was dissecting cows. I
17	was out there going up and down that river digging out
18	leather hides and looking at foam on the river and putting
19	together pieces of a puzzle because something very bad was
20	hidden. Very bad. They never these corporations
21	never they got caught. They got caught and now we're
22	dealing with this. And I hope people stop using the word
23	"legacy pollution" and stop using phrases like "we want you
24	to be comfortable with your water." I have no patience for
25	that. Nobody does. Nobody wants sugarcoating. We want the
	Page 59

honest truth from you, from them, and we want our voices to 1 count more than what you hear from the business community in particular. And I'm saying this out loud tonight because I hope other people are listening. I hope all the senators and the representatives and the state government are listening to me tonight because some of you I'm very angry at because you are ignoring this and you are compromising. 7 8 We need people with courage, with backbone. We don't have many John McCains left. Where are the people in our 9 10 government, where are these people that we voted? Where are the ones with enough backbone to take a stand? And so I say 11 this out loud hoping that some of you out there in the 12 business community -- this is addressed to you -- and you --13 and you public servants who are not protecting us, but 14 15 you're protecting your job and your reputation and your We see right through it and it's wrong. We need to 16 17 protect these people. They are people. And that's an odd way to, you know, spend your 1.8 19 birthday, put in a new furnace, you know, that was one of my 20 birthday presents, did get flowers. But I am here because I have to be here. I have no choice because I'm a mother 21 22 Any of us who have brought children I have kids. 23 into this world have no excuse to not have courage right Please be the leader. You would be surprised what 24 happens when you are courageous. The groundswell that will 25 Page 60

1	be created by Michigan and people will be watching Michigan.
2	If we do it, it will help others, but the effects are far
3 .	reaching. This is a golden opportunity. Don't listen to
4	the other voices. Listen to the true voice. And yeah, I
5	better sit down. There might be other people who want to
6	speak. So thank you.
7	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Thanks for being here
8	on your birthday, too.
9	MS. LYNN MCINTOSH: Yeah. Thank you.
10	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. We've got another person.
11	Make sure you say your name.
12	SHANNON DONLEY
13	MS. SHANNON DONLEY: I didn't I didn't sign a
14	card, but my name is Shannon Donley, S-h-a-n-n-o-n
15	D-o-n-l-e-y. I'm with the environmental caucus of the
16	Michigan Democratic Party, but I'm here today as a private
17	citizen. I live in Grand Haven and I grew up along the
18	lakeshore in Muskegon. Our along the lakeshore we've had
19	a ton of challenged. You mentioned DuPont. Hooker I
20	grew up on White Lake with the Hooker Chemical issues and I
21	lost a brother to brain cancer because we've been swimming
22	so much out in White Lake. And so I know what how
23	families can be affected by these to by different kinds
24	of toxins. The good news has been, though, that we've met
25	these challenges, and Whitehall, you can you know, we
	Page 61

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we can eat the fish in White -- in White Lake, but we don't 1 2 know for how long because they just approved Akayfo 3 (phonetic) to move in. So we've got a lot of battles along the lakeshore. But the main thing is that we're in recovery from, unfortunately, legacy pollutants. And now we live 6 inland and we have water underneath our feet and we don't 7 know what's in it. And the people in Plainfield didn't know 8 what was in it and the people in Grand -- in Robinson Township didn't know what was in it. And it's even scarier 9 10 to think that they're bioaccumulative, and I would urge that 11 these rules be accumulative as well. You lowered them once, 12 leave the door open to lower them again. Move these rules 13 down as you learn more. Adjust to the emerging science that's involved in them. 14

Testing on municipal water sources is good and well and that's great for firefighters and families who are serviced by municipal sources, but think about this, think about that child who from the age of five on goes down the street to their neighbor's house to play and all of a sudden at the age of 15 is diagnosed with some kind of cancer in their reproductive organs because the neighbor's well was never tested, or some of our rural activity or rural communities that don't have 13- to 1400 people and their water isn't being tested regularly. The rules need to expand themselves out a little more because, again, it's



1	accumulative. And I want to know that if I send my child
2	down to play with their friend that they're going to be
3 .	safe.
4	Removing the legacy pollutants is also
5	important again, I'm going to speak to industry a little
6	bit, too. We need to remove these legacy pollutants from
7	the production stream and I'm going to urge legislation to
8	do that and I'm going to stand behind legislators that do.
9	But at the same time we have another challenge in our
10	communities because we have unknown pollutants. We need to
11	be aware that there are places that you guys aren't going to
12	find that we're going to find and we might find them the
13	hard way. So I'm going to urge the rules to also
14	acknowledge the input from DHHS because they are going to be
15	on the front lines, they're going to be the ones that are
16	going to start seeing these illness clusters. And as we
17	move forward, now we know what we're looking for so they're
18	going to find them more and more often.
19	Accountability is going to be really, really
20	important. So these industries, the automotive industries,
21	the foundries that we have in Muskegon I was at an EGLE
22	meeting about PFAS last February or March and they were
23 .	talking about how Robinson Township was the focus and they
24	couldn't find the source at that point for the
25	contamination. And I was sitting by people in the audience
	Page 63

2

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

talking about that and they said, well, what about that old dump out on 112th Street? There are unknown sources, there 3 are unknown dumps. I know that there is actually a health care, an urgent care building on top of an old used car lot where I grew up. I wonder if the people who built this know that underneath this -- this intersection that's very, very 7 visible from the highway used to be 10, 12 used cars all crunched up standing on top of one on top of another in the 9 early 70's. But now there's an urgent care there and -- and 10 a blood cancer center ironically and sadly. 11 accountability for cleanup is important as well.

> I am so thankful that these are going to -these -- that these are going to be put in place because our current levels are too high. The numbers that you have come up with are nice, but make them as flexible and respondent to what comes next. Move quickly. Protect our future and protect it from industry. Continue your dedication and thank you for having these meetings for us this time. appreciate your transparency. Thank you.

> MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. All right. anybody else that wants to make a comment? All right, Well, this is what we're going to do. officially supposed to be here 'til 8:00 o'clock. going to -- what I'm going to do is temporarily suspend the hearing until 8:00 o'clock, but for those of you who don't



1 want to sit around until 8:00 o'clock, I'm going to read 2 some of our closing statements and what's next so you kind 3 of know what's going on after this. 4 So I want to thank you again for being here 5 tonight and for your cooperation and for your support for -for one another. Appreciate that. We appreciate your interest in the proposed rules and that you took the time to be here. As previously mentioned, the public comment period 10 ends Friday, January 31st, 2020. In order to be included 11 for consideration, comments must not -- comments must be 12 received, not postmarked, by 5:00 o'clock p.m. on January 13 31st. Please submit comments in writing to: 14 EGLE-PFAS-Rulemaking@michigan.gov. Again, more details on 15 where to send your comments and to learn more details about 16 the rulemaking process are in our printed agenda that you 17 have. 18 The next step in the rulemaking process after the 19 public hearing is preparing the Agency Report and the final 20 draft rules that will first go to the Environmental Rules 21 Review Committee. The Agency Report will include the 22 following: Identification of the comments that -- that 23 are -- let me start again -- identification of the comments 24 that are the basis for any rule changes, EGLE's rationale 25 for making the rules changes, transcripts from all three Page 65



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hearings, and a copy of all written comments that resulted 1. 2 in rule changes. The Environmental Rules Review Committee holds 3 meetings open to the public on the last Thursday of the month and we expect that they be able to vote on the draft rules at their February 27th or March 26th meeting. 7 From the Committee the Agency Report and final rules then go through another round of review and approvals 8 9 by the Legislative Services Bureau and the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules before they are 10 forwarded to the Joint Committee on Administrative 11

All right. So at this time I'm going to suspend the hearing until 8:00 o'clock. So if anybody should come in or want to make additional comment, we'll open -- we'll open it back up and you can make those comments. In the meantime, if you need to -- to -- to leave, make sure -- we have a sign-in sheet and you put your e-mail on that and we'll follow up with you with any e-mails after this. So have a good evening. I will reopen it again in a little bit here before 8:00 o'clock. If you would like to make a comment -- I see a couple of you standing up -- just go ahead and come up over here and after it kind of quiets down

Page 66

Rulemaking or JCAR, and JCAR has 15 full session days in

rules to be promulgated in April or May.

which to consider the rule package. We are hoping for the

1	we'll take your comment again. Thank you.
2	(Off the record)
3	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. We are going to bring this
4	back to order again. So just want to let everybody know
5	we're closing reading the closing statements. Is there
6	anyone else, I should say, that wants to make a final
7	comment before we close? Okay. All right. Then okay.
8	I'm going to read the closing statements that are to
9	basically close this up.
10	As previously mentioned, the public comment period
11	ends Friday, January 31st, 2020. In order to be included
12	for consideration, comments must be received, not
13	postmarked, by 5:00 o'clock p.m. on January 31st. Please
14	submit comments in writing to:
15	EGLE-PFAS-RULEMAKING@Michigan. gov. Again, more details on
16	where to send your comments and to learn more details about
17	the rulemaking process is on the printed agenda that was
18	provided. The hearing is now closed. Thank you.
19	(Proceedings concluded at 7:59 p.m.)
20	
21	-0-0-0-
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 67



]
A
A-J 34:20
A-n-d-r-e-w 37:13
51:15
A-n-n-e 28:14
A.J 2:11 32:12
34:16,18,19,19
56:2,3,3
ability 5:5 34:13
able 17:8 18:4 32:6
40:21 49:1 66:5
absence 15:17 34:9
academia 5:1
accelerated 38:7
accept 16:14 21:10
acceptance 16:12
accepted 25:4
access 46:12,13
account 27:20 39:12
accountability
63:19 64:11
accumulative 62:11
63:1
accurate 49:18
acknowledge 63:14
act 4:16,16 6:7,7
12:11 15:1 34:10
action 15:8 29:24
35:6 37:14 43:9,9
43:25
actions 5:6 29:21
activated 40:18 42:1
active 18:6
activism 29:6
activists 14:20
activity 62:22
ad- 31:2
Ada 40:5
Adaway 40:5
add 44:25
addition 5:3 27:1
38:15
additional 9:6 19:20
23:14 27:20 66:17
Additionally 18:23
additive 26:15,22
27:9,15,21 33:12
address 15:3 21:9
addressed 48:4
60:13
1
addressing 12:3,4,7 30:5,24 48:1
30:5,24 48:1 adequate 27:14
adequate 27:14

adjourn 3:22 8:14 adjunct 31:2,12 Adjust 62:13 administration 35:21 administrative 1:5 3:7 4:19,21 6:6,24 9:14 10:7 66:10 66:11 admit 58:15 admits 58:3 adopt 20:24 37:25 adopted 6:5 adoption 34:15 adults 34:4 advancing 14:25 15:25 advisory 4:25 5:2,21 15:9 32:16,17 34:7,24,25 45:18 53:8 advocate 14:18 affairs 3:14 afford 52:21,22 affordable 20:4 age 62:18,20 agency 4:24 5:1 9:4 9:7,18 65:19,21 66:7 agenda 3:24 8:21,23 23:25 65:16 67:17 ago 11:24 22:8,9 31:16 54:13,14 agree 10:2 agreement 22:18 agrees 9:25 ahead 18:14 20:15 37:10 39:4 51:9 51:12 52:6 53:5 54:1.24 56:1 57:16 58:24 66:25 air 22:19 aisle 29:19 Akayfo 62:2 Albert 43:13 Alcoma 57:24 Allegan 1:11 Allen 2:10 28:12 31:2,2,4,5,8 Alliance 35:1 allotted 8:3 allow 7:24 8:10 16:16 49:24

allowable 18:25 allowed 31:21 allowing 13:3 24:9 almighty 55:6 alongside 19:4 alternative 19:1 27:4 alternatives 49:18 alumni 24:8 amendments 4:21 American 18:2 amount 37:25 amounts 23:9 amplified 27:15 analysis 5:18 13:10 anchors 52:15 Andrew 2:12,15 37:5,6,6,7,8,9,10 37:11,12,12 38:24 46:15 51:6,6,11 51;12,13,14,14 anger 47:14 angry 60:6 Ann 6:17 Anne 2:10 25:25 28:11,13,14,14,15 annual 32:8 answer 3:16 8:13 anybody 41:4 43:14 44:5 47:5 53:24 53:25 55:21,21,23 64:21 66:16 appear 18:19 APPEARANCES 1:9 apply 36:13 53:9 appreciate 10:24 28:25 31:9 39:22 44:3 47:20,24,25 64:19 65:6.6 appreciated 11:23 18:6 35:8 appreciates 14:21 34:7 appreciation 28:23 29:18 approach 16:7 19:14 30:12 approaching 18:10 36:23 appropriately 18:24 approval 18:25 approvals 66:8

approve 9:11,11 approved 44:4,6 62:2 approves 9:12,21 April 66:14 aquifers 38:13 **Arbor** 6:17 area 3:17 12:2 22:7 22:23 28:25 42:8 areas 32:21 39:17 39:20 46:19 56:13 arguments 45:3 Army 24:8 asked 22:20 24:22 assessment 33:22 assistance 35:2 associated 15:4 35:10 association 7:19 18:2 21:2 assume 41:17 assuring 13:12 atmosphere 38:16 attachment 23:18 attempt 16:11 attend 31:16 attendance 7:2 attended 18:16 31:15 attention 10:9 14:12 34:22 46:22 47:1 47:9,12 attest 13:6 Attorney 24:19 57:23 audience 63:25 authority 50:18 autoimmune 12:24 17:4 automatically 56:24 57:8 automotive 63:20 avail- 20:1 availability 20:1 available 3:16 6:23 8:12 15:14 28:3 28:19 50:19 55:14 Avenue 40:5

aware 63:11

B
B-i-r-k-b-e-c-k

avert 27:2

34:20 B-I-o-k 51:15 B-r-i-n-k-s 46:20 B-u-y-z-e 53:8 back 20:13,13 25:23 46:5,7 55:21,24 55:25 57:4,11 59:6 66:18 67:4 backbone 60:8,11 background 3:25 4:9 bad 45:9 55:2 59:7 59:19,20 balance 16:12 19:23 ball 45:23 based 15:10 17:15 27:1,13 28:18 30:12 37:18 41:18 basic 51:4 basically 39:9 46:24 48:12 67:9 basing 30:16 basis 38:1 65:24 bathroom 52:20 battles 62:3 bear 6:3 60:22 beginning 6:21 59:16 behalf 14:19 26:6 32:16 behavior 54:15,18 beings 59:6 believe 39:18 Belmont 11:14 benefit 13:10 57:1 best 15:13 16:4 18:11 19:15 28:2 28:19 30:16 33:21 42:4 bet 44:22 better 6:12 14:2 48:14,15,15 50:21 61:5 beyond 4:23 big 11:7 59:11 bill 55:18 bills 49:25 Bilott 24:19,22 59:8 Binks 45:13 bioaccumulate 13:3 34:13 bioaccumulated

23:12

bioaccumulative
62:10
ŧ
biology 31:11
bipartisan 9:14
Birkbeck 2:11
32:12 34:17,18,19
34:20 46:3 56:2,3
56:4
birthday 58:25 59:2
60:19,20 61:8
bit 3:22 48:8 63:6
66;22
black 35:6
block 16:17
Blok 2:15 46:15
51:7,13,14,14
blood 34:14 64:10
blow 43:22
boats 42:20
bodies 56:7
body 26:20 40:11
45:24 54:19
born 44:20
bot- 31:25
bottle 31:24 32:2,2
bottled 31:17
bottles 31:23
bought 52:19
Boulder 22:14
box 8:25 24:1
boys 54:11
brain 54:19 61:21
break 10:16 20:8
brichloroethylene
40:20
brief 51:17
briefly 56:3
bring 8:23 31:23
32:8 41:10 51:10
67:3
Brinks 2:14 45:13
46:14,16,17,18
broad 15:22
broader 19:8
broke 46:24
brother 54:9,13
61:21
brought 18:15
34:22 46:25 60:22
buddies 41:23
build 21:11
building 64:4
built 31:19 64:5
Dant 21.13 04.2

bullet 38:22 bullets 38:23 burden 12:18 Bureau 66:9 business 60:2,13 busy 44:24 Buyze 2:16 52:5 53:2,6,7,7

C-a-r-e-y 54:7 C-h-a-p-l-i-n 17:1 C-o-n-n-e-r 21:18 C-o-r-i-n-n-e 54:7 C-y-n-d-i 14:17 C6 33:17 C8 23:2 33:17 Caitlin 37:2,3,3 53:21,21 calculations 42:22 calendar 6:21 call 7:5,8 17:14 20:13 25:23 44:7 51:10 53:19.24 called 4:19 7:12 16:3 25:19 calling 10:10,12 Campbell 2:9 22:2 24:2,4,5,6,6 cancer 12:24 23:1 54:13,14 61:21 62:20 64:10 cans 42:1 capacity 18:3 capital 16:1 captive 59:13,14 car 64;4 carbon 40:18 card 7:2,3 22:5 45:16 54:2,21 61:14 cards 7:4,9,10 10:20 51:8.9 53:24 care 19:19 25:14 46:12 64:4,4,9 career 60:16 cares 41:4 Carey 2:16 54:5,6,6 cars 64:7 case 37:5 50:3 cases 19:21 33:11

caucus 61:15

caught 11:21 43:6

59:21,21 cause 48:20 49:16 caused 49:25 causes 30:1 caution 38:19 CCRR 20:20 34:21 Cedar 21:19,21 center 64:10 **CER 1:16** certain 24:18,25 28:2,8 51:20 certainly 12:5 14:2 certification 6:15 Certified 1:17 certifying 5:17 chain 49:12 chair 18:1 challenge 63:9 challenged 61:19 challenges 18:14 29:15 61:25 chance 3:18 change 38:8 40:12 46:9.9 changes 6:10,11 9:7 9:12,18 13:17 30:11 40:16 65:24 65:25 66:2 Chaplin 2:6 14:14 16:22,24,25,25 charcoal 42:1 Charlie-Alpha-Mi... 24:7 chart 41:8 43:18 Charter 57:24 chemical 14:7,8 16:14 24:17,23 27:3 33:7,13 48:3 61:20 chemicals 5:5 11:25 12:5,22 13:3,6,14 16:16 26:25 27:13 28:8 30:7.13 33:8 33:10 34:12 38:17 40:9 48:6,9,10,19 49:11 50:6,22 51:21 chemist 24:22,24 child 17:3 62:18 63:1

54:14 60:22 choice 60:21 choosing 43:13 Christmas 25:11 chronic 34:2 chronology 23:5 Cindi 14:14 circulate 34:14 circulation 6:17 citizen 34:24 42:16 45:16 61:17 citizens 5:24 13:13 26:10 28:24 29:4 30:4 32:18 45:17 46:24 53:8 57:21 city 17:24 52:11 58:7 claims 13:2 class 11:25 12:5 13:14 21:4,5,7 26:20,24 27:1,6 31:20,21,22 33:7 33:10 44:14,17 45:21 46:2,6 49:11 50:6 52:1 class- 26:25 30:11 class-based 15:17 16:7 classes 33:17 clean 22:12 52:22 57:20 58:1,11 cleaned 23:13 cleanup 35:24 50:2 53:12 56:11,17,24 57:5,6,9 64:11 cleanups 56:21 clear 22:19 57:3,10 clearly 50:10 58:3 clerk 22:10 climate 38:8 close 9:2 58:7 67:7,9 closed 67:18 closing 2:18 65:2 67:5,5,8 Club 39:8 clusters 63:16 co-occur 27:10 cocktail 25:8 colitis 12:23 colleagues 59:9 collectively 26:21

combined 27:12

30:12

come 4:10 7:13,17 10:22,22 20:13 21:21 44:24 53:5 54:1,1 55:21,24 55:25 64:14 66:16 66:25 comes 19:16 54:18 55:5 64:16 comfortable 59:24 coming 13:21 22:13 22:14,17 23:16 28:25 30:1 47:11 commend 17:11 28:7 43:17.20 comment 7:4 9:2 14:21 20:12 28:10 34:8 35:16,16 36:2,3 53:25 55:23,24 64:21 65:9 66:17,24 67:1,7,10 commenter 25:21 comments 4:2,3,5,8 6:4 7:16,18 8:1,3 8:9,12,18,21,22 9:4 15:11,12 23:23 26:5 65:11 65:11,13,15,22,23 66:1,18 67:12,14 67:16 commit 28:1 commitment 29:11 committed 54:16 committee 9:9,11,12 9:13,15,21 39:8 65:21 66:3,7,11 commonly 5:5,11 27:10 communicate 50:8 communicated 44:16 communities 29:13 29:25 35:1,3 62:23 63:10 community 5:14 17:3 32:16,17 34:7,24 45:18 55:18 60:2,13 companies 43:7,12 compared 48:3 compartmentalized 55:3 competing 19:23

children 15:16 25:7

25:14 29:8 33:25

42:5 44:24 52:18

Compiled 41:4,5
complete 20:12
37:18 56:20
completed 7:11
completely 41:3
compounds 18:22
19:13 22:9 32:22
33:7,11,16,20
34:9 35:9 38:2
39:13 45:21
comprehensive
26:12
compromise 53:14
compromising 60:7
concentrations
51:21
concern 27:14 45:19
57:19
concerned 12:12
32:17 45:17 56:23
57:8
concerns 15:7,8
26:14 51:15
concluded 67:19
concrete 41:25
concur 40:18
concurrent 36:2
concurrently 28:4
conducted 7:6
confidence 47:19
connection 54:9
Conner 2:8 20:14
21:16,17,18
Conner's 21:15
consent 23:3 57:22
57:25 58:8,13
-
Conservation 28:17
consider 8:19 33:18
35:20 49:6,20
66:13
consideration 15:13
33:6,24 36:19
39:23 65:11 67:12
considered 4:2 5:20
considering 35:22
36:6 52:25 53:1
1
constituents 35:18
36:5 47:3,10,13
56:23 57:5
constitution 50:9
consume 37:20
consumed 22:16
contacting 47:14
I

contain 9:6 contained 51:21 contains 8:21 contaminant 5:12 5:16 26:8 33:2 39:11,22 41:2 contaminants 21:12 26:19 27:7 34:3 48:3.7 contaminated 13:5 23:15 25:9 32:6 32:21 38:3 58:5 contamination 11:20 15:4 16:11 16:15 22:13 23:5 25:10 29:23 30:6 32:9,18 34:23 41:5 49:3 50:2 53:1,11 58:14 63:25 CONTENTS 2:1 continuation 20:9 continue 13:12 19:5 19:12.14 20:2 21:1 35:8 48:17 49:16 64:17 continues 48:22 conversation 19:9 52:14 conversational 18:12 conversations 18:8 47:13 cooking 52:23 cooperation 65:5 cooperative 18:7 coordinator 28:16 Copies 6:21 copy 8:25 66:1 core 22:25 Corinne 2:16 54:5,6 54:6 corners 36:18 Corporation 1:17 corporations 59:12 59:14,20 correctly 7:21 41:9 cost 12:17,20 13:7 17:10 19:20 21:10 32:7 46:10 55:6 costing 17:11 costly 13:1,1 costs 13:2,6

Council 14:19 15:6 15:24 26:6,7 31:10 37:15 count 40:11 45:24 60:2 counting 16:21 country 25:9 27:24 30:8,25 52:25 county 25:18 28:21 31:13 34:23 40:6 57:21 58:4,17 couple 29:4,16 51:8 51:16 53:3,19 54:14 66:24 courage 43:21 45:9 60:8,23 courageous 43:22 60:25 course 32:4 court 7:20 cover 32:21 covering 54:18 cows 59:16 cream 59:1 create 18:18 26:8 created 48:7 61:1 creating 20:4 48:2 creatures 50:13 Creek 22:14 crisis 15:25 30:6 32:25 criteria 5:17 6:15 35:24 crucial 19:6 crumbed 25:2 crunched 64:8 cumulative 39:12 current 15:14 16:8 24:14 25:4 36:8 46:5 64:14 currently 17:6 24:14 28:5 33:2 38:16,18 57:21 curve 44:9 cycle 20:8 27:2 Cyndi 2:6 13:22 14:15,16,16 16:10 cynicism 48:8 D D-a-n 53:7

damage 14:8 damages 14:7 Dan 2:16 52:5 53:2 53:5,6,7,7 Dark 24:18,22 25:18 42:25 59:5 **Darker 25:19** data 5:20 27:22 28:3 date 28:1 Dave 1:13 3:14 4:9 4:10 6:1 Dave's 10:20 day 25:3 days 10:5 54:12 59:7 66:12 deal 35:22 38:3 dealing 35:7 55:10 59:22 dealt 21:12 Deb 2:12 37:6,10 38:25 39:4,5,6,6 debilitating 24:13 decades 23:10 48:10 December 6:19.21 36:3 decision 9:23 decisions 13:11 14:10 decisive 43:9 deck 13:20 decree 23:3 57:22 57:25 58:8,13 dedicating 29:12 dedication 19:19 29:11 64:17 deem 5:2 deeply 24:12 defend 49:1 **Defense 14:18 15:6** 15:24 31:10 definition 53:12 delay 29:24 36:8 delivered 57:20 Democratic 61:16 Department 1:2,10 3:4,5,16 6:5,9 8:19 14:22 18:1 50:15 Department's 9:10 depend 50:13,14 depending 14:10 41:6

deposition 38:17 **DEQ** 34:22 46:22 describe 4:1,4 deserving 39:21 desk 9:1 detailed 15:11 details 65:14,15 67:15,16 detect 15:21 detected 27:7 32:22 developed 27:7 35:17 38:5 developing 15:15 19:4 development 34:4 DHHS 35:5 63:14 diagnosed 62:20 dialogue 18:12 died 54:12,13 differences 34:4 different 33:8 41:3 57:20 58:17 61:23 difficult 13:7 difficulty 50:1 digging 59:17 direction 12:6 13:15 30:9,10 49:7,7 51:18 directly 7:13,14 28:24 39:19 director 3:12 34:25 disability 13:2 disappoint 51:5 disappointment 47:15 disaster 42:22 discharge 17:19 discouraged 45:6 discovered 21:20 31:18 34:21 discovery 22:8 discussed 36:13 39:16 discussing 4:22 36:19 discussion 8:10 20:3 disease 5:2 17:4 diseases 12:24,25 disposal 32:20 dissecting 59:16 district 46:18 47:14 **Division 3:13 4:12** document 41:1

D-e-b 39:7

D-o-n-l-e-y 61:15

doing 44:14	•
dollar 45:2 55:6	
dollars 13:8	•
Donley 2:17 61:12	
61:13,14	
donor 31:10	
door 62:12	(
dovetail 55:4	(
downward 17:17	4
Dr 36:4	
draft 6:10 9:5,7	
40:15 41:22 65:20	١,
66:5	3
drafting 5:19 57:11	
dragging 30:20	
drank 24:23,23	
drink 16:19 31:21	
32:4 49:5 51:20	
drinking 3:13 4:12	
4:14,16,17 5:9,17	
6:13 14:25 15:19	3
16:3,12 19:17	
20:3,4 22:21 23:9	
23:10 27:8,10,23	1
28:5 29:23 32:25	
33:22 34:10,12	
35:24 36:14 39:15	
42:4 51:4 52:13	1
52:18,22 56:10,14	3
58:1	١
drinks 36:21	ŀ
drum 38:10	١
duck 35:21,21 46:4	١
due 34:3 35:20	
dump 58:4 64:2	ŀ
dumps 64:3	
DuPont 59:13 61:19	
	ŀ
E	ŀ
E-m-s-h-w-i-l-l-e-r	١
52:9	,
e-mail 8:25 23:18,18	1
24:1 66:20	

52:9 e-mail 8:25 23:18,1 24:1 66:20 e-mails 66:21 E-r-i-c 40:4 earlier 53:4,20 early 64:9 eat 25:3 32:3 62:1 eating 24:24 25:1

echo 35:4 39:9

echoing 45:20

ecology 31:12

ecstatic 37:15

effect 10:5 effective 16:6 effects 12:22 13:11 26:15,22 27:9,15 27:21,22,25 33:13 61:2 efficacy 38:5 effort 47:24.24 efforts 16:1,16,21 19:10,24 26:7 31:9 47:20 49:23 eggs 32:3 EGLE 1:13 3:15 5:19 6:21,24 8:11 9:7,23,23,24,25 10:2 15:2 16:3,7 16:11 20:22 24:8 26:12 27:4 34:22 35:5 37:16 46:21 63:21 EGLE's 3:14 5:5,8 18:7 26:7 53:11 65:24 EGLE-PFAS-RU... 67:15 EGLE-PFAS-Rul... 65:14 Einstein 43:14 Eisensweller 51:11 either 38:13 elderly 34:1 elect 18:1 elected 28:23 Electronic 1:17 elementary 31:14 31:16,17 32:1 54:12 elevated 52:11 eloquent 47:2 emergency 16:4,5 emergent 43:6,7 emerging 26:16 48:6 62:13 emotional 13:2 employees 46:22 employing 27:20 Emshwiller 2:15 52:5,7,8,8 enact 50:11 51:23 encountered 33:14

encourage 21:22

37:25 45:5,25

26:12 30:22 37:22

49:21 50:5 52:24 encouraged 45:25 endeavor 33:21 ended 8:4 ends 65:10 67:11 Energy 1:2 3:4 14:22 enforceable 5:4,6,9 20:7 enforced 20:25 enjoy 41:21 57:1 ensure 7:6 15:14 16:19 28:2 50:19 56:20 ensuring 4:13 30:14 entire 3:20 11:25 27:5 49:10 50:6 environment 1:2,10 3:4 5:7 14:22 26:22 27:17 33:14 50:11,14 environmental 3:13 4:12,24 5:23 9:9 9:20,21 29:2 37:14 61:15 65:20 66:3 EPA 15:1 37:17 equitable 20:4 Eric 1:14 2:13 3:11 3:12 39:1,2,25 40:1,2,3,4,4 41:21 ERRC 56:8,22 57:7 especially 16:20 19:22 42:25 52:25 53.1establish 5:8 26:8 28:4 33:16 established 4:24 12:23 37:17 52:16 establishing 6:12 13:13 50:2 establishment 5:12 5:13 39:11 estate 55:5 evaluated 27:11 evaluating 27:12 40:9 evening 3:3 4:11

10:12 23:22 43:16 44:22 45:5 49:21 51:7 58:10 67:4 evidence 37:18 evident 18:8 evolving 33:6 exact 52:14 exactly 43:16,23 examination 39:21 example 25:5 examples 30:9 exceed 5:16 exception 22:25 exceptions 25:16 excess 36:14 excessive 23:9 excuse 25:12 60:23 exercise 31:21 existing 18:21 48:24 **expand** 62:25 expect 51:3 66:5 expedited 48:1 experience 20:22 29:3 explain 6:3 explaining 9:23 explanation 9:6 exposed 33:15 exposure 15:18 25:5 27:12 33:13 39:12 39:18 exposures 15:19 extensive 16:10 extremely 17:12

 \mathbf{F} F-i-s-h-b-a-c-k 37:13 F-l-e-m-i-n-g 17:24 face 29:14 faces 51:1 facing 29:15 fact 30:7 48:18 49:3 57:4 fail 53:12 fair 7:6,23 fairly 58:7 faith 47:23 falls 19:23 familiar 18:21 families 29:8 61:23 62:16

58:12

family 22:6,25 24:11 25:12 28:8 48:5 52:10 54:9 54:11 far 20:24 35:22 42:24 61:2 farm 32:3.5 farmer 59:15 fascinating 41:15 favor 9:5 12:16 fear 25:17 52:12 58:9,12 February 63:22 66:6 federal 4:23 12:10 14:2 30:19 34:10 35:7 47:17 50:3,4 56:19 feedback 18:14 feeding 25:6 feel 12:17 24:14 25:12 33:3,8 42:9 43:23 54:7 feet 30:20 62:6 felt 52:22 fetuses 15:16 33:25 Fiedler 1:13 2:4 3:14 4:9,11 fight 49:23 figure 43:3 filed 10:4,5 fill 7:2,3 51:9 filter 32:7,8 filtered 55:9,13 final 9:8 18:17 65:19 66:7 67:6 finalizing 8:19 Finally 36:10 find 20:7 41:9,12,15 41:16 63:12,12,12 63:18,24 finding 9:24 findings 26:16 27:23,25 fire 43:25 firefighters 62:16 firepower 59:10 Firm 1:18 firmly 39:18 first 3:24 10:20 11:18 15:13 19:10 22:20 33:3 34:21 46:21 47:4,12



14:16 66:22

eventually 38:12

everybody 7:3

event 24:10

events 38:10

- 1	,		1		l
	48:7 54:12 55:22	found 5:5 23:5	57:15 58:24 65:20	14:22 19:9 23:20	50:24 63:13
ļ	56:18 65:20	31:25 41:3 51:2	66:8,24	25:7,15 31:22	hardest 20:22
	firsthand 30:4	foundries 63:21	goal 11:24 27:5	40:18 45:8 47:19	harm 16:20 48:10
	fish 62:1	four 21:20 22:13,17	41:13	47:21 62:16	48:20 49:17
	Fishback 2:12 37:5	36:11,18,21	goes 45:22 62:18	greater 39:8,17	harmed 21:3
1	37:8;11,12,13	frame 48:1,2	going 3:21,24 7:7	55:16	harmful 49:13,14
	fit 18:18	free 42:9	10:6,12 13:19,22	greatly 41:21 47:19	49:17,19
	five 9:15,15 21:6	frequency 38:9	21:22 23:3,12	Greetings 34:19	Harvey 2:8 21:15
	46:8 56:23 57:6	frequently 49:22	29:14 35:17 37:10	Gretchen 44:7	22:1,3,4,4,6 23:21
ı	62:18	50:5	38:7,11,12 41:10	grew 61:17,20 64:5	57:17,18,18
١	five-minute 10:15	freshwater 31:11	41:11,25 42:1,16	ground 25:1,2	hate 57:13
-	fix 47:22	Friday 8:20 65:10	43:11,23,25 44:1	grounded 19:11	Haven 31:14 61:17
	flare 17:7	67:11	44:6,7,8 45:20	groundswell 60:25	Havens 2:12 37:6
	flare-up 17:6	friend 63:2	53:18 55:14,17	groundwater 28:4,5	38:25 39:5,6,6
	Fleming 2:7 16:23	friendly 48:13	56:7,11 57:4 58:6	38:13 58:15	head 42:17 58:23
	17:20,22,23,23	friends 55:2,11	58:13,14,16 59:3	group 5:22 7:19	heading 49:7,8
	19:4	front 9:1 10:22 29:4	59:17 63:2,5,7,8	15:9 18:9,19	health 3:5,13,16
	flexibility 19:6	50:17 57:4 63:15	63:11,12,13,14,15	32:16,17 34:7,21	4:12,25 5:1,6,24
	flexible 18:24 64:15	full 66:12	63:16,18,19 64:12	34:24,25 35:1	6:12 12:22 13:12
	Flint 53:1	fully 15:18	64:13,22,24,24	45:19 53:9	16:5,13,17 18:11
	flowers 60:20	Fulton 1:6	65:1,3 66:15 67:3	groups 5:25	19:10,16,16 21:3
	foam 59:18	fund 19:24	67:8	growing 26:19	22:21,24 23:14
i	focus 63:23	funding 20:2	golden 61:3	grows 52:3	26:10,13,21 27:25
	focused 50:23	furnace 60:19	good 3:3 4:11 11:11	guard 11:21	28:9,20 29:25
	focusing 15:12,23	further 26:22	14:7,9,16 32:10	guess 29:10 43:14	30:1,3 33:1,23
	19:15	future 13:16 33:6,16	35:13,13 40:24	guideline 14:2	34:11 39:16,22 46:10,12 50:12,12
	folks 23:12 29:2,20	51:24 53:13 64:16	46:12 50:15 56:21	guidelines 14:4 27:24	64:3
3	30:23 35:5,16	G	57:13,20 58:11 61:24 62:15 66:22	guilty 21:2	health-based 15:9
	36:16,23 47:6,18	G-a-i-I 45:15	gov 67:15	gunty 21.2 gun 38:23	27:13
	49:24 58:6,9 64:22	Gail 2:14 42:10	governing 4:15	gur 38.23 guys 11:22 40:21	healthy 21:13 34:4
	follow 6:6 7:7 10:5	45:12,13,14,15,15	government 12:10	63:11	46:12,13
	18:20 66:21	gee 46:8	14:9 30:19 35:7	GVSU 24:8	hear 4:5 28:24
	following 4:2 9:2	general 6:17 7:9	47:8,17,23 49:4	G 750 24.0	41:18,24 42:3
	26:14 33:7 65:22	General's 57:23	51:4 59:13 60:5	H	45:2,3 48:5 50:25
	follows 59:12	generally 27:24	60:10	H-a-r-v-e-y 22:5	51:15 60:2
	foolish 48:18,23	genuinely 47:20	Governor 9:25,25	H-a-v-e-n-s 39:7	heard 22:20 30:15
	49:11	George 1:14	10:1 14:24 29:22	H-e-r-t-128:15	35:4 36:10 39:9
	foot 55:18	getting 47:15,16	44:7	half 17:4,9 22:8	47:1 48:7 53:19
	forced 25:3 29:7	56:6	grab 51:9	36:23	56:5,9 57:7,19
	47:8	give 6:8 10:17 23:24	graduate 31:11	hall 37:5	hearing 1:4 3:6,10
	forefront 19:8	29:12 52:24 57:10	grand 1:6 6:18 24:9	hand 7:17 18:13	3:17,24 4:1,4,17
	forever 58:15	given 7:1,25 16:10	31:11,14,22 39:8	handing 10:20	6:8,16,22 7:6 8:8
	form 18:17	18:15 31:17 32:24	46:19 52:15,18	hanging 10:11	8:12,14,17 30:3
	format 18:20 23:18	33:6,24	61:17 62:8	happen 4:4,4 38:11	40:7 42:3 64:25
	forth 6:6 12:13	glad 51:15	grandchildren 22:6	43:23 58:16	65:19 66:16 67:18
	fortunate 29:1	glow 25:10	25:7,7,14,15 42:5	happened 16:8 40:8	hearings 3:9 6:24
	forum 24:9	go 4:23 11:6 13:17	granular 40:17	43:4	8:9 9:17 10:7 66:1
	forward 9:13 12:15	20:15 27:24 37:9	granule 25:2	happening 30:10	66:10
	13:16 15:5 19:15	39:4 40:3 41:11	grateful 29:21	happens 17:10	heart 42:17
	19:25 20:9 21:22	41:22,22 46:7	gratitude 28:20	60:25	heightened 52:12
	35:23 38:19 63:17	51:8,11 52:5 53:5	29:10,17	happy 37:20 59:2	Hello 11:13 24:5
	forwarded 66:11	54:1,24 56:1,25	great 1:2,10 3:4	hard 43:2 44:2	help 47:22 50:15
	I .	i	1	3	1

61:2
hens 32:4
Hertl 2:10 25:25,25
28:12,13,14,14,15
Hi 21:17 40:3 46:17
54:23
hidden 59:20
hides 59:18
high 5:3 12:14,18 24:15,16 27:21
48:25 58:12 64:14
higher 13:3 44:5
highest 14:6,11
highway 64:7
hill 38:11
hold 9:17
holding 17:17
holds 66:3
hole 35:6
homes 36:11
honest 60:1
hooked 55:12 58:11
Hooker 61:19,20
hooking 55:18
hope 16:6 59:13,22
60:4,4
hoping 57:5 60:12
66:13
horrible 24:13
horrifically 24:15
24:16
hosting 24:10 28:21
28:21
house 9:15 22:22
23:16 32:20 55:7
62:19
huge 44:25
human 3:5,16 5:6
12:22 21:3 26:21
28:9 33:1,23
46:10 50:12 59:6
humming 42:2
hyphen 11:18
<u> </u>
ice 59:1
idea 22:10 53:13
identification 65:22
65:23
identified 39:17
ignore 48:18,23

49:12

ignoring 60:7

illness 34:2 63:16 illnesses 25:13 imagine 29:9 immediately 16:6 51:23 56:16 immune 34:2 impact 6:22 39:12 47:2 impacted 28:24 29:2,4,20 30:4 31:13 32:18 35:1 39:17 48:12 58:2 impacting 29:8 impacts 29:23 30:1 39:16,22 impairs 5:5 imperative 19:14 implement 56:11 implementation 19:13 21:10 implemented 56:10 implementing 12:18 19:21 38:6 implore 25:15 important 12:4,14 12:20 20:2 28:5 30:4,17 33:3 34:8 35:12,25 50:7 63:5,20 64:11 improve 44:8 improved 47:20 improvement 26:11 improving 48:2 inadequate 15:13 include 5:12 65:21 included 21:23 30:11 39:21 58:8 65:10 67:11 includes 33:8 including 5:22 14:20 15:19 30:11 47:18 incorporate 28:2 increase 38:9 increments 10:16 indicate 7:3 8:1,4 indicated 7:8 10:10 indicates 26:20 48:21 indicating 3:12 7:14 7:25 25:1 individual 27:13 30:13 33:10 51:17

51:19 individuals 15:18 33:15 34:1 industries 12:19 63:20,20 industry 5:23 16:14 21:10 44:4 49:17 63:5 64:17 industry's 16:12 infants 15:16 influence 53:11 inform 50:15 information 3:25 4:10 6:9 8:17,19 26:18 48:21 inland 62:6 input 6:11 63:14 insignificant 19:21 install 15:22 instance 18:24 instructions 8:22 intend 6:12 intensity 38:9 interest 18:11 19:15 38:19 55:10 65:7 **interested 6:8 18:19** interesting 41:17 interestingly 41:15 interim 27:6 interrupt 8:7 intersection 64:6 introduce 3:11 invest 38:22 involved 62:14 involves 56:7 ironically 64:10 Island 43:20 issue 11:20 12:7 16:3 23:10 29:19 31:9 40:8 47:9 48:1 issued 16:5 issues 9:24 12:25 15:3 22:24 29:3 35:10 38:6 61:20 it'd 37:2 it'll 4:5 42:23 items 33:7 J

17:20,22,23,23 19:4 **JAMES 1:10** January 1:7 3:2 6:20 8:20 9:3 24:2 65:10,12 67:11,13 JCAR 9:14,14 10:1 10:3 66:12,12 Jennifer 2:9 25:21 25:24,24,25 26:1 26:2,3,4 27:19 Jersey 12:8 Jewel 32:21 Jim 3:9 4:11 job 60:15 Joe 24:20 Joel 54:9 John 24:3 25:21,21 25:22 53:22,22 60:9 joining 12:7 Joint 9:13 66:11 Journal 6:19 journey 13:15 K

K-i-m 21:18 keep 10:18 14:9,10 23:25 46:2,3,5 keeping 42:4 Kent 23:17 25:18 28:21 34:23 40:6 57:21 58:4 kept 48:11 key 18:23 19:7 49:19 kidney 12:23 kids 31:15,15 60:22 Kiger 24:20 Kim 2:8 20:14 21:14,15,16,17,17 kind 10:16,18 11:20 23:4 29:14 46:4 47:21 49:15 51:3 54:17 55:1 62:20 65:2 66:25 kinds 61:23 kitchen 52:19 Klingshirn 1:16 knew 48:14 59:7 know 8:5 10:16,17 11:3 12:8 17:5,6 19:17 21:1,2

29:25 30:1 36:21 41:23 43:5,10 44:1 45:21,23 47:4 48:15,24 55:4,5,6,7,16 56:15 58:9 59:10 60:18,19 61:22,25 62:2,7,7,9 63:1,17 64:3,5 65:3 67:4 knowing 43:19 knowledge 22:11 47:4 known 45:6 48:8,9 knows 58:16 Krisztian 1:14

Τ. L-o-c 37:4 L-y-n-n 42:15 laboratories 5:18 laboratory 4:19 6:15 17:25 lack 5:3 Lake 61:20,22 62:1 Lakes 1:2 3:4 14:22 lakeshore 61:18,18 62:4 lame 35:20 46:4 landfill 22:13,17 23:17 language 18:24 Lansing 1:11 3:1 28:25 large 40:10 larger 36:13 53:15 53:15 Larry 2:9 22:1 24:2 24:3,4,5,5 laud 14:24 law 4:14 lawmakers 49:20 lawmakers' 50:10 laws 21:23 41:4.5 50:11,16 lawyer 59:8 LCV 29:1 leader 14:5,11 30:5 30:19,24,24 60:24 leaders 13:12 leadership 14:24 15:25 45:9 leading 20:8 **League 28:17**

J-a-i-m-e 17:24

Jaime 2:7 16:23

J-e-n-n-i-f-e-r 26:4

	1			
leapt 43:1,4	line 29:4	58:21,21,25 59:3	58:25 59:3 61:9	33:16,21 34:10
learn 48:19 50:24	lines 63:15	61:9	McKay 2:9 25:22	35:19 36:7,11,24
62:13 65:15 67:16	listen 8:9 45:1 61:3		25:24 26:2,3,4	37:14 41:4,5 43:4
learned 52:11	61:4	M	27:19	43:22 45:9 51:23
learning 48:16	listening 4:7 18:5,6	M-a-n-c-e-w-i-c-z	MCL 15:21 25:15	52:24 56:12,18
leather 59:18	18:11 60:4,6	45:16	26:12,19,23 27:1	57:22 61:1,1,16
leave 23:18 58:11	lit 25:11	M-a-r-i-e 28:15	27:5 33:20 37:16	66:9
62:12 66:19	little 3:22 43:18	M-a-t-a 54:25	41:1	Michigan's 15:10
leech 38:12	48:8 62:25 63:5	M-c-I-n-t-o-s-h	MCLs 11:25 12:12	15:15 20:8 34:12
left 8:2 10:17 40:12	66:22	20:18 42:16	14:23 15:10 16:7	51:17
58:9 60:9	live 14:1 17:1 32:5	M-c-K-a-y 26:4	23:11 24:14 26:14	Michiganders 29:24
legacy 59:23 62:5	58:6 61:17 62:5	M-i-k-e 20:17	26:17 27:6 33:11	microphone 7:13,14
63:4,6	lived 22:7 39:14,17	ma'am 54:1,4,20	34:8,15 50:5 57:8	7:15 10:25
legislation 34:8	54:10	main 62:4	mean 55:14	mid-90's 23:6
35:20 63:7	lives 29:13 44:18	major 15:12	meanest 38:2	Midwest 38:9
legislative 9:15,16	47:3	majority 4:6,7	meaningful 50:17	Mike 2:7 17:21
66:9	lobby 8:13	making 6:4,10 9:7	means 48:16	20:14,14,16,17,17
legislator 29:18,21	lobbying 16:15	29:13 44:5 65:25	measure 13:7	mile 22:13,17 31:19
50:8	Loc 37:3,4,4 53:21	man 43:2	measured 12:21	31:20
legislators 50:14	local 47:17	Management 22:18	measures 38:4	miles 32:22
63:8	location 41:9	manager 17:25	media 47:6	million 14:19 29:24
legislature 47:5	logistic 38:5	managing 34:25	medical 13:2	Mining 6:18
50:18	long 13:15 21:4 41:6	Mancewicz 2:14	meet 3:18 51:19	minute 8:2 10:17 16:9 19:3 27:18
length 3:20	62:2 long-term 13:11	42:11,11,12 45:13	meeting 3:21 4:14	34:5 41:20 44:11
lessons 50:24	Lonnie 37:2,3 53:4	45:14,15,15 manner 7:6 30:21	28:21 43:24 56:22 56:22 63:22 66:6	minutes 8:1 59:1
let's 17:16 20:24,25 20:25 30:22 44:8	53:20,20	manufacturing	meetings 28:22	misses 45:9
51:5	look 8:24 13:16 17:8	17:18	64:18 66:4	mistake 19:19
level 4:25 5:3,16	20:9 46:8 49:10	March 16:3 63:22	member 17:2 20:18	Mitt 26:6
11:22 14:2 26:8	55:10	66:6	20:20 34:23 53:8	mixture 27:12
36:5 39:14 41:2,6	looked 55:8	Marcy 1:16	members 9:16 14:19	mixtures 15:19
41:10,11,12 52:13	looking 10:14 13:9	margin 27:14	membership 39:10	33:13
levels 5:13 12:14	37:16 38:8 55:7	Marie 2:10 25:25	mention 44:13 56:4	modification 9:22
17:12 25:5 33:2	59:18 63:17	28:11,13,14,14,15	59:4	molecules 49:12
39:11 40:22 41:15	lost 61:21	market 55:5	mentioned 7:2	moment 44:3,6
47:16 50:2 52:11	lot 11:21 21:6 29:2	Marquette 6:18	22:22 25:13 61:19	moms 15:17
52:16 58:12 64:14	30:23 36:10,25	Massachusetts 12:9	65:9 67:10	money 45:4,4,5 59:9
liability 16:18	38:5 40:16 48:16	33:19 36:3 43:20	mentioning 39:13	monitor 5:17
life 13:7 17:4 25:4	50:22 55:1 57:7	51:25	message 49:9	monitoring 5:9
29:7 42:20	62:3 64:4	Mata 2:17 54:21,22	met 3:12 61:24	19:20
lifeboats 42:23	loud 60:3,12	54:23,25,25	methods 19:1	month 66:5
lifetime 4:25	love 41:18,24 42:3	materials 7:16	mic 10:22	monthly 31:10
liked 44:23	43:7 55:12	23:24	Michigan 1:1,2,6,11	morning 44:6
likelihood 33:12	low 17:12 43:11	matter 18:9	3:1,3,5,15 4:15	mother 31:23 54:12
Lima-Alpha-Rom	lower 41:11,14	maximum 5:12,16	5:20 6:20,24 10:7	60:21
24:6	53:16 56:20 62:12	26:8 33:1 37:25	12:6 14:4,5,8,17	mouth 11:8
limitations 35:18	lowered 11:25 62:11	39:11 41:1,5	14:20,21 15:4,8	move 9:13 12:15
limited 5:22 7:23	lucky 50:4	McCains 60:9	16:2,11 18:1 19:9	19:25 28:18 30:2
26:18 27:21	lung 54:13	McIntosh 2:7,13	21:8 24:24 25:8	38:19 62:3,12
limiting 26:14,17	lupus 17:5	17:21 20:14,16,17	25:10,19 26:10	63:17 64:16
38:20	Lynn 2:13 40:1	20:17 40:1 42:10	28:2,6,16,16 29:1	moved 21:19
limits 17:12,15	42:10,10,12,14,15	42:12,14,15,15	29:14,20 30:5,7	movie 24:18,21
30:14 51:22,23	42:15 44:12 58:20	44:12 58:20,21,21	30:18,23 32:19	25:17 42:25 59:5
		l		l

f .
59:6,16
moving 10:18 35:23
MPART 5:21 15:2
19:10 33:2,11
35:5 46:21
MPART's 5:21
34:24 53:8
multiple 15:20
27:10 33:15
municipal 22:23
23:9 32:23 39:15
55:8 62:15,17
municipalities 12:19
municipality 21:22
Muskegon 61:18
63:21

Ν name 3:9 7:12,18,20 10:23 11:13,16,18 12:9 13:23 14:16 17:23 21:17 24:5 25:23 26:3 28:15 32:14 34:19 37:12 39:7 46:17,19 47:4 51:10 53:4 54:3 55:25 57:16 59:4 61:11.14 names 10:10,13 37:4 nation's 16:1 national 30:18 natural 14:18 15:6 15:24 31:10 50:11 50:14 near 51:24 nearly 47:5 necessary 37:16 need 8:3,15,25 11:8 13:9 14:6,8 17:16 21:8 32:25 34:14 36:15 38:19 42:18 42:23 45:6,24 46:2,4 48:3 56:25 58:21 60:8,16 62:24 63:6,10 66:19 needed 26:9 needs 14:5 21:10 36:19 57:3.9 negatively 29:20 48:12 58:23 neighbor's 62:19,21

```
Neighborhood
  20:19
neighborhoods
  32:21
neighbors 11:24
  20:18 29:9
Network 1:17
never 24:25 40:8
  43:15 50:23,24
  59:20,21 62:22
new 12:8,8 21:23
  26:15 27:23,25
  35:17 37:16 43:21
  48:20 60:19
news 6:18 32:10
  40:18,21 52:10,14
  61:24
newspapers 6:17
nice 64:15
nicely 55:8,12
nieces 31:16,22
nieces' 31:22
nixed 46:4
noncommunity 5:14
nonpartisan 29:19
nonpartisanship
 29:18
nonprofit 20:19
nonprotective 5:3
nontransient 5:14
nontrivial 38:6
north 23:16 34:23
northeastern 51:25
northern 57:21 58:4
Notable 5:11
notice 6:16,22 9:22
notif- 6:14
notification 4:18
  5:15 6:14
NRDC 14:21
Number 1:18
numbers 41:7 43:19
```

64:14
numerous 5:22
nursing 15:16
0
o'clock 64:23,25
65:1,12 66:16,23
67:13
oath 50:9
object 9:18
objection 9:23

ING
obligations 47:23
obviously 35:17
44:14 57:10
occurrence 34:12
odd 60:18
oddly 50:4
Office 6:24 10:7 66:9
officer 3:10,14 officially 64:23
officials 5:1 28:23
offline 41:14,19
oh 11:2 34:17 42:23
46:8 54:2
okay 6:1,2 10:9
13:18,19 14:13
16:22 17:20 20:10
20:13 21:14 25
23:21 24:2 25:20
20:13 21:14,25 23:21 24:2 25:20 28:11 31:2 32:11
32:14 34:6,16
37:1,3,6,9,10
38:24 39:3,25
40:3 41:21 42:13
44:12 45:12,12
46:14 51:6,10
52:4,4 53:2,7,18
53:21,23 54:20
55:20 57:15,15
58:19,25 59:1
61:10 67:3,7,7
old 64:1,4
omission 15:20
once 58:16 62:11
one-minute 10:18
one-square 31:19
31:19
ones 21:1 33:23
60:11 63:15
ongoing 20:2 46:2
online 14:20
onward 10:1 46:7
open 8:10 18:12
62:12 66:4,17,18
operate 10:19
opportunity 3:11
6:9 7:1,24 8:8 12:15 13:11 14:21
12:15 13:11 14:21 16:19 26:5 28:9
34:7 45:10 47:22
61:3
opposed 9:5 10:3
opposeu 3.3 10.3

49.14.25.65.10
48:14,25 65:10 67:4,11
organic 18:22
organic 13.22 organizations 5:23
17:18
organs 62:21
originally 46:24
47:11
Ostrowski 1:10 2:3
2:18 3:3,9 6:1
11:2,5,9,11,15
13:18 14:13 16:9
16:22 17:20 19:3
20:10 21:14,25
23:20,22 25:20
27:18 28:11 31:1
31:6 32:11 34:5
34:16 37:1,9
38:24 39:3,25
41:20 42:6,13
44:11 45:12 46:1
51:6 52:4 53:2,18 54:20,24 55:20
57:15 58:19,24
59:2 61:7,10
64:20 67:3
Oswald 1:14 3:11
Ottawa 31:13
ought 42:19 52:1
outline 4:2
Outreach 1:10
outside 3:17,19
26:18 47:14
overdue 34:9
oversight 9:10,16
owe 50:20

. P
P- 48:5
P-o-p-m-a 13:25
p.m 1:7 3:2 65:12
67:13,19
package 66:13
PAGE 2:2
pages 6:2
paid 46:23
panel 1:13 12:3
56:22 57:3
panels 56:9
Papa-India-Echo
40:5
Parchment 52:11
parent 17:3

part 8:18 18:7 29:6 36:4 45:17 55:3 58:9,17 participate 18:4 particular 36:12 41:9 60:3 partnership 3:5 parts 4:20 14:3 21:7 25:16 32:9 33:20 37:17,24 41:8,12 41:16,16 53:14 54:19 55:11 56:19 party 11:4 49:2 61:16 pass 30:2 50:16,20 passed 35:20 49:25 patience 59:24 patterns 18:21 pay 14:8 17:9 47:8 55:14,15 payers 19:24 peat- 51:16 penny 46:11 people 11:21 12:17 13:5 17:11 20:13 20:23 21:9 22:21 23:8 29:5,11 32:24 35:4 36:1 36:14,20,21 39:20 44:15,18,23,25 45:20 47:3 48:9 48:11 49:9,13 51:3 53:3,19,23 55;4,17 56:16 58:2 59:22 60:4,8 60:9,10,17,17 61:1,5 62:7,8,23 63:25 64:5 per- 5:10 percent 55:17 percentage 36:20,22 perfect 18:18 35:12 57:13 perfection 40:23 period 9:2 17:16 36:2 65:9 67:10 periodically 17:15 46:7 permits 7:11 permitted 38:1 persistence 34:13 persistent 38:2 person 7:25 10:21

order 6:5 7:9 20:3

ICHIGAN DEFI. OF MITHOGRAM, GA	
13:21 25:5 37:2 44:23 54:16 61:10 personal 39:14 personally 24:12 perspective 18:10 PFA 31:20 PFAS 4:25 5:5,11 5:13,18,21 6:13 6:13,14,15 11:20 14:1,23,25 15:4,8 15:18,20,21,21,23	PFPFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
15:25 16:2,10 19:8,11,14 20:5,5 20:8 21:20 22:21 23:7,9 25:15 26:9 26:15,15,17,18,23 26:24,25 27:5,7,9	F
27:16,17,25 28:8 28:18 29:20,23,25 30:5,8,13 31:13 32:18,22,25 33:5	H
33:7,9,10,15,17 33:20 34:9,12,25 35:7 36:1,5,5 37:25 38:1,3,10 38:12 40:8,17	H
41:9,24 45:19 47:4 48:6 51:18 51:24 52:1,3,13 54:7,18 63:22 PFAS-contaminat	I
29:5 PFOA 5:1,4 12:4 21:5 52:13 56:17	I
57:2 PFOS 5:4 12:4 21:5 56:17 57:2]
phone 10:13,14 phonetic 40:20	I
54:10 62:3 phrases 59:23 physiological 34:3 piece 20:5 48:20 pieces 25:2 59:19	
Piehl 2:13 39:1,1,2,2 39:3 40:2,3,4,4 41:21 42:6	
place 13:4 19:5 20:1 35:12 36:15 64:13 placed 35:19]
places 18:23 63:11 Plainfield 17:2 22:7 22:23 23:8,15]

32:23 39:14 41:23
55:9 57:24 62:7
plan 51:17
plants 50:13
plastic 31:23 32:1
play 62:19 63:2
played 15:24
plays 38:17
please 7:13,17,18,20
8:2,6,7 14:11
30:22 33:18 41:22
53:25 60:24 65:13
67:13
pleased 12:1
plume 22:17 31:19
31:20 32:4,6
53:12 55:11
plumes 23:16 58:17
plus 25:8 32:22
pocketbooks 45:7
pockets 58:4,12,14
point 55:13 63:24 points 19:8 24:14
policy 14:18 50:15
policymakers 49:21
political 29:6
pollutants 62:5 63:4
63:6,10
polluter 55:15 56:8
56:22 57:3,25
pollution 16:18 58:2
59:23
polyfluoroalkyl
5:10
poorly 27:4
Popma 2:5 13:21,24
13:25,25
populations 15:15
16:20 30:15 33:24
34:1
poses 26:21
position 47:7 positions 50:19
positive 46:23 52:15
possible 6:10 18:18
36:9 43:14,15
possibly 23:3 58:11
posted 6:20
postmarked 65:12
67:13
potential 22:20
27:11,15,21 53:13
potentially 22:14

23:8
power 50:18
pr- 44:1
precipitation 38:10
preexisting 57:2
pregnant 15:16
33:25
prepare 9:4 13:20
prepared 23:4
preparing 65:19
present 7:17 22:24 27:11
presentations 40:25
presents 60:20
Press 6:18
pretend 48:14
pretty 55:2
previous 46:22
49:25
previously 65:9
67:10
price 19:17
pricing 21:11 printed 65:16 67:17
printed 03.10 07.17 priorities 19:23
priority 52:23
private 61:16
probably 11:8 13:5
49:18 50:7 55:13
problem 13:9
problems 12:24
38:7 54:15,15,17
procedures 4:3 6:6
6:7
proceed 10:1 Proceedings 67:19
process 6:4 8:9 9:17
10:6 18:5 35:8
36:8,8 47:21
65:16,18 67:17
produce 16:7
production 38:1
40:10 63:7
products 21:11
professionals 5:24
19:17
progress 41:24 44:3 promulgated 66:14
pronouncing 25:25
31:3.6 39:1.2.3
31:3,6 39:1,2,3 42:11,12
proof 38:22 48:25
property 55:5

propose 44:1
proposed 3:7 4:17
4:21 5:8,19 6:8,11
6:23 8:10,20 9:8
14:1,4,22 15:7,10
15:13 16:12 18:19
26:11,19 33:11,19
34:15 35:10 40:16
51:22 53:15 65:7
protect 5:6 6:12 15:14,18 16:4,18
15:14,18 16:4,18
26:9,24 28:9
34:10 36:15 50:10
50:12 60:17 64:16
64:17
protected 36:24
protecting 16:13
19:16 29:25 60:14
60:15
protection 4:24 33:4
51:3
protections 20:7
protective 16:17
26:13 28:19 30:3
30:14 33:1,12,23
protectiveness
26:11
proven 54:8
provide 4:9 6:9 8:8
9:16 20:3 26:5
27:14 35:2 58:1
provided 67:18
provides 9:9
proving 16:16
provision 17:14
provisions 4:19
public 1:4,5 3:6,8,1(4:13,16,17,18,20
4:13,16,17,18,20
5:15,24 6:11,12
6:14,16,22 9:2
16:5,13 18:11
19:10,16,16 24:9
26:9,13 28:19
30:3 34:11 36:2,3
50:8 53:13 60:14 65:9,19 66:4
65:9,19 66:4
67:10
publication 6:14
published 6:16,19
pumps 42:2
purpose 4:1 6:7 8:7 push 23:14
1 march '1'1 l l A

proposal 16:8

pushback 57:7 put 12:2 15:5 19:10 22:18 25:22 37:4 42:21 43:25 45:2 46:11 47:7 50:17 53:10 60:19 64:13 66:20 putting 59:18 puzzle 20:6 59:19 Q quality 28:4 question 22:20 42:20 52:16 **questions** 3:17,23 8:10,11,13,15 18:13 42:7 quickly 28:18 44:15 64:16

quiets 66:25 quote 43:13 52:12

59:5 quoted 59:8

R R-e-d-i-s-k-e 32:15 R-e-n-a-e 54:25 R-i-c-h-a-r-d 32:15 R-o-p-e-r 14:17 rain 38:11 raise 35:15 raised 15:7 range 15:22 rapidly 33:5 Rapids 1:6 6:18 39:8 46:19 52:15 52:18 rare 54:13 rate 19:24 rates 13:4 rationale 65:24 reaching 61:3 reactions 47:15 read 6:2 13:19 40:15 41:8 44:15 53:3 59:5 65:1 67:8 reading 54:15 67:5 ready 22:1 real 20:6 46:5 55:5 56:3 reality 48:16 realize 11:20 36:12

36:18
really 11:6,22,23
12:1,14 29:12
30:4,5,7,17,20,23
27.00.00.00.00.00
37:20,22,23 38:3 41:17 42:23 51:1
41:17 42:23 51:1
55:3 63:19,19
reason 20:11 58:8
reasonably 40:20
receive 6:11 8:8
received 8:24 65:12
67:12
receptors 59:6
recognize 12:17,20
13:10 24:19
recognized 22:15
recommend 26:25
27:6,19 33:6
recommendations
5:20 12:2
recommended 33:2
recommending
23:13
record 67:2
recorded 1:16 8:18
Recorder 1:17
{
recording 4:7
recovery 62:4
recycle 32:1
Red-31:3
Rediske 2:11 31:3,3
32:12,13,14,15
34:6 36:4
reduce 14:25 34:11
reevaluate 46:7
refer 41:1
referred 5:11 48:6
56:8 59:6
refers 41:2 49:17,25
reflect 33:21
reflected 18:17
refuse 12:10
refusing 30:20
regional 28:16
Register 6:20
Registration 1:18
Registry 5:2
regrettable 27:2
regularly 52:2
62:24
62:24 regulat- 33:9
62:24

regulated 35:10
52:1 regulating 27:1 28:8
33:9
regulation 33:10 56:13
regulations 4:23
15:17 46:9 48:2 50:3,16 52:24
regulators 49:20
regulatory 3:14 6:22 18:21
reject 9:12
rejects 9:22 related 33:5 56:13
released 15:9 16:2
16:16 relevant 39:13
remain 18:14 19:8
58:15 remaining 57:6
remedial 38:4 remediation 17:10
45:18
remedy 32:6 remember 10:23
13:22
remind 20:11 23:22 51:7
remove 15:22 38:4 63:6
Removing 63:4
Renae 2:17 54:21 54:22,23,23,25
reopen 66:22
repeat 24:15 57:13 repeated 44:13
51:16
replaced 27:3 replacement 32:8
replied 24:23 report 9:4,8 16:3
65:19,21 66:7
reporter 7:21 58:23 Reporting 1:17
represent 7:19
representatives 5:24 18:7 60:5
represented 18:9
representing 22:6 37:14 52:9
represents 32:17
reproductive 12:25

62:21
reputation 60:15
request 6:25 7:3
require 30:6 49:2
required 5:17
requirements 4:14
5:10,14,15 6:13
6:14 19:20
requires 32:8 43:8,9
requiring 15:21
research 26:20
48:21
researching 23:1
resident 11:14
21:18 23:15
residential 32:23
residents 24:25 32:5
39:19 50:21
residues 58:5
resolve 9:24
resource 3:17 42:8
resources 14:18
15:6,24 31:10
50:11
respect 35:9,18,23
respectful 8:6
respond 4:6
respondent 64:15
responding 8:11
Response 5:21 15:8
responses 47:16
responsibilities 4:15
50:10
responsibility 16:14
19:18 44:21 57:23
responsible 4:13
17:18 45:17
responsive 47:9
rest 25:4
restoration 33:4
restore 47:23
result 26:23 27:19
resulted 66:1
resulting 16:15
reti- 24:25
revealed 17:15
review 9:3,9,21
17:15 49:22 50:5
50:6 65:21 66:3,8
Reviews 9:20
revised 17:17
revisions 3:7 4:17
4:22 5:8,12,19
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

revisiting 28:1
Rhode 43:20
Richard 2:11 31:3
32:11,13,14,14
34:6
ridiculous 49:6
right 3:19 6:3 7:14
10:11,19,22 11:2
11:5,5,11,13 12:6
13:14,18,20 14:13
21:14,25 25:18,22
25:24 30:9,10
31:1,6,7 34:17 37:4,9 38:8,25
37:4,9 38:8,25
41:14 42:6,10
46:11,18 51:18
53:22 55:23 59:2
60:16,23 64:20,21
66:15 67:7
Riley 37:2,3 53:4,20 risk 13:5,10 27:11
risk 13:5,10 27:11
34:11 53:10
Riske 31:6
risks 22:21 26:24
river 22:14 59:17,18
RO 52:19,21
Road 22:13
Rob 24:19,22
Robert 2:10 28:12
31:1,2,4,5,8 59:8
Robinson 31:14,14
31:15,17 32:1
62:8 63:23
rocket 49:15
Rockford 14:1
20:19 21:18 32:19
34:21 41:23 54:10
58:7,7
role 15:25 29:1
38:17,18
room 3:18 18:9
26:10 44:21,22
Roper 2:6 13:22
14:14,15,16,17
16:10
round 66:8
rubber 25:2
rude 10:14
rule 3:7,8 5:8,11 6:4
12:13 18:23 26:8
56:6 58:10 65:24
66:2,13
rulamaking 0:10 14

9:17 10:6 18:4
56:25 65:16,18
66:12 67:17
rules 1:5 3:7 4:19,22
6:5,8,10,23,25
8:11,20 9:5,7,8,9
9:12,13,17,19,21
10:1,2,3 12:16
15:5,13 16:4,5
18:10,12,15,18,19
19:5,13,25 37:24
40:15 41:2,22
62:11,12,24 63:13
65:7,20,20,25
66:3,6,8,10,14
Rules' 10:7
rural 62:22,22

65:7,20,20,25
66:3,6,8,10,14
Rules' 10:7
rural 62:22,22
S
S-a-n-d-y 11:17
S-c-o-t-t 22:4
S-h-a-n-n-o-n 61:14
S-t-e-l-t 11:18
S-u-e 13:25
sadly 64:10
safe 4:15 14:9,10
16:17 20:3 37:19
42:4 52:13,13,16
63:3
safeguards 34:10
safely 49:5
safety 27:14
sampling 4:18 5:13
6:13
Sandy 2:5 10:21,21
11:1,3,7,10,12,13
11:14,15,17,17
25:13
Sandy's 50:25
sarcasm 42:18
saying 37:15 43:6
59:8,12 60:3
scale 40:10
scarier 62:9
school 31:15,16,17
31:18,25 32:1
54:12
science 5:21 12:3
15:9,14 17:12,15
19:2,11,14 28:19
30:17 33:5,22 35:14,17,19,22
35:14,17,19,22
11.10 15.00 16.2

41:18 45:22 46:3

16.455660	ant 2.9 6.6 11.24	oly /11-12-12-15	stakeholders 5:22	49:18 51:8 53:21
46:4,5,5,6,6,9	set 3:8 6:6 11:24 12:13 14:11 24:14	six 41:12,13,15	18:16 43:12 44:18	1
48:25 49:6,23,24		slides 6:2		statements 65:2 67:5,8
50:1 62:13	25:16,18 28:18	slightly 49:14 small 19:22 44:24	44:18 stand 20:6 60:11	states 12:7 16:1
scientific 26:16,20	30:12 35:11,14 51:24		63:8	21:6 43:19 50:10
27:23,25 28:3	setting 14:6 30:13	smaller 36:17,22 53:9	standard 14:6,6,11	51:25
scientist 49:15,15)
scoop 59:1	30:24 34:8	Smallfield 24:3	28:18 30:2,12	stay 50:23
scope 26:11	seven 5:13 10:5 12:4	25:21 53:22,22	33:19 36:1,12	steel 42:1
Scott 2:8 21:15 22:1	19:13 26:14,17,23	Snyder 35:21	48:25 50:4 51:24 56:19	step 8:15 12:6 13:14 13:15 26:9 30:18
22:3,4,4 23:21	27:16 33:11 34:9	soil 38:3,12,13		1
57:17,18,18	35:9,18 39:12	solid 35:13	standards 4:18 5:4	33:3 51:18 65:18
SE 40:5	41:13 57:8	solutions 15:25	5:9 6:13 12:10	step-by-step 10:6
Secondly 44:17	Shaking 58:23	somebody 57:19	13:13 14:1,25	stepped 11:22 25:23
secret 43:1,1,4	Shannon 2:17 61:12	son 54:16	15:7,14 16:4,13	steps 7:7 28:7
secretary 10:4 39:8	61:13,14	sons 54:14	16:17 20:5,6,24	stick 3:21
Section 18:2	share 29:3,10 44:4	soon 36:9 42:2	25:15 28:1,2,4	stop 13:9 14:8 20:25
sections 18:5	57:19	sorry 10:25 11:15	30:6,8,14,16,25	59:22,23
see 11:24 12:1 17:16	shared 19:7	11:16 31:2 40:21	32:25 33:22 35:24	stopping 14:7
21:3 29:19 35:8	sheet 66:20	51:11 52:5	36:12,24 43:13	stories 30:3
37:16,20 42:24	ship 42:21	sorts 21:12 source 20:7 28:5	44:2 49:22 50:17	story 46:25 50:25 50:25
44:17 46:1 49:7	shocked 22:25	1	51:19 52:2 53:9	1 ' ' ' '
57:12,13 60:16	short-term 13:10	63:24	53:11,14,16 56:10	straight 27:8
66:24	shortcomings 15:12	sources 20:2 38:20	56:12,14,16,17,24	straightforward
seeing 42:25 63:16	shorter 49:12	41:19 55:19 62:15	57:2,5,6,9,12	18:20 42:19
seen 20:23 24:18	show 28:20,22 29:17	62:17 64:2	standing 64:8 66:24	stream 31:12 63:7
40:19	30:22 45:8	speak 7:9,13,14,24	stands- 20:5	street 1:6,11 22:22
senate 9:15	shows 33:15	7:25 42:17 55:1	start 11:3 13:13	23:16 32:20 55:8
senator 2:14 46:17	shwiller 51:11	55:22 61:6 63:5	14:7 20:21 37:15	62:19 64:2
46:18	sick 17:11	speaker 8:7	63:16 65:23	stretching 18:13
senators 60:4	side 45:1 55:8,9	speakers 30:16	started 17:7 20:19	stricter 50:3
send 8:22,25 23:17	Sierra 39:8	39:10 40:23	22:15 36:3,9	string 41:6
24:1 63:1 65:15	sign 10:18 61:13	speaking 32:15	47:11	stringent 56:21
67:16	sign-in 66:20	special 15:2	state 1:1 4:14,22	stripped 23:7,7
senior 14:18	signed 23:3	specific 4:22 42:7	7:18 10:4,23	stripper 22:19
sense 38:21,21	signs 45:2	specifically 15:5	11:22 12:6,20	strong 13:13 23:11
44:15 53:16 58:10	similar 26:21 27:4	26:13	14:1,3 17:11,14	23:11 28:18 30:6
sensitive 34:3	49:13,13	specifics 42:9	19:18 24:10 25:9	50:17 51:18 54:8
sent 9:8	simple 43:14,15	specified 41:11	28:1,3,7,22 30:2	stronger 14:4
separate 56:13	49:9	spell 7:20 10:23	30:18,19,23 33:19	strongest 30:8
September 17:7	simpler 43:15	11:15 13:23 54:3	35:2,19 36:2,6	strongly 51:22
sequel 25:17	simply 12:3	58:22	37:23,25 46:18	struck 47:12
serious 15:6	single 46:11	spelled 34:20 40:4	47:7,8,18 48:18	structure 21:11
serum 34:14	sink 52:19	46:20	49:1 50:21 55:2	stuck 43:3
servant 50:9	sir 25:20 32:11	spend 4:6 60:18	56:12 57:16,22	studied 26:19 27:3,4
servants 60:14	34:17 55:25 57:15	spirit 18:7	59:13 60:5	studies 12:23 23:14
serve 4:13 47:10	sit 43:24 61:5 65:1	spoken 55:22,23	state's 27:8	38:16 54:18
serves 32:24	site 10:8	Springs 21:19,21	statement 2:3,4,5,5	study 23:2 51:24
service 31:5,8	sites 6:25 25:9 32:20	square 32:21	2:6,6,7,7,8,8,9,9	studying 43:8,18
serviced 62:17	53:11 58:4	staff 3:15 8:11,12	2:10,10,11,11,12	44:10
Services 3:6,16 66:9	sitting 38:11 63:25	15:2 42:8	2:12,13,13,14,14	stuff 42:2
session 46:4 49:25	situation 32:7 38:20	stake 44:19	2:15,15,16,16,17	Sturry 54:9
66:12	40:13 42:24 45:19	stakeholder 9:10	2:17,18 6:23 7:5	subjected 25:6
sessions 18:11,16	46:25 47:19 51:2	18:5	7:12,15 10:11	submit 8:21 9:22
	1	l	***	<u> </u>

23:24 53:23 65:13
67:14
submits 9:24
submitting 15:11
subset 55:16
substances 5:2,11
15:23 27:11
substitution 27:2
successful 16:15
sudden 62:19
Sue 2:5 13:21,22,24
13:25,25
sued 43:12
suffered 17:4 39:15
suffering 34:1
sufficiently 26:24
sugarcoating 59:25
suggest 17:14
suggesting 38:16
suicide 54:16
summarizes 9:4
summer 31:21
Supervisor 1:10
supplies 4:13 5:15
5:16 19:22 33:4
53:13
supply 4:18 32:24
Supplying 1:5 3:8
4:20
support 17:17 30:22
33:1 35:2 65:5
supports 26:7 39:10
supports 20.7 59.10 supposed 43:5
52:17 64:23
suppressed 34:2
sure 7:21 10:24 29:13 32:4 36:20
47:7 54:2,3 61:11
66:19
surface 38:14
surprised 60:24
surrounding 46:19
susceptible 33:25
suspend 64:24
66:15
swift 34:14
swiftly 30:2
swimming 61:21
synergistic 26:15
27:9,15 33:13
system 17:19 32:7
52:19,21
systems 15:21 19:22
1

REAT LAKES AND ENERGY PUBLIC HER
23:13 34:2 36:14 36:17,22 53:10,15 56:15
Т
T-factors 27:20
T-h-e-r-e-s-a 17:1
52:9
table 2:1 7:17 18:15
44:19 57:11
tables 3:20
tablespoon 25:3
tag 19:17
take 3:10 4:3 5:5
10:4 19:18 31:24
39:11 41:14,19
43:25 49:10,15
60:11 67:1
taken 29:21 39:23
50:9
talk 44:5 50:22 53:4
53:5 57:19
talking 47:2 63:23
64:1
tannery 32:19 58:6
taught 31:20
taxes 14:9 17:9
teacher 54:10
Team 5:21 15:8
techie 40:16
technologies 18:25
tell 41:25 44:21
tells 17:13
temporarily 64:24
term 48:13
terms 48:1 49:1
50:1,2
terrific 40:21
test 52:15
tested 62:22,24
testicular 12:24
23:1
testing 19:1 33:14
39:19 40:9 62:15
thank 4:11 10:9
11:19 13:17,18,19
14:11,13 16:21,22
17:19,20 20:10,14
21:14,17,24,25
23:21 24:8,9
25:19,20 26:3,4
28:9,11,20,21 30:25 31:1 8

30:25 31:1,8

51:6 52:4 53:1,2 53:17,18 54:2,3 54:20 55:19,20 57:14,15 58:18 61:6,7,9 64:18,19 64:20 65:4 67:1 67:18 thankful 64:12 thanks 6:1 10:11 13:23 15:2 38:24 42:5 52:3 58:19 61:7 That'd 23:20 Theresa 2:6,15 14:14 16:22,24,25 16:25 51:11 52:4 52:7,8,8 thing 43:3 44:13 47:12 50:7 56:5 56:21 62:4 things 10:18 17:13 19:9 21:4 43:5 46:24 48:24 49:16 50:22 51:4 55:1 56:9 59:7 think 12:3,14,20 21:1,8,9 24:25 25:6 32:9 35:11 35:13,25 36:6,7,9 36:25 37:5 38:19 40:18 42:18,19,20 42:21 43:15 47:18 48:17,22 49:5,14 49:18 52:17 54:8 57:2,9 62:10,17 62:17 thinking 44:10 thousands 12:5 27:17 53:10 threats 26:21 three 6:17 7:25 14:19 15:12,20 22:8 31:15 52:18 65:25 three-minute 10:15 threshold 24:15	32:10,11 34:15,16 34:17 35:5 36:25 37:1 38:24 39:6 39:24,25,25 42:3 42:6 43:10,17 45:11,12 46:13,14 46:21 47:6,6 51:5
64:20 65:4 67:1 67:18 thankful 64:12 thanks 6:1 10:11 13:23 15:2 38:24 42:5 52:3 58:19 61:7 That'd 23:20 Theresa 2:6,15 14:14 16:22,24,25 16:25 51:11 52:4 52:7,8,8 thing 43:3 44:13 47:12 50:7 56:5 56:21 62:4 things 10:18 17:13 19:9 21:4 43:5 46:24 48:24 49:16 50:22 51:4 55:1 56:9 59:7 think 12:3,14,20 21:1,8,9 24:25 25:6 32:9 35:11 35:13,25 36:6,7,9 36:25 37:5 38:19 40:18 42:18,19,20 42:21 43:15 47:18 48:17,22 49:5,14 49:18 52:17 54:8 57:2,9 62:10,17 62:17 thinking 44:10 thousands 12:5 27:17 53:10 threats 26:21 three 6:17 7:25 14:19 15:12,20 22:8 31:15 52:18 65:25 three-minute 10:15	51:6 52:4 53:1,2 53:17,18 54:2,3 54:20 55:19,20 57:14,15 58:18
42:5 52:3 58:19 61:7 That'd 23:20 Theresa 2:6,15 14:14 16:22,24,25 16:25 51:11 52:4 52:7,8,8 thing 43:3 44:13 47:12 50:7 56:5 56:21 62:4 things 10:18 17:13 19:9 21:4 43:5 46:24 48:24 49:16 50:22 51:4 55:1 56:9 59:7 think 12:3,14,20 21:1,8,9 24:25 25:6 32:9 35:11 35:13,25 36:6,7,9 36:25 37:5 38:19 40:18 42:18,19,20 42:21 43:15 47:18 48:17,22 49:5,14 49:18 52:17 54:8 57:2,9 62:10,17 62:17 thinking 44:10 thousands 12:5 27:17 53:10 threats 26:21 three 6:17 7:25 14:19 15:12,20 22:8 31:15 52:18 65:25 three-minute 10:15	64:20 65:4 67:1 67:18 thankful 64:12 thanks 6:1 10:11
14:14 16:22,24,25 16:25 51:11 52:4 52:7,8,8 thing 43:3 44:13 47:12 50:7 56:5 56:21 62:4 things 10:18 17:13 19:9 21:4 43:5 46:24 48:24 49:16 50:22 51:4 55:1 56:9 59:7 think 12:3,14,20 21:1,8,9 24:25 25:6 32:9 35:11 35:13,25 36:6,7,9 36:25 37:5 38:19 40:18 42:18,19,20 42:21 43:15 47:18 48:17,22 49:5,14 49:18 52:17 54:8 57:2,9 62:10,17 62:17 thinking 44:10 thousands 12:5 27:17 53:10 threats 26:21 three 6:17 7:25 14:19 15:12,20 22:8 31:15 52:18 65:25 three-minute 10:15	42:5 52:3 58:19 61:7 That'd 23:20
things 10:18 17:13 19:9 21:4 43:5 46:24 48:24 49:16 50:22 51:4 55:1 56:9 59:7 think 12:3,14,20 21:1,8,9 24:25 25:6 32:9 35:11 35:13,25 36:6,7,9 36:25 37:5 38:19 40:18 42:18,19,20 42:21 43:15 47:18 48:17,22 49:5,14 49:18 52:17 54:8 57:2,9 62:10,17 62:17 thinking 44:10 thousands 12:5 27:17 53:10 threats 26:21 three 6:17 7:25 14:19 15:12,20 22:8 31:15 52:18 65:25 three-minute 10:15	14:14 16:22,24,25 16:25 51:11 52:4 52:7,8,8 thing 43:3 44:13
56:9 59:7 think 12:3,14,20 21:1,8,9 24:25 25:6 32:9 35:11 35:13,25 36:6,7,9 36:25 37:5 38:19 40:18 42:18,19,20 42:21 43:15 47:18 48:17,22 49:5,14 49:18 52:17 54:8 57:2,9 62:10,17 62:17 thinking 44:10 thousands 12:5 27:17 53:10 threats 26:21 three 6:17 7:25 14:19 15:12,20 22:8 31:15 52:18 65:25 three-minute 10:15	things 10:18 17:13 19:9 21:4 43:5 46:24 48:24 49:16
35:13,25 36:6,7,9 36:25 37:5 38:19 40:18 42:18,19,20 42:21 43:15 47:18 48:17,22 49:5,14 49:18 52:17 54:8 57:2,9 62:10,17 62:17 thinking 44:10 thousands 12:5 27:17 53:10 threats 26:21 three 6:17 7:25 14:19 15:12,20 22:8 31:15 52:18 65:25 three-minute 10:15	56:9 59:7 think 12:3,14,20 21:1,8,9 24:25 25:6 32:9 35:11
49:18 52:17 54:8 57:2,9 62:10,17 62:17 thinking 44:10 thousands 12:5 27:17 53:10 threats 26:21 three 6:17 7:25 14:19 15:12,20 22:8 31:15 52:18 65:25 three-minute 10:15	35:13,25 36:6,7,9 36:25 37:5 38:19 40:18 42:18,19,20 42:21 43:15 47:18
27:17 53:10 threats 26:21 three 6:17 7:25 14:19 15:12,20 22:8 31:15 52:18 65:25 three-minute 10:15	49:18 52:17 54:8 57:2,9 62:10,17 62:17
22:8 31:15 52:18 65:25 three-minute 10:15	27:17 53:10 threats 26:21 three 6:17 7:25
	22:8 31:15 52:18 65:25 three-minute 10:15

thresholds 35:11,14
56:20
throw 48:13
thumbs 57:11
Thursday 66:4
thyroid 12:24
tight 11:6
tightest 52:24
tightrope 43:11
time 4:5,6,7 7:11,23
8:2,3,4 10:13 13:1
14:12 15:23 16:13
17:16 20:11,12
28:25 29:12 34:22
40:22 45:17,21
45:8 48:1,2 53:24
55:25 56:5,18
63:9 64:18 65:7
66:15
timeline 56:6
timely 30:21
timer 10:15
times 37:19 51:16
Tip 26:6 tire 24:24 25:1,2
Titanic 42:21
to- 61:23
Tobin's 50:25
today 4:22 7:5 8:8
18:3 22:5 24:21
36:19 37:14 61:16
today's 6:7
tolerance 24:16
tolls 13:3
ton 61:19
tonight 6:11 7:23
8:23 26:5 28:24
43:16 44:22 47:1
47:21 49:10 50:8
50:23 53:25 60:3
60:6 65:5
tonight's 3:20 4:4,6
4:7
top 31:19 64:4,8,8
total 4:25 15:20
30:12 33:17,20
36:1,5 51:24
tough 18:13
toughest 30:25 township 17:2 22:7
10WnSnip 1 / ;Z ZZ;/
22:10,18,24 23:8
23:15 31:14 32:23
39:15 40:6 41:23

55:9,13 57:24,24
62:9 63:23
toxic 5:2 30:7
toxicity 26:18
toxin 24:13
toxins 61:24
track 10:13
tragedy 51:1
Training 1:10
trajectory 29:7
48:23
transcripts 7:22
65:25
transparency 18:6
42:4 64:19
transport 38:17
treat 41:10 49:4
treated 40:17 58:6
treatment 15:22
18:25 19:21
tree 25:11
tremendous 24:20
trenches 24:12
tribulations 29:14
trichloroethylene
40:19
tries 44:5
triggers 17:6,13
trillion 14:3 21:7
24:15 25:16 32:9
33:20 36:4 37:17
33:20 36:4 37:17 41:12,16,16 53:15
55:12 56:19
true 56:13 61:4
truly 17:5
truly 17:5 trumpet 43:23
truly 17:5 trumpet 43:23 Trust 11:10
trumpet 43:23
trumpet 43:23 Trust 11:10 truth 60:1
trumpet 43:23 Trust 11:10
trumpet 43:23 Trust 11:10 truth 60:1 try 15:3 40:23 41:10
trumpet 43:23 Trust 11:10 truth 60:1 try 15:3 40:23 41:10 41:11 45:1 48:15
trumpet 43:23 Trust 11:10 truth 60:1 try 15:3 40:23 41:10 41:11 45:1 48:15 58:10 tub 52:20 turned 7:10
trumpet 43:23 Trust 11:10 truth 60:1 try 15:3 40:23 41:10 41:11 45:1 48:15 58:10 tub 52:20 turned 7:10 turnout 44:25
trumpet 43:23 Trust 11:10 truth 60:1 try 15:3 40:23 41:10 41:11 45:1 48:15 58:10 tub 52:20 turned 7:10
trumpet 43:23 Trust 11:10 truth 60:1 try 15:3 40:23 41:10 41:11 45:1 48:15 58:10 tub 52:20 turned 7:10 turnout 44:25 two 11:24 15:17 21:8 22:8 54:11
trumpet 43:23 Trust 11:10 truth 60:1 try 15:3 40:23 41:10 41:11 45:1 48:15 58:10 tub 52:20 turned 7:10 turnout 44:25 two 11:24 15:17 21:8 22:8 54:11 54:14 56:12 57:4
trumpet 43:23 Trust 11:10 truth 60:1 try 15:3 40:23 41:10 41:11 45:1 48:15 58:10 tub 52:20 turned 7:10 turnout 44:25 two 11:24 15:17 21:8 22:8 54:11
trumpet 43:23 Trust 11:10 truth 60:1 try 15:3 40:23 41:10 41:11 45:1 48:15 58:10 tub 52:20 turned 7:10 turnout 44:25 two 11:24 15:17 21:8 22:8 54:11 54:14 56:12 57:4 59:1 two-thirds 58:2
trumpet 43:23 Trust 11:10 truth 60:1 try 15:3 40:23 41:10 41:11 45:1 48:15 58:10 tub 52:20 turned 7:10 turnout 44:25 two 11:24 15:17 21:8 22:8 54:11 54:14 56:12 57:4 59:1

		[]		l
U.S 4:23 15:1 16:2	various 30:13	19:22 20:3,4,7	wells 22:16 23:16	23:23,23 66:1
24:7	vastness 52:25	21:9,22,23 22:9	32:23	wrong 60:16
ulcerative 12:23	verbal 9:3	22:11,12,15,16,19	went 22:18 42:22	wrongs 47:22
Ultimately 27:4	Vermont 12:8 14:3	22:22,23,23 23:5	55:7,7	Wynn-Stelt 2:5
uncertain 27:20	21:6 43:20	23:10 27:8,10,23	weren't 43:5 53:3	10:21 11:1,3,7,10
43:22	vests 38:22	28:6 29:5,23	West 1:6,11 28:16	11:12,13,14,17,18
underneath 62:6	veteran 24:8	31:17,20,21,24,25	37:14 43:2	25:14
64:6	Virginia 43:2	32:4,24,25 33:4	whatsoever 24:17	Wyoming 17:25
underscore 34:14	visible 64:7	33:14,22 34:11,12	White 61:20,22 62:1	
understand 8:7 14:5	vital 26:9	35:24 36:10,11,11	62:1	X
18:17 37:23 38:18	VOCs 22:11,19 23:6	36:14,16,21 38:14	Whitehall 61:25	
48:20 49:16 56:17	voice 61:4	38:14,15 39:15	Whitmer 14:24 44:7	<u> </u>
58:1	voices 19:7 45:6	40:17 42:4 46:13	Wide 32:19 39:18	yeah 10:19 14:14
understanding 19:1	60:1 61:4	49:3,4 51:4,19,20	57:25 59:4,14	23:22 44:12 51:14
19:11 52:2 56:25	void 35:6	52:14,19,22,23	widespread 34:11	59:3,7 61:4,9
understood 48:10	vote 9:11 66:5	53:9,13 55:3,8,13	Winnie 2:14 45:13	year 17:9,16 38:1
unenforceable 4:25	voted 60:10	55:17,18 56:10,14	46:14,16,17,17	57:12
unfold 19:12	Voters 28:17	57:20 58:1,5,7,11	wishes 42:4	years 11:24 17:5
unfolding 19:12	vulnerable 15:15	59:24 62:6,15,24	withdrawn 10:2	20:23 21:20 22:8
unfortunate 51:2	16:20 30:15 33:24	waters 13:4 24:19	Wolven 32:20	22:8,10,12 25:8
unfortunately 25:12		24:22 25:18,19	Wolverine 32:16,17	29:4,16 31:16
25:17 62:5	W	32:2,2 42:25 59:5	32:19 34:6,24	39:14 43:8 46:8
unit 1:10 49:4	W-i-n-n-i-e 46:20	Watershed 26:6,7	39:18 45:18 57:25	54:13,14
University 24:10	W-y-n-n 11:18	Waterworks 18:2	58:3,14 59:4,14	York 12:8 43:21
unknown 63:10	wait 21:3	way 7:20 13:20	women 15:16 33:25	young 44:20 52:18
64:2,3	waited 21:4	19:15 20:4,8,8	wonder 64:5	RADAMINIST TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOT
unsafe 51:20	waiting 15:1 40:10	21:13 23:2 27:2	wondering 54:17	Z
updated 46:6,6 52:2	walked 8:24	35:12 38:6 40:11	word 43:7 59:22	zero 25:16 27:5
uphold 50:9	walking 43:10	40:23 44:2 47:17	words 44:4	40:22
ur- 51:22	want 3:19 7:5,23,25	49:14 50:24 52:21	work 5:21 11:19,22	
urge 27:25 28:3,17	10:21 11:19 17:8	56:11 57:13 60:18	12:1 13:2 15:3,9	0
49:10 50:16 51:23	20:10,13,21 28:20	63:13	17:8,24 19:10	0-0-0-67:21
62:10 63:7,13	28:22 29:6,10	ways 12:21 29:9	20:9 24:20,21	
urgency 32:24 43:8	35:15,16 44:12	38:3	29:1 30:9,20	11
urgent 43:8,24 64:4	45:23 46:21 47:5	we'll 4:2,5 10:19	31:11 33:18 36:25	1 4:20
64:9	47:6,25 50:8 51:8	23:25 50:23 51:9	37:20 42:23 46:1	1-800-632-2720
use 6:9 7:4 35:23	53:20 59:23,25	66:17,17,21 67:1	50:15 52:23 53:8	1:18
40:10 43:7 46:6	60:1 61:5 63:1	we're 3:24,25 11:11	53:11	1.9 29:24
49:24 50:18 56:19	65:1,4 66:17 67:4	23:12 34:21 36:18	worked 43:2 44:2	10 64:7
56:20 59:10	wanted 21:21 35:4	36:23 38:8 40:12	working 12:9 13:16	100 37:19
usually 33:15	55:1 57:19 59:4	43:6 44:16 46:10	20:22 29:4 52:1	11 2:5
utilities 17:25 18:20	wants 53:4 55:21,22	57:5,11 59:11,11	works 40:20	112th 64:2
utilize 18:21	55:24 59:25 64:21	59:21 62:4 63:12	world 32:19 39:18	12 64:7
utilized 56:14	67:6	63:17 64:22 67:5	57:25 59:4,14	12-member 9:11
utilizing 36:4	wasn't 29:6	we've 21:3 30:15	60:23	12th 6:19
utmost 19:19	waste 17:19 22:18	36:10 39:9,13	worried 51:19 56:5	13 2:5
	watching 52:10 61:1	42:7 44:8 53:21	worse 48:22	13-36:14 62:23
V	water 1:5 3:8,13	61:10,18,21,24	worth 46:10,10	1300 36:17,23 56:15
Valley 24:9 31:11	4:12,13,14,14,16	62:3	worthwhile 36:6	56:15
value 33:16	4:17,18,20 5:9,15	web 6:25 10:7	wrapping 8:2	14 2:6
values 15:10 27:13	5:15,17,23 6:13	Wednesday 1:7 3:2	writing 65:13 67:14	14- 56:15
33:3 55:5	14:25 15:19,21,22	week 25:4 43:19	written 7:16 8:21,22	1400 36:14,17,23
variety 12:21	16:3,12,19 19:17	welcome 3:6	9:3,24 15:11	56:15 62:23
			[

				<u> </u>
	1	1	 	I
15 25:8 39:14 62:20	42-page 40:15			
66:12	45 2:14		•	
16 2:6	46 2:14			
16th 6:21	48909 1:11			
17 2:7 17:5				
1969 6:7	5			
1976 4:16	5 41:8			
1979 21:19	5:00 65:12 67:13			
E .	4			
1st 6:20	5:30 1:7			
	50 32:8			
2	51 2:15			
20 2:7 21:7 25:8	511 40:5			
33:20 36:4 44:22	517 1:12			
200 32:7	52 2:15			
2008 37:18	525 1: 11			
2018 17:7	53 2:16			
2019 6:19,21	54 2:16,17			
2019-35 3:8	56 2:11			
2020 1:7 3:2 6:20	57 2:8			
65:10 67:11	58 2:13			
21 2:8	30 4,13			
	6			
22 2:8				
24 2:9 21:8	6 2:3 41:8			
25 31:16 32:21	6:06 3:2			
55:17	61 2:17			
26 2:9	64 2:18			
26th 66:6	69,000 14:20			
27th 36:3 66:6	6924 1:16			
28 2:10 4:20				
284-6870 1:12	7			
29th 46:18	7: 59 67:19			
	70 14:2 24:14 32:9			
3	37:17 53:14 55:11			
3 2:3	56:19			
30 25:8	70's 64:9			
301 1:6				
306 6:7	8			
31 2:10	8 1:7 3:2 45:23			
31st 8:20 9:3 24:2	8:00 64:23,25 65:1			
	66:16,23			
65:10,13 67:11,13	80's 22:15 23:6			
32 2:11	1			
34 2:11	8151 1:18			
37 2:12	9			
38 22:6				
39 2:12	900 32:22			
399 4:16				
4				
4 2:4				
402:13				
1				
40,000 32:24 33:8				
400,000 41:16				
42 2:13				
		<u>l</u> :	<u> </u>	

MICHIGAN DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES, AND ENERGY PUBLIC HEARING

January 14, 2020

Prepared by

Network Reporting — STATEWIDE COURT REPORTERS

depos@networkreporting.com Phone: 800.632.2720 Fax: 800.968.8653 www.networkreporting.com

Let us assist you GLOBALLY for all of your deposition needs.

STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES and ENERGY

PUBLIC HEARING

FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES SUPPLYING WATER TO THE PUBLIC 4800 East Huron River Drive, Ann Arbor, Michigan

Tuesday, January 14, 2020, 6:00 p.m.

APPEARANCES:

For the Department of Environment, Great

MR. JAMES OSTROWSKI

Supervisor, Training and Outreach Unit

525 West Allegan Street Lansing, Michigan 48909

(517) 284-6870

EGLE PANEL:

Mr. Dave Fiedler Mr. Eric Oswald

RECORDED BY:

Marcy A. Klingshirn, CER 6924 Certified Electronic Recorder Network Reporting Corporation Firm Registration Number 8151 1-800-632-2720



1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	PAGE
3	
4	Statement by Mr. Ostrowski
5	Statement by Mr. John Buhl
6	Statement by Ms. Renee Gregory
7	Statement by Mr. Kevin Fisher
8	Statement by Ms. Rita Loch-Caruso
9	Statement by Ms. Stacy Taylor
10	Statement by Mr. Keith Lee
11	Statement by Dr. Elaine Chottiner, M.D
12	Statement by Mr. Bill Creal
13	Statement by Mr. Vince Caruso
14	Statement by Mr. Fred Iles
15	Statement by Mr. Aaron Pelo 46
16	Statement by Ms. Jennifer Davis 50
17	Statement by Ms. Sue Shink
18	Statement by Dr. Stephen C. Brown, Ph.D
19	Statement by Ms. Lisa Capozza
20	Statement by Mr. Roger Rayle
21	Statement by Ms. Lisa Wozniak
22	Statement by Mr. John Machowicz
23	Statement by Mr. Jeff Hayner
24	Statement by Ms. Monica Ross-Williams
25	Closing Statement by Mr. Ostrowski 87
	Page 2



1	Ann Arbor, Michigan
2	Tuesday, January 14, 2020 - 6:05 p.m.
3 .	MR. OSTROWSKI: Good evening. The Michigan
4	Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy in
5	partnership with the Michigan Department of Health and Human
6	Services would like to welcome you to the public hearing on
7	the proposed administrative rule revisions to: Rule Set
8	#2019-35 EG - Supplying Water to the Public.
9	My name is Jim Ostrowski, and I am the hearings
10	officer for this public hearing. I'd like to take this
11	opportunity to also introduce Eric Oswald, the Director of
12	Drinking Water and Environmental Health Division, who you
13	already heard from, also Dave Fiedler who is EGLE's
14	regulatory affairs officer. There are also several staff
15	from EGLE and the Michigan Department of Health and Human
16	Services available to answer your questions in the resource
17	area outside of the hearing room; so right out there
18	(indicating) where you came in. They will be at the tables
19	for the entire length of tonight's meeting and they'll stick
20	around also afterwards if you have questions.
21	So hearing agenda, first, we're going to begin
22	with some background information about why we're here, then
23	we'll describe the purpose of the hearing and how your
24	comments will be considered. Following that, we will
25	outline the procedures under which we will take your
	Page 3

1 comments and describe what will happen after tonight's 2 Then it will be time to hear your comments. 3 will spend the majority of tonight's time listening to and And I will mention that in 4 recording those comments. 5 addition to our live stream today, we've also got a court 6 reporter here who is recording all the comments for 7 transcription. 8 Dave Fiedler is going to come up now and provide 9 some background information for you all. 10 MR. FIEDLER: All right. Thanks, Jim. 11 evening. The Drinking Water and Environmental Health 12 Division of EGLE is responsible for ensuring Michigan's 13 Public Water Supplies deliver water that meets the state's 14 drinking water requirements set forth in the Michigan Safe 15 Drinking Water Act, or Public Act 399 of 1976, and its 16 associated administrative rules. Today we are here for a 17 public hearing on the proposed revisions to the 18 administrative rules titled "Supplying Water to the Public, 19 Parts 1 to 28." These revisions pertain to drinking water 20 standards, water supply sampling, public notification, and 21 laboratory provisions. 22 The proposed amendments to the administrative 23 rules we are discussing today are state specific revisions 24 that go beyond federal regulations. While the U.S. 25 Environmental Protection Agency has established an Page 4



unenforceable lifetime health advisory level for total PFOS
and PFOA, many academia, health officials, and the Agency
for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry deem this advisory
level is too high or not protective enough. In addition,
the lack of an enforceable standard for PFOS and PFOA and
other commonly found PFAS chemicals impairs EGLE's ability
to take enforceable actions to protect public health and the
environment.

EGLE's proposed rule revisions establish enforceable drinking water standards and monitoring requirements for several PFAS substances. Notable PFAS rule revisions include: The establishment of a maximum contaminant level for seven PFAS; the establishment of sampling requirements for community and nontransient noncommunity water supplies; public notification requirements for water supplies that either exceed a maximum contaminant level or did not perform monitoring as required; criteria for certifying drinking water laboratories for PFAS analysis.

When drafting the proposed rule revisions, EGLE considered data and recommendations made by the Michigan PFAS Response Team or MPART, MPART's Science Advisory work group, and numerous stakeholders including, but not limited to, environmental organizations, water industry representatives, public health professionals, and citizen



1	groups. Tonight's hearing gives you an opportunity to
2	provide information for EGLE to consider when finalizing the
3 .	revisions to these administrative rules. If you would like
4	to see the current proposed rule revisions, they are
5	available to the public on the Michigan Office of
6	Administrative Hearings and Rules web site and EGLE's
7	drinking water rule promulgation web site, and those web
8	sites I believe are on your agenda. That information on how
9	to get to those web sites are on your agenda.
10	So, again, thank you for coming tonight to
11	participate in this public hearing.
12	MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. Thanks, Dave. All
13	right. So I'm going to go over a few more things here.
14	First of all, the rulemaking process and today's procedures,
15	now I'm going to explain that. In order for the rules to be
16	adopted, the Department must follow the procedures set forth
17	in the Administrative Procedures Act, Act 306 of 1969. Now,
18	I will explain the rulemaking process and how your comments
19	will be used. In order for the rules to be adopted, the
20	Department must follow the procedures set forth in the
21	Administrative Procedures Act, Act 306 of 1969. The purpose
22	of today's hearing is to give anyone interested in the
23	proposed rules the an opportunity to provide information
24	the Department can use in making possible changes to the
25	draft rules. We are here tonight to receive public input on
	Page 6

1	these proposed changes intended to better protect public
2	health by establishing: PFAS drinking water standards, PFAS
3	sampling requirements, PFAS public notification
4	requirements, PFAS laboratory certification criteria.
5	The Notice of this Public Hearing was published in
6	three newspapers of general circulation: The Ann Arbor
7	News, Grand Rapids Press, and The Marquette Mining Journal
8	on December 12th, 2019. It was also published on January
9	1st, 2020, in the Michigan Register, and posted on the EGLE
10	calendar beginning on December 16th, 2019. Copies of the
11	Notice of Public Hearing, Regulatory Impact Statement, and
12	proposed rules have been available on the EGLE and Michigan
13	Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules web sites and
14	upon request.
15	As you came in, you were given an opportunity to
16	fill out an attendance card. Remember I was showing you
17	this one right here (indicating), this small one? We
18	request that everybody fill out a card and indicate if you
19	wish to make a comment, and that's that little checkbox in
20	the corner. We will use these cards to call upon those who
21	want to make a statement today. To ensure that the hearing
22	is conducted in a fair manner, we will follow these steps.
23	I will call on those who have indicated on the cards they
24	want to make a comment and want to speak, in the general
25	order in which the cards were turned in. When this has been
	Page 7

1 completed, and if time permits, I will ask if anyone else if 2 they would like to make a statement. 3 When your name is called, please come to the microphone right there (indicating), speak directly into the 4 5 microphone, and make your statement. If you have written 6 comments -- if you have, like, a written comment, like a packet of papers or something or materials that you would like to present, please hand them to me as you come to the 9 You could probably just leave them -- it's kind of 10 hard to get up here, I know. So if you want to leave them 11 up there next to the court reporter, I'll grab them over 12 there, too. So hand it to us before you begin your 13 comments. And when you -- when you begin your comments, 14 please state your name and spell it and also identify any group or association you may represent. We'll have limited 15 16 time today and want to be fair and allow everyone to speak. 17 Therefore, each person indicating they want to speak will be 18 given three minutes to make their comments and I will indicate when you have one minute left. At that time, 19 20 please begin wrapping up your comments and end within the 21 allotted time. If need be, I will indicate when your time 22 has ended. So I'll try to be kind of subtle. I'll give 23 you, like, a little one minute sign (indicating) or I'll say 24 "one minute" just to let you know. Okay? 25 Please be respectful to others and do not Page 8



interrupt a speaker. Please understand that the purpose of
the hearing today is to provide an opportunity to receive
and listen to your comments only. The hearing process does
not allow for open discussion or questions about the
proposed rules. EGLE staff will not be responding to
questions or comments during this hearing in here. However,
we have staff that are available in the lobby to answer
questions now and after we adjourn. All right. Almost
done.

So how the information will be used. The hearing is being recorded and your comments will become part of the information the Department will consider when finalizing the proposed rules. You will have until Friday, January 31st to submit your comments. Your agenda contains instructions on where to send the written comments if you did not bring them with you tonight.

January 31st, we will review all written and verbal comments and prepare an Agency Report which summarizes who is in favor of the draft rules and who is opposed to them. It will also contain an explanation of what additional changes EGLE will be making to the draft rules. The Agency Report and the final proposed rules will then be sent to the Environmental Rules Review Committee which provides stakeholder oversight of the Department's rulemaking. This



1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 12-member committee will vote to approve, approve with 2 changes, or reject the rules. If the committee approves the 3 rules, they will move forward to the Joint Committee on Administrative Rulemaking or JCAR, and JCAR is a bipartisan legislative committee made up of five house and five senate 6 members and they provide legislative oversight of the 7 rulemaking process. They can hold hearings on the rules and 8 can also object or ask the agency to make changes to the 9 rules.

approves with modification or rejects them, they must submit a notice of objection to EGLE explaining their decision. If EGLE cannot resolve the issues, then EGLE submits a written finding to the Governor, and if the Governor agrees with EGLE, then the rules proceed onward to JCAR. If the Governor does not agree with EGLE, the rules are withdrawn.

If the rules are not opposed by JCAR, then they will be filed with the Secretary of State and will take effect seven days after being filed. You can follow the rulemaking process, step-by-step by going to the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules' web site.

Thank you for your attention. I will now begin calling the names of those who have indicated they would like to make a statement. All right. So thanks for hanging in, everybody, with those opening remarks. So what I'm



1	going to do right now is start going through these. And,
2	again, when I call your name up, I'm going to call the
3 .	person speaking and I'm also going to call the person that's
4	on deck so you can be ready. All right? And, again, when
5	you come up, make sure you state your name and spell it so
6	our court reporter can get it accurate, and also if you're
7	representing any type of organization, make sure you say
8	that, too. Okay.
9	So the first person up is John Buhl, and then
10	after John is Charles Carpenter. So, John, come on up to
11	the mic and might want to tap it once to make sure it's
12	on. It should be on if there's a green light. You're on.
13	MR. JOHN BUHL: Hello.
14	MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. And you got three
15	minutes.
16	JOHN BUHL
17	MR. JOHN BUHL: I'm very glad you had this
18	meeting. What I would like to say is I am hoping that at
19	some point during your rulemaking process you decide certain
20	penalties for those who are unable or unwilling to complete
21	the testing in the appropriate time. I am hoping that you
22	have intent in the future to lower the allowable limits in
23	the nanogram per liter of water allowed. And I would hope
24	that in the future you could put out something in the per
25	gallon state so that most of the public can understand how
	Page 11

1	much or how little of this stuff is in the water. Do we yet
2	know how deep into the water table these chemicals have
3	gotten? That's my question. That's all I got for today.
4	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Sir, can you can you
5	state your name again? I forgot to make sure you did that.
6	MR. JOHN BUHL: John Buhl, B-u-h-l.
7	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. All right. And just
8	want to remind everybody I am looking at my phone, but it's
9	for my stop my timer, so that's why I'm looking at it.
10	I'm not being rude. All right. So Charles Carpenter, come
11	up next, and then after Charles is Renee Gregory. So,
12	Charles, right there (indicating). Thank you. Remember,
13	state your name and spell it. Thank you.
14	CHARLES CARPENTER
15	MR. CHARLES CARPENTER: Hi. Charles Carpenter, C-
16	a-r-p-e-n-t-e-r. I'm a volunteer with the Sierra Club here
17	in Michigan. The proposed PFAS rule is an important start.
18	I commend EGLE and Governor Whitmer for their leadership in
19	establishing an MCL for some PFAS chemicals. Clearly there
20	is no leadership at the federal level, so state action is
21	required. Michigan must protect Michigan waters. The good
22	news is that Michigan has already demonstrated leadership in
23	the identification of the scope of this problem. There is
24	no reason that we cannot define the solution as well to this
25	critically important issue.
	Page 12



PFAS, PFOA and related chemicals impact the drinking water of 1.9 Michiganders. That would be about one in five of us here tonight. People all over the state are impacted regardless of political party, economic status, race, or rural/urban location. This is an issue for all of us. All of us have a responsibility to protect the ground and surface waters of Michigan. These are our waters, not the fed's, not any corporation's.

I do believe, though, that EGLE should make one enhancement to the proposed rule. Because there are over 5,000 chemicals in the PFAS family and because industry is always creating new versions, EGLE should create a total combined MCL. Limiting the rule to seven currently well observed chemicals will not stay up with technology.

My son has a disease called primary sclerosing cholangitis. It is an autoimmune disease. His antibodies are attacking his liver because they think there is some poison there trying to destroy it. His doctors say based on averages he will die or need a transplant in 15 years. They do not know the cause of this disease. It is apparently some combination of bad genes and environmental toxins. I do not know that PFAS caused this disease. I do know that PFAS causes autoimmune disorders. I do know that my son was exposed to an unusual amount of waterproofing chemicals.

I ask that EGLE help us to do our best now, to



1	make sure that in 15 years other parents are not saying, "If
2	we had only known." Thank you.
3 .	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. So we got
4	we've got Renee Gregory up next for statement, and then
5	Nathan Murphy.
6	MS. RENEE GREGORY: I turn it off? I guess not.
7	MR. OSTROWSKI: You're on.
8	RENEE GREGORY
9	MS. RENEE GREGORY: Okay. Renee Gregory,
10	G-r-e-g-o-r-y, and I've lived in southeastern Michigan
11	pretty much all my life. I personally know four people with
12	bladder cancer that live in southeastern Michigan. And I'll
13	bet you that if everyone in this room thought hard, they
14	probably know somebody with it, too. It's prevalent and
15	we've all been scratching our heads, but look at look at
16	the water here. I mean, we've had Flint, we have the PFAS,
17	there's Gelman. I mean, it it's like it seems it's
18	insult to injury, you know, one day after the next. I
19	actually make my living flying for an airline. I travel all
20	over the place. And invariably when you're in a hotel
21	people are like, "Oh, where are you from? Where are your
22	crew based?" And when I say Michigan, it's it's funny to
23	watch their faces. They're all like, "Oh, wow. Yeah,
24	that's where the water is bad." Do we really want that
25	reputation here? Do we?
	Page 14



1	So this you guys are doing a good thing here
2	definitely, but what I'm concerned about is is it doesn't
3	seem to approach the aquifers. You know, we know that ag
4	uses PFAS and most certainly our friends doing the fracking
5	do also. There are wells, there are rural wells that the
6	counties use to determine how frozen the ground is. Testing
7	water at a township level is certainly a capability. You
8	look out in Lenawee County at all the fracking that's going
9	on, it's crazy not to. It's just you know, so what I'm
10	saying is is we've got to go further than this truly,
11	especially with the aquifers. And I guess I have to ask,
12	too, you know, this has been going on for 70 years. Any
13	part per million who benefits by there being any part per
14	million? It's not the people sitting here drinking the
15	water. It's some corporation. And I think we need to find
16	some way to recover from that and not be paying for it, not
17	bankrupting communities, and even schools and townships for
18	having to clean it up. That's it.
19	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. Nathan Murphy
20	and then Kevin Fisher. Nathan?
21	NATHAN MURPHY
22	MR. NATHAN MURPHY: Good evening. My name is
23	Nathan Murphy, M-u-r-p-h-y. I'm the state director for
24	Environment Michigan. We're a grass roots, citizen driven
25	organization and I'm here speaking on behalf of our
	Page 15

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thousands of Michigan members statewide.

I'd like to thank EGLE and MPART and Governor Whitmer for her leadership and being really ahead of the curve compared to the rest of the states in getting, trying to get on top of this PFAS issue. I think there's some really good things within the proposed rules, however, I have some real serious concerns about the rules.

First, we're only dealing with seven. there's almost 5,000 flavors of PFAS, if you will. concern is ten years from now or 15 years down the road we're going to be playing whack-a-mole and we're going to be back here talking about more types of PFAS that we didn't regulate the first time around. Another concern I have is that the rules that are proposed only deal with these in Each one individually and their toxicological effects are only addressed within each compound. 101, a lot of times compounds have synergistic or additive effects when put together, and we know from testing that we frequently find different types of PFAS together. real mistake to not look at the toxicological effects of these compounds when we find more than one. I also have a concern that the rules need to be more protective of our most vulnerable citizens. These rules don't include enough information about the health risks to pregnant women and the developing fetuses inside them, or children, people of

1.	chronic illnesses, and the elderly. That's a pretty big gap
2	that we need to take care of.
3	While this is a good start, I think it's the
4	equivalent of building a roof over a house and leaving a
5	large section of it un-roofed. We need to take into account
6	those other toxicological effects. Thank you for your
7	reference. I appreciate your time.
8	MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. Thank you. All right.
9	Kevin Fisher and Rita Loch-Caruso after Kevin.
10	KEVIN FISHER
11	MR. KEVIN FISHER: Hello. My name is Kevin
12	Fisher. I'm from Hazel Park, Michigan. So like I'm sure a
13	lot of us over the last few years, you know, I've been
14	following the PFAS issue as close as I could, and month
15	after month as more issues came up I just, you know, felt an
16	overwhelming feeling of of of fear and dread as as
17	these things went from really noticeable issues like what
18	happened with Wolverine on the west side of the state to
19	just unbelievably heartbreaking issues about what happened
20	with the Huron River. And so I really applaud EGLE for
21	taking these steps forward to to regulating these
22	chemicals. Like a lot of people have already said, I think
23	this is a really good first step. But my main concern here
24	is, you know, because this is a family of chemicals with
25	over 4,000 excuse me 5,000 different chemicals, I I
***************************************	Page 17
t	



1	would like to see a more proactive approach to to how we
2	regulate these chemicals. And I think, you know, a
3 .	cumulative standard, something more along the lines of, you
4	know, like instead of combined total of limits of all PFAS
5	within the water would be a good additional step in terms of
6	having a more proactive approach. As as the months go on
7	and as the years go on, I think we could expect to see that
8	there are more of the individual chemicals within the family
9	of PFAS is shown to to have these really detrimental
10	health effects, I want us to have, you know, a more
11	forward a forward reaching program where we can
12	knowing that we've already, you know, dealt with this from
13	the beginning and we can get a cumulative effect instead of,
14	like, you know, anything that's falling under the umbrella
15	of PFAS can be regulated and a limit be placed upon it for
16	all of our water systems. So thank you.
17	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Rita, and then
18	after Rita is Meena Salih, Salih (pronouncing).
19	RITA LOCH-CARUSO
20	MS. RITA LOCH-CARUSO: Hi. I'm Rita Loch-Caruso,
21	L-o-c-h hyphen C-a-r-u-s-o. With fewer than ten states
22	having any kind of rules on PFAS and drinking water or
23	promulgating or considering rules for, I do applaud the
24	state of Michigan for their proactive work on putting some
25	rules forward for protecting Michigan's public health.
	Page 18



1	Saying that, I agree with previous speakers who
2	have said that seven is a good start. These are important
3	PFAS's that have been targeted, but some kind of a combined
4	criterion also that would take into account the myriad
5	permutations possible for PFAS chemicals would be great.
6	Also, I did look through the materials pretty
7	quickly, but I am a little concerned about whether there is
8	adequate protection for pregnant women and for fetuses. I
9	noticed in the terms and decisions looking at populations at
10	risk it says, "Toxicologists consider PFAS exposures for
11	infants that may be breast fed or formula fed, children, and
12	adults." It doesn't say pregnant women or the unborn. I
13	don't know if that's an oversight. It also says in the next
14	paragraph that, "MDHHS evaluated models to account for
15	transfer of PFAS from mother to fetus," and they also
16	consider the longevity of PFAS chemicals. I thought that
17	would include PFAS transfer during pregnancy, but that's not
18	talking about health effects. That's not talking about
19	health effects to the mother and health effects to the
20	fetus. And so it's not clear from this information if, in
21	fact, health effects to pregnant women and to the unborn
22	were included in drawing up the the values for the MCLs
23	that are being proposed.
24	And I am further concerned because in this thicker
25	document I got on the rules under health effect language,
	Page 19



1	for five of the seven PFAS chemicals, there is mention of
2	health concerns for the unborn or for pregnant women.
3 .	The final comment I would like to make was
4	triggered by a comment in the introduction. It's important
5	that the detection for for the im in the
6	implementation that there be consistency with limits of
7	detection and with how values are samples are treated
8	when they have values that fall below the limit of
9	detection. If those values are assigned zero, it imparts
10	some prejudice to means and whether you're going to consider
11	it including means and medians or just means, because means
12	are much more impacted by lots of zero values, for example.
13	Thank you.
14	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Next up
15	is Meena Salih or Salih (pronouncing). Is Meena there? Oh,
16	come on down.
17	MS. MEENA SALIH: I don't want to make a comment.
18	MR. OSTROWSKI: You don't want to make a comment?
19	Okay. That that's fine. You don't have to make a
20	comment. Thank you. And then next up is Christy
21	McGillivray, McGillivray (pronouncing). Oh, come on down.
22	And after Christy is Stacy Taylor.
23	CHRISTY MCGILLIVRAY
24	MS. CHRISTY MCGILLIVRAY: Hi. Thank you. It's
25	Christy, C-h-r-i-s-t-y, and McGillivray is
	Page 20



2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

M-c-G-i-l-l-i-v-r-a-y, and I am the political and legislative director for the Michigan chapter of the Sierra Club. Thank you. These comments have been submitted in writing as well, but I will take a moment now to share them for the record. And thanks for your patience while I read them. I want to make sure I accurately capture them.

So as others have stated, we thank the state of Michigan for taking this really important step and taking this opportunity to take public comments. We are doing a lot more than other states and we applaud that. And we think we can go a little bit further in getting the best protections possible for Michigan citizens.

So taking that into account, we encourage MPART and the JCAR committee as a whole to take into account the So MPART's risk evaluations for combined effects of PFAS. specific PFAS do not take into account the additive effects of concurrent exposures to multiple PFAS. Aggregate exposure is a scientifically supported method used for both dioxins and PCBs and it is not protective of public health to set separate limits for a small number of individual PFAS chemicals. Michigan's water testing has confirmed that when water is contaminated with PFAS, people are nearly always The scientific advisory work exposed to multiple PFAS. group report itself noted on page 25, "Health concerns are based on total exposure to PFAS from many sources."



2

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So given the ubiquity of PFAS in consumer products, it's really incumbent to recommend drinking water standards that will take into account all the possible avenues of exposure to PFAS for Michigan citizens. Other states are doing this. Vermont has taken a step in the right direction by setting a combined MCL of 20 parts per trillion for five chemicals, and Massachusetts is on the cusp of taking a very similar approach in combining additive exposure.

As others have stated -- this is the second point -- all exposure models should be protective of our most vulnerable populations. MPART and EGLE should take into account the most developmentally vulnerable populations in our state when setting drinking water standards. supportive of the work group's use of exposure models that take into account the exposure of fetuses, infants, and children to PFAS, but the modeling used for GenX deviated from the exposure models used for the other six PFAS and used adult assumptions regarding liver toxicity. with the assessment of the Natural Resources Defense Council that this is a problem, especially because there is no combined value for PFAS included in the recommendations. think that the recommendations for GenX should reflect the logic of the work group's own report and require a significantly more protective health-based value in MCL



1.	until enough information becomes available to assess the
2	GenX threat. Chemicals like
3 -	MR. OSTROWSKI: You have one minute.
4	MS. CHRISTY MCGILLIVRAY: Pardon?
5	MR. OSTROWSKI: One minute.
6	MS. CHRISTY MCGILLIVRAY: All right. I'll go
7	quickly. Chemicals like GenX should not be treated as
8	innocent until proven guilty and we must put the burden of
9	proof, the proof of safety on the manufacturers that profit
10	from the sale of these chemicals instead of waiting for
11	Michigan citizens to get sick.
12	The last point. We need to account for mammary
13	gland development impacts from PFAS. The recommendations so
14	far do not take into account the effects of PFAS exposure on
15	mammary gland development. Recent observational studies in
16	people and experimental findings in laboratories have linked
17	exposures specifically in PFOA and shorter to shorter
18	durations of breastfeeding. The delays in mammary gland
19	development associated with PFOA at very low levels can lead
20	to long-term adverse health effects.
21	So taking all this into account, we strongly
22	support a combined MCL for PFAS that accounts for inevitable
23	multiple exposures and I will end my comments there. Thank
24	you.
25	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. Stacy Taylor
	Page 23



1	and then after Stacy is Denise Cantu.
2	STACY TAYLOR
3 .	MS. STACY TAYLOR: Hi. Stacy Taylor,
4	. I've been doing that for two and a half years. So
5	there's 194 acres being developed in Holly, Michigan and
6	it's going to threaten a lot of people's health and safety.
7	A gentleman was trying to build a manufactured home park.
8	Our community has fought for over 40 years to keep this from
9	happening because it's in a very forested wetlanded area.
10	The San the Marshall Sandstone Aquifer is at threat.
11	So the biggest problem today is the 40-acre dump
12	right next door to my house. My sister-in-law Denise Cantu
13	lives right next door to it on Rice Lake. We've had our
14	third geologist read the reports that we recovered that were
15	not supposed to be found and it says contamination is
16	likely, it calls it on the groundwater, it calls it on the
17	surface, it calls it in the test wells and we are getting
18	stonewalled by anybody that can make any decision or listen
19	or make an informed decision. I've been reaching out to
20	EGLE for months. We have done everything we possibly can
21	with our senators, Elissa Slotkin, I've met the Governor and
22	we're being stonewalled.
23	So now we have been digging a little bit further
24	into the 40-acre dump. And in 1995 Char Wilson (phonetic)
25	was told to clean the dump needed to be cleaned up.
	Page 24



1 Since then we've recovered some records, but they said there 2 wasn't enough money to clean the dump up, so they said take 3 the sign down that there is a dump and they said test the test wells, test my water, test her water, test the house south of the dump. None of that happened. It hasn't been 6 tested. The township -- I'm sorry. The village says they don't have the money, that it should be EGLE. EGLE says, nope, the village owns it, it should be them. They're getting ready to expand that park with another 454 units 10 which will put now thousands of people's health and safety 11 at risk evert time they turn on their faucet. 12 My house, we have a problem there. The runoff 13 comes across my house. They're discharging into the 14 Shiawassee River with their self-treated effluent discharge. 15 They have 104 effluent violations including choking out 16 aquatic life, fecal chloroform five straight days after our 17 ice storm in 200-, I think, '18. It was -- it might have 18 been a couple years before that. I have all of this. But I 19 have hundreds of documents that say this shouldn't be 20 happening. I grew up in Grayling. PFAS polluted Lake 21 Margrethe. I learned how to swim in that lake. My brother 22 lived in Rockford. Wolverine Tannery polluted that river. 23 Yes, I realize, one minute. That's why I talk 24 really fast. I'm used to that three-minute limitation. 25 With all of this documentation, we have the ability in 2020 Page 25



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to make sure that we are protecting the drinking water for
the people in the park, outside the park, and protect that
Marshall Sandstone Aquifer and the Shiawassee River. Please
help us.

MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Thank you. Denise

Cantu? Denise here? Okay. And then Meredith Gillies after

that.

DENISE CANTU

MS. DENISE CANTU: Okay. Hello. Denise Cantu, C-And I don't really want to be up here talking, but I just going to make it really fast. That I live right next door to a dump. We bought our house -- this will be our fifth summer, but no one told us that there was this dump right here next to us. And a couple weeks ago I read a report from 1970 that said this dump contains toxic contaminations and must be cleaned up and nothing was ever I live my life 24 hours a day, seven days a week I'm afraid to take a shower. I don't drink that scared. water, but I don't know what else to do to wash. How else are we going to wash? But we buy our water. And I think it's not fair that they -- someone should have told us there was a toxic waste. I'm afraid to walk barefoot in my yard. That's how scared I am. So someone needs to help us. least someone needs to look in this and see if I am living next to a toxic dump.



1	And there's one more thing. All the all this
2	time that we've been going from place to place and most
3	places tell us get in touch with EGLE which we've tried for
4	so long and no answers, but one of the things we keep
5	hearing over and over is it's happening everywhere. So, but
6	that still doesn't make it right. We've got to stop it from
7	happening everywhere. That's it. Sorry. I get a little
8	teary-eyed. I just
9	MR. OSTROWSKI: That's okay. Thank you. All
10	right. Meredith Gillies and then after Meredith Keith Lee.
11	MEREDITH GILLIES
12	MS. MEREDITH GILLIES: Hello. My name is Meredith
13	Gillies, M-e-r-e-d-i-t-h G-i-l-l-i-e-s. I am here
14	representing over 250,000 Clean Water Action members in
15	Michigan. We want to see a Michigan where everyone has
16	access to safe and affordable drinking water. I echo those
17	before me who have thanked EGLE and the state of Michigan
18	for their proactive actions on this issue.
19	PFAS contamination is putting our most
20	vulnerable vulnerable populations at risk and threatening
21	the drinking water of almost two million Michiganders. This
22	is a public health crisis and we must act swiftly and
23	appropriately to the scale of the problem. A couple of
24	other speakers have already expounded upon some of the
25	details I was going to bring up, so I'm going to keep it
	Page 27

22

23

24

25

1 brief so other people can talk. 2 But we at Clean Water Action urge EGLE to consider 3 the following changes to the proposed rule. First of all is taking a class-based approach as others have mentioned. is not enough to set standards for individual chemicals when it is most common for contaminated drinking water to contain multiple different PFAS compounds. It is imperative that we take cumulative impact into account and set a combined total 9 standard that will set the baseline for ensuring 10 Michiganders have clean, safe water to drink. 11 Second, we implore you to consider our most 12 vulnerable populations as others have said, specifically for 13 the GenX compound. It is not enough to set standards that 14 are protective of healthy adults. We must consider effects of ingesting PFAS on children, pregnant people, and those 15 16 suffering from chronic illness and the elderly. 17 finally, we encourage our PFAS standards to take into 18 account the best science that's available including recent 19 studies determining effects on mammary gland development. 2.0

Right now Michigan has an opportunity to be the leader on this issue and implement the most comprehensive and stringent MCL of any state in the country and we hope that you will take that opportunity seriously. Thank you very much for your time and consideration.

MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. All right. Keith Lee
Page 28



1	and then Lisa Patrell.
2	KEITH LEE
3	MR. KEITH LEE: So I'm Keith Lee, L-e-e. I
4	support the proposed PFAS rules and I commend EGLE for
5	taking this action but I think there's a huge gap that we're
6	missing here. And you were talking earlier about not really
7	regulating individual wells and I think there needs to be
8	some mechanism so that people living in the area where we
9	know we have contaminated aquifers have some mechanism for
10	individual wells to be evaluated rather than putting that
11	population at risk and ignoring them because they're not on
12	community water supply. So I think you need to work with
13	counties or work with individual organizations somehow so
14	that we can evaluate individual wells and make sure that
15	those people are not at risk also. Thank you.
16	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thanks. Okay. Lisa?
17	LISA PATRELL
18	MS. LISA PATRELL: My name is Lisa Patrell, last
19	name is P-a-t-r-e-l-l. I'm here representing Washtenaw 350.
20	We are a chapter of 350.org. Sorry this is a repetition.
21	I'm asking for an increase in the scope regarding reporting
22	procedures. The the measurements that are being taken
23	now and the costs that have been logged thus far I'm asking
24	for a broad name of those measurements and reporting that
25	fit within a new matrix that's come out of the United
	Page 29



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	Nations Statistical Accounting committee. It's called SEEA,
2	Systems of Environmental Economic Accounting. It's work
3 .	that's been pioneered by many economists across the world
4	including the Nobel Prize Laureate Joseph Stiglitz who wrote
5	the 2012 groundbreaking work, the "Inequality of
6	Mismeasurement."

I am concerned because -- I'm going to speak from the legislative end. The reason these measurements are important is because we cannot make good policies nor good legislations without knowing the cost and in this world, economics is often the language we -- we use. And as I've said, I can make a direct line from Michigan's inability to retain our talent, to attract businesses to our state, and our overall economic health because we've been making poor decisions because we do not understand the cost of pollutants in our environment. As other people have said, PFAS is right in front of us, now there are others. So I'm asking that there is time, talent, and people assigned to capturing the cost so that -- so that as fracking and other industry that wants to move into town, if we get more corporate farming and they want to put things on the soil, before those happen, we -- we have legislatives in place such as the Polluter Pay Law that's being promoted by the House, 4212, or the Senate version which is 116, that -that the legislators have the things that they need, the

1	economic cost, so we can put the legislation we need forward
2	to protect Michiganders. Thank you.
3 .	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. Next up is
4	Elaine Chottiner, and then after Elaine is Andrew Wotan.
5	ELAINE CHOTTINER, M.D.
6	DR. ELAINE CHOTTINER: C-h-o-t-t-i-n-e-r. My name
7	is Elaine Chottiner. I'm a retired hematology/oncology
8	physician and a Health Leaders Fellow with the Ecology
9	Center. I spent 30 years caring for patients with blood
10	disorders and cancers, many linked to pesticides, work place
11	exposures, and other environmental toxins.
12	My fellowship project was a comprehensive
13	literature review on the health effects of PFAS with a focus
14	on the newer short chain chemicals. I was shocked by what I
15	learned. In my profession drugs don't come to market until
16	they've undergone rigorous testing and are proven to be safe
17	and efficacious. In the world of industrial chemicals, it
18	appears that these safeguards don't apply until harm has
19	emerged and the public demands accountability.
20	I applaud the work that MPART and EGLE are doing.
21	However, I'm concerned about the proposed MCLs and I want to
22	make the following points. One, evolving studies suggest
23	that PFAS pose the highest risks for the most vulnerable
24	populations: pregnant and lactating women, the developing
25	fetus and infants and children. Older epidemiologic data
	Page 31

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from studies such as the CA project suggested links to 1 Recent studies are more alarming. PFAS various diseases. 3 appear to be endocrine disruptors that interfere with the 4 function of normal hormones including estrogen, 5 They impact pregnancy and fetal testosterone, and thyroid. development, fertility, and thyroid function. PFAS also 6 appeared to impair immunity particularly in children, possibly by interfering with the way white blood cells 8 recognize vaccines and foreign substances. 9 These 10 abnormalities occur in real time. That means that unlike cancer, these risks are not necessarily related to duration 11 12 of exposure or bioaccumulation, but that any level of 13 exposure to PFAS at critical times can cause potentially 14 devastating health problems.

Two, shorter is not better. The introduction of GenX and other short chain PFAS was based upon inadequate and misleading scientific studies. Most of the assumptions regarding their limited toxicity have been disproven. As your own experts have noted we have very scant information on short chain PFAS. They are easily absorbed in humans, circulate in the bloodstream, cross the placenta, appear in semen and breast milk, and bioaccumulate. They pose an even greater risk since current filtration systems do not adequately remove them. The proposed MCLs for the short chain PFAS are far too high.



1.	MR. OSTROWSKI: One minute.
2	DR. ELAINE CHOTTINER: Three, PFAS should be
3 .	regulated as a class. It is rare that we see contamination
4	by single chemicals and the health hazards are magnified by
5	cumulative exposure. PFAS don't belong in our water, our
6	wildlife, our food chain, our bodies, or our children. I
7	urge you to reexamine and significantly lower the
8	recommended regulatory levels for all PFAS including the
9	short chain compounds, to expand the regulations to cover
10	all PFAS as a class, and to commit to regular reviews of
11	emerging scientific studies in order to update these
12	standards as needed. Thank you.
13	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. Andrea Wotan
14	and then Bill Creal after that.
15	ANDREA WOTAN
16	MS. ANDREA WOTAN: Hi. Andrea Wotan, A-n-d-r-e-a
17	W-o-t-a-n. First I want to thank EGLE very much for the
18	work you're doing. Obviously this is very important and I
19	appreciate the leadership you're taking. However, I would
20	like to ask you right now to cut all those limits in half.
21	And the reason is, I actually think that it's time in this
22	country, and frankly the world, that we have a zero
23	tolerance policy for contaminants in our most vital
24	resources like water. Every single one of us here today can
25	live without the products that are produced by companies and
	Page 33



1	industries that use PFAS and then emitting to our water
2	system, but we cannot live without water. I totally support
3 .	everyone's comments that we need to have a total PFAS MCL
4	limit because I'm very concerned about the numerous types of
5	PFAS in our PFAS in our water systems. I'm also very
6	concerned concerned about the interaction the different
7	PFAS chemicals can have, but also what about this, our water
8	is not just being harmed by PFAS. We have concentrated
9	animal feeding operations that are emitting pollutants. We
10	have other. We have heavy metals. We've had lead. We've
11	got all kinds of attacks on our water. It's not just PFAS.
12	So we have to take the absolute most strict approach. I'd
13	like to see a zero. But since we can't do that, what I
14	would ask EGLE to consider is a staged approach where you
15	start with levels that are approved, but with the
16	notification to industry these are going to get changed over
17	time, they're going to come down so start changing your
18	processes now because we're going to come at you with much
19	lower limits in the future. Sorry. I'm very passionate.
20	I'm not mad at you. I'm mad at PFAS and industry.
21	The other thing I want to say is that we're all
22	talking about humans here. Humans are important, but what
23	about wildlife? What about aquatic life? What about our
24	vital ecosystems and microorganisms? Does anyone take them
25	into account when we are doing our analyses? I'm very
	Page 34

1	concerned that what we are considering is safe for humans
2	may be destroying our ecosystem. So I would I don't
3	really know what this means for EGLE because maybe this is
4	out of your scope, but I would like someone to be providing
5	input as to the impact on ecosystems and aquatic life of
6	PFAS.
7	So in the end, I'd like your limits to go down to
8	half. I'd like to have a total PFAS limitation and a staged
9	approach. Thank you very much.
10	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thanks. Okay. Bill Creal
11	and then after that, Bentley Johnson.
12	BILL CREAL
13	MR. BILL CREAL: My name is Bill Creal, C-r-e-a-l.
14	I support the proposed PFAS rules and urge their adoption.
15	However, this is another example of a crisis that results
16	when we do not require proper testing of chemicals before
17	they are widely used in our society. There is an alphabet
18	soup of such examples: PCB, DDT, and TCE are a few of the
19	names that we've dealt with in the past. I realize that you
20	have to address this latest crisis, but I also urge you to
21	take some of the energy that is generated from this crisis
22	to help put in place the necessary chemical testing
23	requirements to prevent the next crisis. Without this
24	prevention step in place, we are doomed to keep repeating
25	this crisis when the next chemical emerges. Thank you.
	Page 35

1	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thanks. All right. Thanks. And
2	Bentley Johnson and then after Bentley is Vince Caruso.
3	BENTLEY JOHNSON
4	MR. BENTLEY JOHNSON: Hi. My name is Bentley
5	Johnson, B-e-n-t-l-e-y, Johnson spelled the normal way. And
6	I'm the senior partnerships manager for Michigan League of
7	Conservation Voters and our executive director, Lisa
8	Wozniak, should be commenting later.
9	But I wanted to extend my gratitude for to EGLE
10	for moving quickly on these, on this standard setting
11	process, rulemaking process, and also to say thank you for
12	the statewide testing program because it's really critical
13	to understand, you know, where the problems are so that we
14	can tackle it. But really Michigan should should be a
15	leader in addressing the PFAS contamination crisis and that
16	will require strong maximum contaminant levels. And, you
17	know, based on the importance of clean, fresh water here in
18	Michigan and the fact that we are literally defined by it,
19	we should really have the strongest standards for PFAS in
20	the country across the board.
21	I sincerely hope that these standards will end up
22	being class-based, you know, protective of the most
23	vulnerable among us including the unborn, pregnant mothers,
24	and grounded in the best and most recent science. For
25	instance, I believe that the current science shows that the
	Page 36



1 MCL for PFHXS should be revised down and other states like 2 New Hampshire have recognized this and set a more stringent 3 standard. 4 I grew up in Ann Arbor and after moving away and living in DC for about ten years was thrilled to move back here with my family. I really believe that Michigan is the best state in the country and the best place in the world to live and one of the main reasons is the amount of clean, fresh water that we have here. And after moving back, 10 though, I was really troubled and dismayed to learn about 11 the PFAS contamination in Ann Arbor's drinking water which I 12 drink and my -- my partner drinks and our two kids, age four 13 and one drink. And, you know, shortly after we moved back 14 my wife became pregnant with our second child and all 15 throughout her pregnancy and since then, you know, I've 16 wondered about the water that they've been drinking and the potential for health effects. And I'm glad that, you know, 17 18 city officials have taken action to install filters at the 19 water treatment plant and we've, you know, had filters here 20 but, you know, they -- they drink water other places like at 21 daycare, at fam- -- you know, family member's house and 22 it's -- it's -- it's, you know, a worry that, you know, we 23 shouldn't have to be concerned with here. 24 So I would just finish with echoing the call to --25 to really move this as strong as you can here and because we Page 37



23

24

25

appear as we're -- we're really on our own with the EPA not 1 taking quick enough action or strong enough action and 3 other, you know, federal agencies like the Department of Defense really leaving us out to dry. 5 So I'm really hopeful that Michigan ca -- can be 6 the leader that we know it can be and that it once was on --7 on our environmental protections, our health safeguards, and 8 I'm hopeful to see a -- you know, a final set of standards 9 that -- that we can really be proud of. Thank you. 10 MR. OSTROWSKI: Thanks. All right. Vince Caruso and Anthony Spaniola. 11 12 VINCE CARUSO 13 MR. VINCE CARUSO: Hi. My name is Vince Caruso. 14 It's C-a-r-u-s-o. I'm with the CARD group, the Coalition 15 for Actual Remediation of Dioxane, and I'm also with the 16 Allen's Creek Watershed group here in Ann Arbor. I'd like 17 to thank EGLE for taking this effort to bring PFAS under 18 control to some extent. 19 Michigan is the Saudi Arabia of drinking water, freshwater in the world. We need to make sure that we 20 21 maintain quality, fresh water for our children and our

freshwater in the world. We need to make sure that we maintain quality, fresh water for our children and our children's children and many generations to come. I think the standards you're setting are reasonably good. I think the long chain PFAS should be more in line with the NRDC's recommendation of low single digit numbers. I think like



2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

some of the other speakers have said we should combine PFAS into one standard so that we know what total exposures are.

I think we need to look more carefully at pregnant women and what the special circumstances they have as well as infants.

We know that pregnant women and infants have special biology that really need to be taken into consideration as being more vulnerable.

I just want to talk about the fact that Ann Arbor is paying a million dollars to put in treatment for PFAS coming out of the Huron River. I think we should be recovering those dollars which should prevent these costs to communities like Ann Arbor. I'm glad to see that the state of Michigan is -- is going forward with a suit against 3M to recover some of these funds. Unfortunately, I just read today that the Defense Department will not be required by most accounts to do a cleanup of their sites in Michigan and other states in the U.S. I think we need to make sure that we follow the -- the toxicology of PFAS carefully and change the standards as required. I think this is a new science and I don't know that we really understand fully the effects that these chemicals may have on humans and other biological systems. These exposures are cumulative and I think that we really need to look at possible historic exposures. it's going to be hard to do that, but I think --

Page 39

MR. OSTROWSKI: You have one minute.



1. MR. VINCE CARUSO: -- it would be very valuable to 2 get a handle on what exposures people have over the light -several last decade or so because it's going to be health effects that will be affecting Michiganders for a long time I'm afraid and it'd be good to know if we have high levels of exposures or moderate levels of exposures. We need to get these products out of the carpeting, out of the food packaging, out of all these other things that are exposing 9 us because it is cumulative. It's just not in the water. 10 I'm glad to see that we have a polluters pay 11 legislation pending with our Representative Yousef -- Yousef Rabhi and Jeff Irwin -- Senator Jeff Irwin, to reinstate 12 polluter pay while Michigan used to have. Thank you so 13 14 much. 15 MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Anthony 16 Spaniola and then Fred Iles. 17 ANTHONY SPANIOLA 18 MR. ANTHONY SPANIOLA: My name is Anthony 19 Spaniola, S-p-a-n-i-o-l-a. I am with the -- founding member 20 of Need Our Water, a community action group in Oscoda, 21 Michigan. I have other affiliations, but I'm also here on 22 behalf of my family and myself. I live in southeast 23 Michigan but we have a home in Oscoda which is ground zero for PFAS in Michigan where it was first discovered in 2010, 24 25 almost ten years ago. And at my home in Oscoda -- and one Page 40



1	of my neighbors from Oscoda is here with me tonight we've
2	been advised that we can't drink our water. Like our
3 .	friends in Flint and growing numbers of people around the
4	country, we face every time we take an action that has been
5	second nature in our lives, having to stop and think about
6	it. What are we doing? Can you take a shower? We're
7	told we're told we can, but today I read that NI NIHS
8	has come out with a rat study showing that even dermal
9	exposure has the same level of risk in rats as drinking
10	water exposure.
11	So we've been at this a long time. This is a long
12	time coming and I want to first of all thank Governor
13	Whitmer for making the the appointment of the science
14	advisory panel and these rules as a top priority in her
15	administration. I want to especially thank her and EGLE for
16	including impacted citizens like me in the stakeholder
17	process. The recognition of impacted people as
18	stakeholders, it should be second nature but it hasn't been
19	in our state for far too long and it was a major step
20	forward and we were very appreciative of that.
21	The particular rules that are before us tonight I
22	think are, as many have said, an important first step and
23	it's important that the rules be adopted with some
24	improvements, but as soon as possible and let's be clear,
25	though, that the Governor signs panel specifically noted in
	Page 41

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 its recommendations that the science on these chemicals as 2 it has evolved and the trends are trending lower and so we 3 need to be vigilant, we need to stay on top of changes in these chemicals. And it's important that -- that we can't -- you know, we just can't wait for the science to catch up. We need to regulate these chemicals as a class ultimately and I think it's really important that we adopt a composite approach. We were told in the stakeholder process that there wasn't adequate scientific protocols to do that. 9 10 New Hampshire, I'm sorry, Vermont and Massachusetts are 11 doing that and scientists have informed me that the EPA 12 actually has a protocol for doing that and I'm happy to 13 provide it.

I want to make sure that the 11, I think it's 11 chemicals that were not subject to the MCLs are going to be tested to a reliability level of the six parts per trillion which is the action level that was recommended by the scientific advisory panel. I know during the stakeholder process there were some comments about that and I want to make sure that's being done. Hopefully that can be addressed in the questions afterwards. And the PFHSX number is too high. That impacts us in Oscoda.

I agree with many of the other comments that were made tonight. But I just want to remind everyone here that we're not talking about an academic exercise. We're talking



1	about human beings. Come and see and visit my friends in
2	Oscoda, the folks here in Ann Arbor, people around the state
3	and around the country that I talk to have been exposed to
4	this for decades. We can't afford to continue to play
5	Russian roulette with future generations and it's important
6	that we not stop here with these rules and continue to push
7	forward, to do what the European Union is doing and that is
8	to phase these chemical out completely. Thank you.
9	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. All right.
10	Fred Iles is next and after Fred is Robert Kerr.
11	FRED ILES
12	MR. FRED ILES: Hello. My name is Fred Iles,
13	I-l-e-s. And I think there's clearly a need for these
14	regulations but the the our goal here is to
15	incorporate regulations that are workable and effective in
16	order to at least be good public policy. So within the
17	regulations there needs to be a solution. I think we need
18	to offer some type of a solution to these companies that are
19	producing these chemicals. Now, earlier I think, Eric, you
20	mentioned that there was a company identified up in Wixom.
21	There was a large polluter and so they're going to be
22	written up. I mean, there's going to there's an issue
23	there but we have to provide some type of a solution and I
24	believe there's two types of solutions. And that is, first
25	of all, capture and the second is deconstruction and let me
	Page 43

1. say a little bit about capture. And that's been tried with 2 the filtration systems and I don't know how effective they I know in Parkersburg, West Virginia, if you've seen are. the movie Dark Waters, you'll be aware of the -- that was, that was one of their solutions, to capture the CA, the PFOS, that was in the -- in the -- went up through the chimney and then filtered down onto the ground and into the groundwater and then it -- so they had to filter the water. 9 Now, I don't know how effective those filtration systems 10 are, but I think you have to look at the airborne and 11 discharges there as well as the drainage discharges and the solid waste as well. 12 And so now the other word that I used was 13 deconstruction and that is -- and I think -- I'm not a 14 15 chemist, but I know that they generally know how to construct these different molecules and compounds. 16 17 they know how to construct them, there should be a way to 18 deconstruct them. And I don't know whether that technology 19 exists today, but it could be applied not only to these 20 chemicals but also to various plastics and other contaminants. 21 The --22 MR. OSTROWSKI: You've got one minute. MR. FRED ILES: One other comment is, if there is 23 a poss- -- maybe these chemicals can be reformulated so that 24 25 they're biodegradable. Maybe that will be a -- so Page 44

1	they're they don't they're not forever chemicals
2	anymore, but they're there's they're eventually will
3 .	by be gone after so many years or something like that.
4	Thank you.
5	MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. Thank you. Okay.
6	Robert Kerr, and then Aaron Pelo.
7	ROBERT KERR
8	MR. ROBERT KERR: Good evening. My name is Robert
9	Kerr, it's K-e-r-r, pretty simple name. I want to thank you
10	and the Governor for having these events. I think it's
11	really important that you all hear what everybody has to
12	say. I'm really hoping that these comments go back to your
13	organization and they're improved, things are improved.
14	I am Robert Kerr. I'm here to represent an
15	organization here in southeast Michigan called Wolf Pack.
16	Wolf Pack is an organization of 150 over 150 community
17	leaders and business people that gather together to work on
18	issues of environment. We we would like to say this
19	org our organization would like to say we support the
20	new regulation numbers but would like you to continue to
21	work on reducing those numbers. We think it's very
22	important that these numbers are reduced for all our
23	exposures and I think we can get there with a lot of work.
24	We would like to encourage EGLE to push the numbers lower
25	and we'd like to also include all forms of the compound and
	Page 45

1	not just this the several that you've listed. Thank you
2	for all your leadership.
3	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Aaron
4	Pelo and then Rebecca Esselman.
5	AARON PELO
6	MR. AARON PELO: Hi. My name is Aaron, A-a-r-o-n,
7	P-e-l-o. First of all, thank you to MPART, the Department,
8	and Governor Whitmer for your efforts to educate and inform
9	on this issue. I know I personally feel at sea discussing
10	advanced toxicology.
11	I am legislative aide and director of constituent
12	services for State Representative Yousef Rabhi who
13	represents the 53rd district encompassing the southern half
14	of the City of Ann Arbor. I have a statement on his behalf.
15	He regrets he could not be here tonight. I'm not quite as
16	tall as he is, but hopefully I can stand in his stead.
17	We'll be sending this in post as well.
18	"I'm glad to see our regulators proposing
19	standards to protect the people of Michigan from the
20	toxic PFAS which build up in the environment and our
21	bodies, but these draft rules do not go far enough to
22	protect human health. For too long we have given
23	polluters the benefit of the doubt allowing them to put
24	millions of tons of chemicals out into our environment
25	without any safety testing. Only once entire
	Page 46

1	communities had already been poisoned did regulators
2	propose drinking water limits and cleanup rules. Due
3	to the negligence of polluters, the inaction of
4	previous administrations, and the willful dismantling
5	of environmental protection by lawmakers, communities
6	like Ann Arbor have been left on the hook for costly
7	water service improvements. That's obviously the wrong
8	way around. The proposed rule would set MCLs for seven
9	PFAS compounds, but there are an estimated 4700 PFAS
10	compounds.
11	How long would it take to do testing and
12	administrative rulemaking on each one? Longer than the
13	lifetimes of the people whose health will be ruined,
14	but unfortunately probably not longer than these
15	compounds will persist in our soil, streams, and
16	rivers. We must regulate PFAS as a class now to
17	prevent this entirely predictable environmental and
18	public health catastrophe. These proposed MCLs are a
19	step in the right direction but we can do much better
20	than that for the people of our state. Thank you."
21	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Rebecca
22	Esselman and then Jennifer Davis.
23	REBECCA ESSELMAN
24	MS. REBECCA ESSELMAN: Hello. I am Rebecca
25	Esselman. The last name is E-s-s-e-l-m-a-n. I am here on
	Page 47



behalf of the Huron River Watershed Council. HRWC has been involved in efforts to address PFAS contamination in the Huron River Watershed since the summer of 2018, when a donot-eat-fish advisory was issued for the entire watershed because PFAS had accumulated in fish tissue beyond levels that was safe for human consumption. During that time we have gained practical knowledge of how changes in policy regarding PFAS may affect cleanup criteria and how the quidelines may be interpreted at the community level.

to date and the pace of which action has been taken to address PFAS contamination at the state level. This is very encouraging. We believe the proposed rules and process for establishing maximum contaminant levels for the seven PFAS compounds being considered should be carried forward without further delay and as quickly as possible. They are a vast improvement from the absence of meaningful protection the residents currently have.

That said, HRWC has some concerns regarding the proposed health-based values used to inform the maximum contaminant levels for the seven PFAS compounds. First, the health-based values from MPART are an improvement from EPA's guidelines, but new information coming from other states suggest that some of Michigan's health-based values are still way too high for specific chemicals. Second, the



1	health-based values don't include total PFAS contamination
2	levels similar to the cumulative cumulative level that
3	EPA recommends. EGLE needs to put a combined MCL in place
4	for total PFAS. Thirdly, PFAS should be regulated as a
5	class of chemicals. There are over 5,000 of them. And
6	placing regulations on some may simply make polluters use
7	other PFAS compounds. Class regulations or regulations on
8	sub classes would avoid the use of regrettable substitutes.
9	MR. OSTROWSKI: You have one minute.
10	MS. REBECCA ESSELMAN: MCLs fourth, MCLs for
11	PFAS should be based on scientific evidence to protect humar
12	health and the environment. They should not be relaxed
13	based on economic, commercial, or industrial concerns.
14	Beyond these concerns regarding the MCLs
15	specifically, HRWC believes that the most complete and cost
16	effective solutions available for addressing PFAS is through
17	comprehensive watershed strategies in which sources of PFAS
18	are addressed proactively and in collaboration with
19	communities that use effective drinking water. It's far
20	cheaper for taxpayers to remove these chemicals from
21	groundwater and surface water at its source and are far more
22	protective of human health.
23	HRWC believes that collaborative solutions can be
24	found among communities and private sources of
25	contamination, and in such cases state leadership would be
	Page 49

1	valuable for working across municipal boundaries. This
2	would be a capacity in which EGLE and MPART could reaffirm
3	their commitment to environmental protection. We look
4	forward to new ideas and leadership from EGLE as maximum
5	contaminant levels for PFAS chemicals are established in the
6	near future. Thank you.
7	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Jennifer
8	Davis and then after Jennifer is Sue Shink or Sink.
9	JENNIFER DAVIS
10	MS. JENNIFER DAVIS: Hello. I'm Jen Davis. I
11	live in Ann Arbor. I've lived here for many years, grew up
12	in Saline. I feel privileged to be from Ann Arbor right now
13	because I see these regulations coming forward requiring
14	suppliers to test and stay within limits for the PFAS
15	chemicals which I think is an excellent step forward, but I
16	think we need to ask ourselves about who's paying to keep
17	those levels down and to stay in compliance. If we're
18	asking the suppliers to make to to step up to that
19	burden or we're asking the state of Michigan to reimburse
20	those suppliers, I think that's an unfair burden for us to
21	be putting on the citizens of Michigan who did not put those
22	contaminants into the water to begin with. I believe that
23	these steps are really important first steps, but I believe
24	that we need to go further. We need to find out how we can
25	put that pressure down and I think that legislatively we're

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

making steps in that direction, but I think in terms of regulation we need to start making further steps in those directions.

I also realize that it's beyond the purview of this hearing, but in terms of PFAS contaminants in the environment I have made great steps recently in my life to try and move my food shed from a global food shed into a local food shed. And I feel like looking through these contaminants in the environment and other contaminants in the environment being told that we cannot eat the fish, that we cannot drink the water, that we cannot eat the deer in some areas is beginning to tell us that our environment is not a sustainable environment that we can consume from or even recreate in, and we need to ask ourselves what kind of -- what kind of things are we gaining at those losses and what can we do to look further? Not just to the water, not just to the fish, but to the produce. Is our local produce safe to eat? Are the wild mushrooms and the wild plants in our environment safe to eat? When we're going into our Whole Foods or we're going into our Food Co-Op, are the herbs that we're buying there safe to consume? Are these PFAS chemicals there also? And, again, I realize that this is beyond the purview of this specific hearing, but I think that it's worth considering. That's it.

MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. Sue? Okay.



1	And after and that's Jim Egged.
2	SUE SHINK
3 .	MS. SUE SHINK: Good evening. My name is Sue
4	Shink. I'm a Washtenaw County Commissioner from District 2.
5	I thank EGLE and the state of Michigan for addressing this
6	issue.
7	The people in my district and in this county do
8	not want to be poisoned by PFAS and are asking you to use
9	your power to protect us, including the frail, the pregnant,
10	and the unborn. These chemicals were and are produced as
11	part of a private making enterprise. Their emission into
12	our environment and our bodies is an unacceptable
13 `	externalization of costs. The people and the government are
14	paying the cost through health problems, cleanup costs, and
15	losing the ability to use our environment for our pleasure,
16	including and our and our nutrition including eating
17	the fish out of our river and we're also losing our peace of
18	mind.
19	I understand that it what I'm about to say is
20	not within your purview, but it needs to be said and I hope
21	that the legislature will hear it. Clearly the
22	precautionary principal will be would be appropriate and
23	would help avoid these tragedies. Polluter pay is also
24	appropriate considering that profit making enterprises have
25	taken their profits and left the people in government to pay
	Page 52



1	the costs. I want to thank Senator Jeff Irwin and
2	Representative Yousef Rabhi for championing the bills that
3 .	would have polluters pay the cost of their pollution.
4	I also think that technical and financial help is
5	going to be needed for some of the water providers.
6	Regarding the benefit cost analysis, the people of this
7	state pay far too high a cost with our health, quality of
8	life, and our lives because polluters use our state's water,
9	land, and air as their trash can. We have asthma. We have
10	liver disease. We experience low fertility and low birth
11	rates and all of the other health effects that are listed as
12	being caused by these chemicals. Please do not undervalue
13	our health. I do worry a bit when you say that health is
14	going to be valued qualitatively, but that's because it
15	can't be valued. And I think that if you actually tried to
16	quantify the impact to our economy, that also would be
17	astonishing and far greater than the value of these products
18	ever, ever could present.
19	I urge you to use the boldest possible standards
20	in protecting our health. As detailed by the Michigan
21	League of Conservation Votes, the Huron River Watershed
22	Council, and other organizations that have spoken tonight,
23	other states have higher standards and higher standards are
24	possible. Our people do not deserve less. I would also
25	urge you to use at least a 50 percent relative source
	Page 53



1	contribution. We are exposed to this class of chemicals by
2	many routes, many without our knowledge or permission.
3	MR. OSTROWSKI: You have one minute.
4	MS. SUE SHINK: A total MCL standard would also be
5	appropriate as would be more research into synergistic
6	effects. There are likely other chemicals present in our
7	drinking water sources of which we are not yet aware. I
8	urge you to protect us by promulgating the most protective
9	standards possible.
10	And as a Washtenaw County Commissioner, I have
11	been working very hard to figure out the best approach to
12	deal with a problem that was exacerbated many years ago by a
13	lackluster state response, the destruction of an aquifer by
14	dioxane pollution, the Gelman dioxane plume. Please be
15	bold, please be strong, please protect us.
16	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. Jim Egged and
17	then Diane Wright.
18	JIM EGGED
19	MR. JIM EGGED: Hi. My name is Jim Egged i-t.
20	How come nobody said spelled "it" for you?
21	MR. OSTROWSKI: What?
22	MR. JIM EGGED: See, you smiled. Well, you said
23	spell it; right? Anyway, it's E-g-g-e-d, Jim Egged. This
24	(indicating) is Hailey and she's one of the reasons why I'm
25	here. I come to you this evening as a firefighter and a
	Page 54



grandfather and I want to thank you for your efforts tonight. I realize it's your job, but the sincerity in which you perform the function of your assignments is appreciated. I see you taking notes over there, so that's good. That's a good thing.

Anyway, as a firefighter I was placed on the Western Wayne Hazardous Incident Response Team. In the course of executing the functions of my sworn duties, I had the occasion to use AFFF firefighting foam. As a firefighter, it was my sworn duty to protect those who look to us for their safety. I have regret that as a firefighter I failed in my obligation to protect the most vulnerable who look to us, including this (indicating) one, in the use of AFFF. I have poisoned her water with PFAS chemicals and as a firefighter and hazardous incident responder, I should painstakingly be aware of the chemicals we are dealing with and use.

Now, as you know, PFAS builds up in the body over time and can lead to significant health complications like cancers, thyroid conditions, autoimmune disease and, you know, the whole gamut. I'm 60 years old. She's only eight. So you can imagine how much would be built up in her system over time. In any case, that is why I'm here. Now that we are aware of the consequences of the use of the long-term effects of PFAS chemicals and the family of chemicals, I



1 want to work with the Department of, you know, EGLE but I'm 2 not going to list everything. I have it all listed and I have a hard copy for you. Anyway, the science based limits for the PFAS contaminates are a significant step forward ensuring Michiganders safe, clean drinking water. I'm almost done. 7 Furthermore -- this is not easy, especially if you're 8 eight. 9 MR. OSTROWSKI: I understand. MR. JIM EGGED: 10 The state should be -- set 11 combined limits for total toxic contaminants instead of smaller limits for each chemical. Establishing a combined 12 13 total standard for PFAS contam- -- contaminants will set a 14 baseline ensuring Michiganders have safe, clean drinking 15 water. As concerned protectors of those who cannot defend 16 themselves, we need to guard against the resistance that 17 would-be profiteers who will fight these proposed 18 protections. This is why I'm entering my comments into the 19 public record, to ensure that the state hears our priorities 20 as they move forward in the process to protect the most 21 vulnerable among us. Thank you. 22 MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Yeah, if you 23 want to leave those up there? Thank you. All right. up is Diana Wright and then Stephen C. Brown. 24 25 DIANA WRIGHT Page 56



1	MS. DIANA WRIGHT: My name is Diana Wright,
2	D-i-a-n-a W-r-i-g-h-t. I would like to acknowledge the
3 .	work that you've done, but I can't. As a former engin
4	retired engineering supervisor for one of the Big Three
5	automakers in Detroit, the data that I handling method I
6	saw was exactly what I would do if I was trying to hide
7	something. An average is the absolute worst statistical way
8	to look at data, and to average individual pieces rather
9	than considering the whole approaches irresponsibility.
10	In fact, I was in this room a year and a half ago
11	when a representative of the Ann Arbor water system,
12	treatment system, came in and said that they had at one
13	point detected multiple levers layers of polyfluorinated
14	chemicals don't ask me the alphabet soup but had only
15	reported on four that met the requirements because they
16	didn't know what the other requirements were. Therefore,
17	they ignored them and didn't report them publicly at all for
18	several months, until they were called forward. This is Ann
19	Arbor. Right here. Okay?
20	I'm disappointed that I can't say EGLE
21	every is totally turning its back on groundwater just
22	because it's not in the state Safe Water Act. I would have
23	liked to have heard today that that you folks had made
24	recommendations through the Governor to promulgate the rules
25	that would include and give you authority over groundwater.
	Page 57



1 I didn't hear that. I think that's irresponsible. 2 MR. OSTROWSKI: You have one minute. 3 MS. DIANA WRIGHT: Okay. I think it's sad that I heard somebody take credit for the cleanup in Wixom. 5 to the Wixom town hall meeting about a year and a half ago. 6 That was the Department of Agriculture. That good came long 7 It was the Department of Agriculture that last and late. 8 prohibited recreational fishing all the way from Wixom to Lake Erie. Not you folks. Please don't take credit for it. 9 10 There's no plan for carbon disposal. There is no 11 list of best practices. I know from that Wixom meeting I went to the plan was to incinerate it, but I also found out 13 that the incineration temperatures to destroy PFAS are 14 extremely high and higher than most commercial incinerators. 15 There's no best practices for communities to filter it out. 16 You wash your hands of it. For a regulatory agency to not 17 have best practices is beyond belief. You're acting in 18 response to the idea that a industry can put pollutants into 19 the water and it becomes the cities/municipal- --20 municipalities to get rid of it. You're smart enough and 21 have the resources to go into a self-reporting and ask every 22 industry in Michigan that uses any of the chemicals that 23 you're interested to tell you. That's not in the ruling. 24 Why not? Do we need green ooze out on the freeway before 25 somebody pays attention? I understand --Page 58



	1	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Ma'am, you're over three
	2	minutes.
	3	MS. DIANA WRIGHT: Huh?
	4	MR. OSTROWSKI: You're over three minutes now.
	5	MS. DIANA WRIGHT: I would only ask for
	6	stakeholders. I didn't see any hearings in Oscoda. I
	7	understand there was zero detect on leaded lands. I have
	8	some secondary information that you really ought to check
	9	for the native housing outside of Wurtsmith and K.I. Sawyer.
1	LO	I don't know if you did, but it wor it's worth taking a
1	L1	look. Thank you.
1	L2	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Stephen
1	L3	Brown and after Stephen is Charlotte Jameson. Go ahead.
1	L 4	STEPHEN BROWN, Ph.D.
1	L5	DR. STEPHEN BROWN: Hi. Good evening. My name is
1	L 6	Stephen Brown. That's spelled S-t-e-p-h-e-n B-r-o-w-n, and
1	L7	I'm here speaking on behalf of the Sierra Club. I'm a
1	L 8	member of the National Toxics Team. I have a Ph.D. in
1	L9	chemistry. And I'd like to start off by saying that, yes,
2	20	these MCLs should be enforced ASAP as a decent first step.
2	21	However, there are some 5,000 known PFAS compounds that are
2	22	always present as mixtures, sometimes as very complex
2	23	mixtures. European studies over the last decade or so have
2	24	revealed that these seven PFAS chemicals that you're
2	25	you're proposing to regulate represent only a small
		Page 59

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

percentage, some five percent to up to 25 percent of total

PFAS that are -- that manufacturers have been allowed to

include in their consumer products and freely discharge into

waters.

I would like to reinforce this comment that you should maintain a six part per trillion detection limit and report those as not zero, but less than six part per trillion as is normal for any analytical chemistry result. Now, there have been little to no health studies on these 7,000 compounds. The absence of information is not information about absence, but threats to public health. The proposed MCLs in other states are already below those proposed here in Michigan in many -- in several cases because of emergent data during the past year. I would urge EGLE to do an annual review of the scientific literature, what's going on in other states as well as European countries and Japan and Australia, and to annually update these MCL limits, provide a mechanism for regularly updating these limits as new information becomes available.

Now, all of these PFAS compounds are potentially equivalent threats to the health of in utero and young children from the ages of one to five through both prenatal and postnatal exposures. Now PFAS, PFOS, and PFOA have been marketed since 1950 and only now have recent public health studies extrapolate that exposures where real effects can be



1	observed are as low as under one part per trillion.
2	MR. OSTROWSKI: You have one minute.
3	DR. STEPHEN C. BROWN: Okay. So in these most
4	vulnerable populations. So recent data indicate that both
5	GenX and Nafion byproduct, too, cause adverse health effects
6	at lower levels than those which are currently proposed. So
7	this reinforces the fact these values should be annually
8	reviewed and reduced when indicated. So again these given
9	exposures are these exposures to PFAS are always as
10	mixtures, so I think MPART must take a more comprehensive,
11	class-based approach to PFAS as already proposed or
12	instituted in other states such as Massachusetts, Vermont,
13	and recently California as has been outlined by other
14	speakers. Senator Peters has already submitted about a year
15	ago federal legislation to regulate PFAS as a class and as
16	yet there is no regularly, universally accepted assay for
17	PFAS as a class. And I would urge you to go to look into
18	the total organic fluorine assay. This is the assay that's
19	been used in Europe to look at wastewater and drinking
20	water, to assess the total PFAS burden in these in these
21	matrices.
22	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay, sir. You're over three
23	minutes now.
24	DR. STEPHEN C. BROWN: Done.
25	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay.
	Page 61



1	Charlotte Jameson, then after Charlotte is Lisa Capozza. Go
2	ahead.
3 .	CHARLOTTE JAMESON
4	MS. CHARLOTTE JAMESON: Oop. Didn't have to do
5	that. Hi. Charlotte Jameson, J-a-m-e-s-o-n, like the
6	whiskey. I'm with the Michigan Environmental Council. We
7	strongly support setting science based drinking water
8	standards. We think this is a critical step in the right
9	direction and applaud EGLE and Governor Whitmer for
10	undertaking this effort. However, we do have several
11	recommendations that we think would strengthen the rules.
12	One, as has been mentioned repeatedly tonight, we
13	believe strongly that EGLE should set a cumulative standard
14	for these seven compounds of PFAS. Health-based values
15	don't include this total PFAS contamination level, so in
16	addition to setting the numeric standards for individual
17	compounds of PFAS, the state should set a cumulative limit.
18	A cumulative limit would better protect the public against
19	additive or synergistic effects from exposure to multiple
20	PFAS chemicals. It would also create a level of protection
21	for residents exposed to multiple of these chemicals at the
22	same time.
23	We should also regulate PFAS as a class. Again,
24	there are several thousand of these compounds and placing
25	regulations on some may simply cause manufacturers or others
	Page 62



1.	to switch to other forms of PFAS to escape regulation. So
2	we strongly believe that the most effective way to go about
3	regulating PFAS is as a class. Additionally, the science
4	around PFAS is obviously evolving relatively fast. As new
5	toxicity information comes in we think that there should be
6	a mechanism built into the rules to ensure that we are
7	regularly reevaluating the health impacts of PFAS.
8	Specifically we would require we would ask that in the
9	rules there is a requirement to do a health review two years
10	after the implementation, the first round of implementation
11	of the drinking water standards. This
12	MR. OSTROWSKI: One minute.
13	MS. CHARLOTTE JAMESON: this would ensure that
14	we are looking at that and revisiting the health standards
15	to make sure that we're being protected.
16	And finally, we think that we should conduct at
17	least three years of quarterly sampling before we move to
18	allow water plants to go to annual or six month sampling.
19	We just don't know enough about how PFAS moves in the
20	environment or if there are seasonal changes to discharge.
21	A three-year sample, quarter sampling, would give the
22	Department a really good base of information to better
23	understand when we could see spikes in PFAS or if there are
24	seasonal issues at play. So, thank you.
25	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Lisa
	Page 63



1	Capozza and then Rebecca Meuninck, Meuninck (pronouncing).
2	LISA CAPOZZA
3	MS. LISA CAPOZZA: Hi. Lisa Capozza,
4	C-a-p-o-z-z-a. I've lived in Ann Arbor since 1979 and
5	usually near the Huron River. When I first came here as a
6	college student, it wasn't recommended to swim in the river.
7	It was considered hazardous. And just in the last maybe
8	five or ten years it seems that we have been able to swim
9	and enjoy the waterway more for recreation, for wildlife
10	enjoyment, or just even noticing wildlife as as a way of
11	enriching our own lives. And I started swimming in the
12	Huron just I think about two years ago. I dare to do it
13	and and it was grate.
14	But last I year noticed I leave near Barton Dam
15	and I noticed that at the at the bottom of the dam where
16	the water discharges under the dam the the foam was
17	extraordinarily voluminous and I was told it was PFAS and it
18	just it really kind of broke my heart to think of all the
19	wildlife and not not to mention all not even thinking
20	about the effects on the humans just for a second, but just
21	all the other life that also depends on the Huron River
22	water quality.
23	So that is I'm so happy that people are
24	responding to this and I would ask that you make sure that
25	we have as much safety as possible in our water and prevent
	Page 64

1	more pollution. Thank you.
2	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Rebecca,
3	Rebecca Meuninck and Roger Rayle.
4	REBECCA MEUNINCK
5	MS. REBECCA MEUNINCK: Hi. My name is Rebecca
6	Meuninck. That's R-e-b-e-c-c-a M-e-u-n-i-n-c-k. I'm the
7	deputy director of the Ecology Center based right here in
8	Ann Arbor, Michigan. And for nearly 20 years I've worked to
9	protect Michiganders from toxic chemicals in the products we
10	use every day and in the homes that we live in. Because of
11	my work, I know a lot about toxic chemicals and I do my best
12	to protect myself and my family. I make sure my home
13	renovations are lead safe. I buy nontoxic cosmetics and
14	cleaning products. I avoid products with phthalates, flame
15	retardants, and of course PFAS, and I work to educate others
16	in the state and in my community on how they can reduce
17	their own exposures.
18	Despite all of my efforts and all of my knowledge
19	about environmental toxicants, my family and I have been
20	drinking PFAS contaminated water here in Ann Arbor for
21	several years at least. Thankfully, Ann Arbor's water
22	treatment plant stepped up and installed gap filters to
23	capture the PFAS. But without strong healthy standards that
24	are that we need here in Michigan, other residents in
25	other places may not be so lucky.
	Page 65



1	I do applaud EGLE, MPART, and Governor Whitmer for
2	taking this critical step to set drinking water standards
3 .	for these seven PFAS. It is a step in the right direction.
4	However, as we've heard from many others, the proposed
5	standards are not strong enough to truly protect
6	Michiganders' health. There are three improvements that the
7	Ecology Center is calling for. We're also submitting more
8	detailed, written comments that I won't be able to go into
9	detail on in the next few minutes.
10	First, as we've heard from others, the state must
11	protect our most vulnerable residents from PFAS exposure by
12	considering those populations and the most vulnerable
13	populations and most sensitive health end points. We've
14	heard from other folks about pregnant and nursing moms, the
15	elderly, ill, fetuses, and children. We should also
16	consider our workers' exposure and the additional exposure
17	they may have on the job. Moreover, these same populations
18	often do have higher exposure than the rest of us do as
19	adults.
20	MR. OSTROWSKI: You have one minute.
21	MS. REBECCA MEUNINCK: We need to consider those
22	things. Anything else anything less is unacceptable.
23	Second, the state should take a class-based approach like
24	we've heard from others to set PFAS drinking water
25	standards. In reality, as we've heard, the tests that have
	Page 66

١		
	1	happened in Michigan have shown more than one PFAS chemical
	2	in the in the drinking water samples that have come
	3	through. We need to consider chemicals as a class. If not,
	4	we're ignoring the likelihood of synergistic effects and
	5	cumulative effects.
	6	Third, the state must consider the most recent
	7	science in considering in setting our PFAS MCLs. Newer
	8	analyses have already been released in recent months and
	9	have not been considered in the MCLs that have been
	10	proposed. The science on the health impacts of PFAS is
	11	rapidly developing. Setting out a plan to reevaluate and
	12	strengthen our standards is very important and the new
	13	studies to date must be considered now as we plan to and
	14	make a plan to reevaluate the standards in the future.
	15	All eyes are on Michigan right now. I hear from
	16	many folks of other states who are looking at us as we're
-	17	setting our standards and we have the opportunity to lead
	18	the country and set the most health protective standards to
-	19	date. We have lead the country in testing our water
	20	systems. We shouldn't al shouldn't we also lead in
	21	ensuring that they are safe to drink? Thank you.
	22	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Roger Rayle and then
	23	Denise. That's all I got. Okay.
	24	ROGER RAYLE
	25	MR. ROGER RAYLE: I'm Roger Rayle, R-o-g-e-r
		Page 67



I'm a citizen of Scio Township. I'm also chair 1 R-a-y-l-e. 2 and cofounder of Scio Residents for Safe Water, a nonprofit watching over the Paul Gelman contamination and chair of 3 4 CARD as was mentioned before, the local government and 5 citizen group watching over the same. But I'm here as a 6 citizen today because PFAS is like the other forever chemicals that are very mobile and persistent. It takes so long to -- it's there forever. It's one of these forever R 9 chemicals and dioxane is in that same class, so I've been 10 watching over PFAS like I've been watching over the dioxane. 11 Forever chemicals are game changers for 12 You guys have a big task ahead. regulators. 13 scales we're talking about here are very long. But Michigan 14 still bases its regulations on a 32-year lifetime exposure. 15 Thirty-two years is basically one generation. 16 the Native American guideline of seven-generation rule, Michigan uses a one-generation rule and that's not going to 17 18 work for PFAS and these other forever chemicals. We have to 19 think in very long timelines and we have to tighten the 20 standards accordingly we're going to be dealing with for a 21 long time, especially when you consider that PFAS bioaccumulates and passes from mother to fetus, so right 22 23 there you have two generations. Other states still use what Michigan used to use, a 70-year lifetime exposure, but of 24 25 course with these forever chemicals, if they get into the Page 68

1	genome or if they pass from mother to fetus, we may may
2	have to adjust the 70-year lifetime to be even longer.
3 .	Thank you.
4	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay. I got a
5	card that says "Denise." I don't know if that's okay.
6	That's all I got. Thank you. And then after that is Lisa
7	Wozniak.
8	DENISE KIRCHOFF
9	MS. DENISE KIRCHOFF: Hello. Denise Kirchoff,
10	K-i-r-c-h-o-f-f. I never write it because people just
11	butcher it. Okay. In full disclosure, I'm a member of the
12	Michigan Environmental Caucus and a member of Waterkeepers
13	United. I want to thank EGLE for doing what they're doing,
14	but I I think and and the Governor but I think
15	we really need to be honest and I think what you haven't
16	told people is I've heard a lot of people come up and ask,
17	"Well, what about rivers? What about our waterways?" I
18	think what people don't understand is that under the new
19	the new administration's Rule 13778, which actually weakens
20	water pollution which actually weakens our Clean Water Act.
21	We have a lot of PFAS in our rivers and our lakes.
22	The only thing that EGLE can really legally do is do is
23	take care of what's called surface, I think it's what called
24	surface lakes. And that's basically I I think I
25	walked in and I actually heard you talking about surface
	Page 69

_	
1	water. Any standing negative water which is what considered
2	maybe a river, river or a well, or where like these ladies
3 .	live when I heard them talking and they were saying that
4	they had no response. I understand why they had no response
5	because legally you're not obligated to clean that up or to
6	take care of it with the weakened rules. But I would truly
7	encourage you to think about maybe finding a way of
8	bypassing the New Clean Air, or as it's been called, the
9	replaced Clean Air Clean Water Act which is a federal
10	act. And I understand that we're the state and, you know,
11	we have to work within federal guidelines. But also these
12	women and other people need to be told why can't
13	why can't EGLE help with the rivers? Why can't EGLE help
14	with the PFAS that are in the wetlands? And I think not
15	expressing to people what the limitations are and what
16	you what you can't do is basically lying to us. I I
17	think maybe you need to consider that. There has to be a
18	way, I think there's always a way, of all around all
19	federal regulations.
20	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Lisa Wozniak and then
21	after Lisa, Gerard Charbonneau.
22	LISA WOZNIAK
23	MS. LISA WOZNIAK: Good evening. Thank you very
24	much for holding this hearing, both here and in Roscommon
25	and in Grand Rapids. It's very important that you get this
	Page 70



public comment from the myriads of people that are turning

out and they're representing a lot of others that -- that

can't -- can't be here for a lot of reasons.

Again, my name is Lisa Wozniak, W-o-z-n-i-a-k. I am a 23-year-old -- a 23-year resident of Washtenaw County, Ypsilanti. I've been here for longer than that. And I'm also the executive director of the Michigan League of Conservation Voters.

I grew up on the west side of the state. I grew up swimming in Freska Lake which is — now has very, very high contamination levels for the fish. My high school teachers and some of my best friends are in the Belmont area and have been dealing with the Wolverine World Wide issue, filtration systems in their homes, blood counts that are not pretty. And I've — I can only imagine what my — my children and I have been exposed to by simply recreating in Freska Lake over the years.

I'm here tonight to urge you to move very quickly to set a strong standard for PFAS that is based on the best possible science and its protection of public health. In my role at the Michigan League of Conservation Votes which I've held for 14 years and my work in this area, which actually expands almost three decades, I have had the great fortune to meet and interact with individuals and communities all over this incredibly beautiful state. Some are amazing,



1	long-term community organizers, some are scientists and
2	engineers, some are business men and women, lawyers, nurses,
3 .	doctors, teachers, social workers; tonight we heard from a
4	firefighter. And in recent years the interest in engagement
5	has grown with significant numbers of people never having
6	had any interest or intent on becoming a water advocate, an
7	activist around the protection of their drinking water, and
8	yet there they are. They're in Grand Rapids, Ann Arbor,
9	they're in Roscommon and Oscoda, they're in Parchment and
10	Detroit and Madison Heights. List is it grows by the day
11	it seems. People are scared, they're angry, and they're
12	baffled by how and why a state literally defined by water,
13	surrounded by water, more than 1.9 million Michiganders
14	could have some level of this toxic contaminant in their
15	drinking water.
16	MR. OSTROWSKI: You have one minute.
17	MS. LISA WOZNIAK: It is it is clear that we
18	cannot delay action in protecting our communities' health.
19	And as you've noted, PFAS builds up in the body over time
20	and it can lead to significant health complications, many of
21	which we've heard about tonight: cancers, thyroid
22	conditions, autoimmune diseases. We know that these
23	chemicals pose imminent threats to our health.
24	Michigan was once a leader. We've heard about
25	that. In fact, we had someone here in the audience
	Page 72



1 recently -- she had to leave -- but was the author of the 2 Polluter Pay Law in the state and now Senator Irwin and 3 Yousef Rabhi have to pick up that piece all over again and reconstitute that if things go their way. Thank you very much to those two leaders for doing that. But as we look at the numbers of contaminated sites it's clear that we have to step out and become the leader that we once were in the -- in this state and for this country. We have a president that said he will repeal the PFAS Action Act that was passed in the House of 10 11 Representatives just last week. He will -- he will -- he 12 will veto it. So I highly urge the -- the EGLE to move 13 forward with very, very strong rules and I also want to say 14 that for all of my friends, family members, colleagues who 15 are trying to have families and who are frightened, they're looking to you for leadership. They don't want to have fear 16 17 in the idea of having children. 18 Finally, I'm a runner. I get out into the woods 19 and on the paths of the mighty Huron River as often as I can, and I will tell you that over the last couple of years 20 21 I've seen more spots of what Lisa, the other Lisa, indicated 22 are these big, big swaths of foam. It's hard to say 23 whether --24 MR. OSTROWSKI: Ma'am, you're over three minutes. 25 MS. LISA WOZNIAK: -- I'll close right now. It's Page 73



1	hard to say whether these big blobs blobs of foam are
2	PFAS, but I'm committed to documenting all of my sightings
3	and submitting them to EGLE for investigation. Thank you
4	very much.
5	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Gerard Charbonneau, and
6	after Gerard is PDeisha Myles. Gerard, are you here? Okay.
7	We'll come back to you in a minute if you're not if
8	you're outside. So Pdeisha Myles? Is that you, ma'am?
9	Okay. Then it's going to be John Machowicz.
10	PDEISHA MYLES
11	MS. PDEISHA MYLES: Hello. My last name is
12	spelled M-y-l-e-s. I believe that the purity of nibi and
13	that's the Ojibwe word for water is the measure of
14	corruption in government. Officials who sell out our water
15	for the profits of corporations must be voted out in 2020.
16	Officials and corporations who imperil the health of the
17	public must be punished. Gary Sayers who went to jail
18	recently for that green ooze in Macomb County, I I just
19	don't think that's enough. He's imperiling the health of
20	many people and generations, and now they're finding that
21	there is pollution in the property in Detroit and that's
22	going into the Detroit River. And I drink YCUA water
23	Y-C-U-A water. I live in Ypsilanti Township and now I fear
24	drinking my water.
25	Water is under assault in many directions. I
	Page 74



1		
	1	worked with the Ban Fracking campaign and fracking creates
	2	wastewater and they try and find vulnerable communities to
	3	build their injection sites to put that contaminated water
	4	here. Gitche Manitou and Mother Earth, they give us this
	5	beau beautiful fresh water to live and what do we do?
	6	We put contaminated water back into into the rock.
	7	A corrupt government is is not normal. It
	8	doesn't have to be that way. We can have a good government.
	9	We can have a non-corrupt government
	10	MR. OSTROWSKI: Ma'am, you have one minute.
	11	MS. PDEISHA MYLES: who thinks about the
	12	seventh generation and not just the short-term profits and
	13	corporations. And as people, we can make decisions also. I
	14	don't eat that microwave popcorn anymore. You know, they
	15	put the PFAS in there so it slides out of that container
	16	easy. We don't need that. We don't need dental floss.
	17	It's got that PFAS on there to make it glide in your teeth.
	18	We don't need that either. We can make decisions on our
	19	regarding this. We can live without these things, but we
	20	cannot live without water. Thank you.
	21	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay. John,
	22	you're next, and then after John is going to be Kathy Smith.
	23	JOHN MACHOWICZ
	24	MR. JOHN MACHOWICZ: My name is John Machowicz,
	25	that's J-o-h-n M-a-c-h-o-w-i-c-z, and I am with the
		Page 75

Michigan Residents Against ET Rover, and also Livingston 1 PFAS Awareness. 3 Innocent until proven toxic. I'll say that one more time. Innocent until proven toxic. What that means is we let these bad corporate actors, you know, dictate policy. 6 And I'm talking about guys like E.I. Dupont, 3M, or let's 7 also mention E.I. DuPont's new name of their new company 8 called Chemours, that are creating all new generation of 9 PFAS chemicals. Others tonight have echoed that there's 10 over 5,000, you know, I've heard 7,000 different varieties 11 of PFAS. 12 What I want to talk about is what are we doing on 13 the front end? I mean, all of this is all band-aid 14 approaches. What are we doing to stop these guys from even 15 introducing these chemicals in the first place? I mean, again, innocent until proven toxic. I've had some very, 16 17 very -- I had a hard time trusting the old MDEQ. I mean, 1.8 after all, they brought us Flint water and then we had the 19 Nestle water theft, Wolverine. We can go on and on. 20 talk about the disaster over with Enbridge over in 21 Kalamazoo, also ET Rover, the 120 million gallons of 22 gasoline contaminated water dumped directly into Hell Creek which goes into the Huron River Watershed. I mean, it goes 23 24 on and on. I'm hopeful that EGLE is -- is -- is a new 25 organization and it's something besides just a new name Page 76

1	change because under the MDEQ, I mean, we had we had just
2	disaster after disaster. We tried to work with the folks
3 .	over at the local offices. We were stonewalled many times.
4	Interference was run and it was
5	MR. OSTROWSKI: One minute.
6	MR. JOHN MACHOWICZ: very, very difficult to be
7	able to get anything done. You know, it's frustrating.
8	It's frustrating. I live in Livingston County. I'm right
9	in the heart of this whole PFAS thing with the Huron River
10	and it's it's you can't go out on the lakes. You
11	can't fish the way you used to fish, you can't swim the way
12	you used to swim, and it's very, very frustrating. And I
13	think, you know, that you have to look at what are you going
14	to do to stop these guys from introducing these chemicals?
15	Because what we're doing is we're counting on you guys to be
16	the experts. We really are. And if you're not the experts,
17	. then the decisions that have been made in the past have been
18	inadequate to the task.
19	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Kathy
20	Smith? Kathy?
21	MS. KATHY SMITH: Yes.
22	MR. OSTROWSKI: And then we're right up on 8:00
23	o'clock, but we just got a few more cards here. After Kathy
24	is Jeff Hayner.
25	KATHY SMITH
	Page 77



1	MS. KATHY SMITH: Kathy Smith, K-a-t-h-y
2	S-m-i-t-h. I live here in Ann Arbor, but I've had a summer
3	cottage on Portage Lake which is part of the chain of lake
4	off the Huron River in Washtenaw and Livingston County.
5	These people that have spoken tonight have been absolutely
6	wonderful. They have all this information, they're so
7	passionate about it and I applaud them. I'm here as Aven
8	and Carson's grammy. These (indicating) are my
9	grandchildren, three and one years old.
10	Seventy years ago my grandparents bought a summer
11	cottage on Portage Lake. My uncle was lost during World War
12	II and he received benefits from the government. My grandma
13	decided that she was going to do something good with that
14	money and she bought a summer cottage for all of us and for
15	future generations. Now I'm worried. I have this
16	three-year-old and one-year-old grandchildren. Can I bathe
17	them? They certainly can't take you know, can't drink
18	the water. I have a nice area where they can swim, but I
19	noticed in the fall there was all this foamy stuff that I
20	said that has to be the PFAS.
21	I would urge you I would urge you, when you
22	take a look at my grandchildren, all the other
23	grandchildren, all the other unborns, please lower these
24	standards and please take a look at all of it. Thank you.
25	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. Jeff Hayner is
	Page 78

1	next and then after Jeff is Jeff Irwin.
2	JEFF HAYNER
3	MR. JEFF HAYNER: We're going to close up with a
4	couple Jeffs here. That's okay.
5	MR. OSTROWSKI: Yeah.
6	MR. JEFF HAYNER: My name is Jeff Hayner and I
7	stand before you as a resident of the city of Ann Arbor, and
8	also I'm I represent the first ward of the city of Ann
9	Arbor on Ann Arbor City Council, so that's I'm
10	responsible for about 25,000 neighbors and 120,000 overall,
11	and actually I'm I'm really here because I'm responsible
12	for the Huron River Watershed, most of which runs a great
13	deal of which runs through the first ward. So I'm here to
14	listen and also to comment on the standard specifically.
15	When we adopt a standard, I think it's great that
16	we're doing it. We join the 20 percent other states that
17	have bothered to do so and we realize that the federal
18	government is slow to act in some cases and quick to act in
19	others and it's important to look out for the waters of the
20	state of Michigan, of course.
21	So the City of Ann Arbor as you know is spending
22	around a million dollars a year to deal with the PFAS issue
23	that's before us and the majority of that comes from our
24	reliance on the surface waters. We get about 75 percent of
25	our water from the Huron River and so we are beholden to
	Page 79



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those who pollute upstream from us. And we're -- in a way, 1 we're kind of caught between two polluters because we have -- we have to mix, of course, our -- our surface water with our groundwater and one is polluted with the PFAS and other things and the other is polluted with dioxane. I've had a decent amount of experience with DEQ and now EGLE working with that, and the standards for that have been 7 appropriately lowered and, of course, we spent millions and 9 millions of dollars in court trying to get the polluter to conform to these new standards and that -- that's not 10 11 appropriate.

And so I'm here to support these standards and also the things that many, many folks spoke before me, especially on consolidating the standards and having one common standard. The problem is when we create these standards, we're creating basically a unfunded mandate for the communities. Now, the city of Ann Arbor is -- is fortunate in some respects in that we're a community that can afford to do these kinds of things. If it adds 8 to \$10 a year, or a quarter, rather, to my water bill, you know, say -- say -- what is it? -- ten cents for every one cubic foot or whatever that million dollars cost me, that -- that's fine. I'm lucky that we can afford it. Of course, we all know standards should be zero and the CDC would say zero and the only thing I want in my water is hydrogen and



1 oxygen in proper proportions. And, you know, we don't get 2 that and I understand that zero is inappropriate because we can't measure zero and that -- that's fine. MR. OSTROWSKI: You've got one minute. MR. JEFF HAYNER: But -- but what we don't want to be is caught in a situation where the mandate is so low that we can't keep up with it and then what are we to do? was promises that an environmental bond was going to be floated in this year's -- last year's budget and hopefully 10 it will be in this year's budget and so we appreciate 11 Governor Whitmer suggesting that putting this group 12 together. We know that we can't have unfunded mandates like 13 And so I urge us to set them as low as possible to 14 set a good standard for the rest of the country to follow, 15 but to also understand that with that comes a big 16 responsibility, that that standard is a tool and that tool 17 has to be used by EGLE and the AG's office in other ways 18 around the state, that we have to address things like the 19 MS4 permits and NPDES discharge permits and we have to crack 20 down on these polluters that are polluting the waterways and 21 passing their problem off downstream. It's just completely 22 inappropriate. 23 So I applaud you for bringing these standards 24 forward in what is a -- a -- really a timely manner and 25 relatively quickly, but you also need to, of course, as Page 81



1	others have said, crack down on this. We need the polluters
2	to pay and we need the polluters meet these standards to be
3 .	applied to the polluters as well as the creators of fresh
4	water. It's all we can do to, to get everything else out of
5	our water and prepare our water and do that 20 million
6	gallons a day that the City of Ann Arbor does for Ann Arbor
7	and its residents. But these tools need to be used on the
8	other end. It's important that the surface water standards
9	are are strengthened as well, and maybe more so
10	because
11	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay, sir, you're over three
12	minutes.
13	MR. JEFF HAYNER: of our dependency on surface
14	water. So thank you for your time.
15	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. Jeff Irwin, and
16	then after Jeff is Monica Ross-Williams.
17	SENATOR JEFF IRWIN
18	SENATOR JEFF IRWIN: All right. Good evening. My
19	name is State Senator Jeff Irwin, I-r-w-i-n. On behalf of
20	the people of the 18th District, I want to thank EGLE for
21	coming tonight and providing this opportunity. I want to
22	thank Governor Whitmer and EGLE's leadership for putting
23	these standards forward. I think it's important to
24	acknowledge that we need these standards and that we
25	appreciate that these standards are being talked about.
	Page 82

2

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

However, I want to add to the chorus of voices that you've heard tonight that have said that these standards could and should be improved. And without getting into -- into the technical aspects, I do want to say that one of the most important weaknesses to this proposal is that it does not treat the PFAS chemicals as a class of chemicals. And one of the things we know from our experience with PFAS, starting with PFAS and PFOA, that when the government starts to take action against some of these chemicals, industry moves to other chemicals and oftentimes we're told that they're safer and then we find out years later that they're not. And we've been around this carousel over and over with these PFAS chemicals. It started with a couple, and then we saw the move to other shorter chain chemicals that were supposed to be safer and found out those are dangerous, then we moved to new chemicals.

Regulate these chemicals as a class. Regulate all of them or else we're going to be playing this cat and mouse game for too long and it's going to affect too many of these people's health. An example, right here on the Huron River we know that there are possibly many sources of PFAS in the river, but we know for sure that Tribar Plating up in Wixom is a major source. Tribar Plating is still using shorter chains PFAS chemicals to put that fancy plating on their products. And why are they doing that? They're doing that



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because we regulated other PFAS chemicals, but now they're
still discharging FPAS into the river and that PFAS is still
coming down river to the over 100,000 people who are
drinking Ann Arbor's municipal water.

And so I would urge the Department to get ahead of this cat and mouse game and regulate all these chemicals as a class because we've already seen what happens when you don't.

The final thing I want to say is we really also need a cumulative standard. When -- when -- when we tell citizens that there's a standard for this and a standard for that, and then they see that their water is below some of those standards, we're giving them the message that this water is safe but we're not giving them the picture of whether or not the water is safe in a cumulative sense because that's not what's being analyzed. That's not what the Department is enforcing against. Please look at the whole impact of all these chemicals together so that when someone looks at their report from the water treatment plant and they look at the standard, they can feel solid that that information that they're basing their -- their choices on is Because the one thing I've learned in 20 years of watching these environmental issues is that chemicals almost never -- we never find out that they're more safe than we thought they were. We're always finding out that they're



1	more dangerous and more dangerous. And in a state like
2	Michigan where our environmental laws are so weak, we need
3	to get ahead of the next PFAS.
4	So I thank you for coming tonight. I I urge
5	you to enact standards as quickly as possible and I urge you
6	to enact standards that are reflective of the cumulative
7	impact and reflective of the whole family of PFAS chemicals.
8	Thank you.
9	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. My last card is
10	for we got one more or
11	MS. MONICA ROSS-WILLIAMS: Yeah. I think. I'm
12	Monica.
13	MR. OSTROWSKI: yeah. This is for Monica
14	Ross-Williams?
15	MS. MONICA ROSS-WILLIAMS: Yeah.
16	MR. OSTROWSKI: Is that you?
17	MS. MONICA ROSS-WILLIAMS: All right. Thank you.
18	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. And then I'll make a last
19	call for Gerard Charbonneau if he's up there.
20	MONICA ROSS-WILLIAMS
21	MS. MONICA ROSS-WILLIAMS: All right. Hi. My
22	name is Monica Ross-Williams. I'm a trustee in Ypsilanti
23	Township and I don't know about all the chemical standards,
24	so I'm just going to talk about the effect on our community
25	at this point. We have the we have Ford Lake. Ford Lake
	Page 85

1	is a very valued lake in our community. It is the center of
2	our township. Literally you can get from the south side to
3 .	the north side and Ford Lake runs in between. Ford Lake has
4	a lot of great memories for many residents including myself
5	where I used to go and fish at what's now North Hydro Park
6	with my father who is now deceased. Right now people cannot
7	fish in Ford Lake and it means that fathers and and sons
8	and and mothers and daughters and families cannot take
9	advantage of that. We're also now worried about in the
10	long-term impacts of what we don't know. Ford Lake is also
11	used in order for people to do water sports. Some people
12	will say water sports are just for those who, you know,
13	maybe have the resources to have water sports. I think
14	water sports is for those who have water sports. And that
15	does not necessarily mean that just jet skis and basically
16	boats. That may be someone basically in a tube that's
17	basically on the side of the Ford Lake and that doesn't cost
18	much. Right?
19	We we also are are looking at basically now
20	even though the we take great care of our water system
21	with YCUA and it's not there right now. I just want to make
22	sure I'm making that point. We are dealing with whatever
23	may be and I know this is not the point of this
24	discussion the impacts of that green ooze that's on 696
25	because that is related to GLWA. GLWA is a part of our
	Page 86

```
1
        water system, calling out the --
2
                  We're a community. We've been -- we felt the
3
        blunt of the economic crisis in Washtenaw County. We lost
 4
        63 percent of our home value on a average. We are still
        coming back. Now we are having to try and ease residents'
        minds and we don't really have all the data and information
        in regards to what now is PFAS. We're tired. We need to
        have basically something that says this is what the standard
9
        is, whatever the low standard is --
10
                  MR. OSTROWSKI: (indicating)
11
                  MS. MONICA ROSS-WILLIAMS: -- thank you -- and
12
        this is the impacts of it on our residents because we're --
13
        we're -- we're -- it seems as if every time we try and take
14
        two steps forward, here's three steps back. Ford Lake is a
15
        treasure for us and not too far down the street is
16
        Belleville Lake. And I know they're not here tonight, but
17
        that one means just as much and that is also on the Huron
18
        River and that's also a community that treasures their lake.
19
        We have to do something about this and we have to make sure
20
        it's right as -- as much as we can. Thank you so much.
21
                  MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay.
                                          Thank you. All right.
22
        That's all my cards. I want to make another call for Gerard
23
        Charbonneau because I called him earlier and he wasn't here.
24
        I just want to make sure he wasn't outside.
25
        All right. Folks, well, it is past 8:00 o'clock. I'm going
                                Page 87
```

1	to read my closing statement which gives you some
2	information on what's happening next, and then we'll close
3 .	out.
4	So thank you again for your comments and
5	cooperation tonight. We appreciate your interest in the
6	proposed rules and that you took the time to be here be
7	here today.
8	As previously mentioned, the public comment period
9	ends Friday, January 31st, 2020. In order to be included
10	for consideration, comments must be received by 5:00 o'clock
11	p.m. on January 31st. Please submit comments in writing to:
12	EGLE-PFAS-Rulemaking@michigan.gov. Again, more details on
13	where to send your comments and to learn more details about
14	the rulemaking process is on your agenda.
15	The next steps for the rulemaking process after
16	the public hearing and preparing is preparing the Agency
17	Report and the final draft rules that will first go to the
18	Environmental Rules Review Committee. The Agency Report
19	will include the following: Identification of the comments
20	that are the basis for any rule changes, EGLE's rationale
21	for making the rules changes, transcripts from all three
22	hearings, and a copy of all written comments that resulted
23	in rule changes.
24	The Environmental Rules Review Committee holds
25	meetings open to the public on the last Thursday of the
	Page 88



1	month. We expect they will be able to vote on the draft					
2	rules at their February 27th or March 26th meeting.					
3 .	From the Committee, the Agency from the					
4	Committee, the Agency Report and final rules then go through					
5	another round of review and approvals by the Legislative					
6	Services Bureau and the Michigan Office of Administrative					
7	Hearings and Rules before they are forwarded to the Joint					
8	Committee on Administrative Rulemaking or JCAR. JCAR has 15					
9	full session days in which to consider the rule package. We					
10	are hoping for the rules to be promulgated in April or May.					
11	The hearing is now closed. Thank you again.					
12	(Proceedings concluded at 8:14 p.m.)					
13						
14	-0-0-0-					
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
	Page 89					



A
A
A-a-r-o-n 46:6
А-ц-d-r-е-я 33:16
я-п-t-и 26:10 я-r-p-e-n-t-e-r
12:16
Aaron 2:15 45:6
46:3,5,6,6
ability 5:6 25:25
52:15
able 64:8 66:8 77:7
89:1
abnormalities 32:10
absence 48:17 60:10
60:11
absolute 34:12 57:7
absolutely 78:5
absorbed 32:20
academia 5:2
academic 42:25
accepted 61:16
access 27:16
account 17:5 19:4
19:14 21:13,14,16
22:3,13,16 23:12
23:14,21 28:8,18
34:25
accountability
31:19
Accounting 30:1,2
accounts 23:22 39:16
accumulated 48:5
accurate 11:6
accurately 21:6
acknowledge 57:2
82:24
acres 24:5
act 4:15,15 6:17,17
6:21,21 27:22
57:22 69:20 70:9
70:10 73:10 79:18
79:18
acting 58:17
action 12:20 27:14
28:2 29:5 37:18
38:2,2 40:20 41:4
42:17 48:11 72:18
73:10 83:9
actions 5:7 27:18
activist 72:7
actors 76:5
Actual 38:15

add 83:1 addition 4:5 5:4 62:16 additional 9:21 18:5 66:16 Additionally 63:3 additive 16:17 21:16 22:8 62:19 address 35:20 48:2 48:12 81:18 addressed 16:16 42:21 49:18 addressing 36:15 49:16 52:5 adds 80:19 adequate 19:8 42:9 adequately 32:24 adjourn 9:8 adjust 69:2 administration 41:15 administration's 69:19 administrations 47:4 administrative 1:5 3:7 4:16,18,22 6:3 6:6,17,21 7:13 10:4,21 47:12 89:6,8 adopt 42:7 79:15 adopted 6:16,19 41:23 adoption 35:14 adult 22:19 adults 19:12 28:14 66:19 advanced 46:10 advantage 86:9 adverse 23:20 61:5 advised 41:2 advisory 5:1,3,22 21:23 41:14 42:18 48:4 advocate 72:6 affairs 3:14 affect 48:8 83:19 AFFF 55:9,14 affiliations 40:21 afford 43:4 80:19,23 affordable 27:16 afraid 26:18,22 40:5 ag 15:3

AG's 81:17 age 37:12 agencies 38:3 agency 4:25 5:2 9:19,22 10:8 58:16 88:16,18 89:3,4 agenda 3:21 6:8,9 9:14 88:14 ages 60:22 Aggregate 21:17 ago 26:14 40:25 54:12 57:10 58:5 61:15 64:12 78:10 agree 10:16 19:1 22:19 42:23 agrees 10:14 Agriculture 58:6,7 ahead 16:3 59:13 62:2 68:12 84:5 85:3 aide 46:11 air 53:9 70:8,9 airborne 44:10 airline 14:19 al-67:20 alarming 32:2 Allegan 1:11 Allen's 38:16 allotted 8:21 allow 8:16 9:4 63:18 allowable 11:22 allowed 11:23 60:2 allowing 46:23 alphabet 35:17 57:14 amazing 71:25 amendments 4:22 American 68:16 amount 13:24 37:8 80:6 analyses 34:25 67:8 analysis 5:19 53:6 analytical 60:8 analyzed 84:16 Andrea 33:13,15,16 33:16 Andrew 2:11 31:4 angry 72:11 animal 34:9 Ann 1:6 3:1 7:6 37:4 37:11 38:16 39:8 39:12 43:2 46:14

47:6 50:11,12 57:11,18 64:4 65:8,20,21 72:8 78:2 79:7,8,9,21 80:17 82:6,6 84:4 annual 60:15 63:18 annually 60:17 61:7 answer 3:16 9:7 answers 27:4 Anthony 2:13 38:11 40:15,17,18,18 antibodies 13:16 anybody 24:18 anymore 45:2 75:14 Anyway 54:23 55:6 56:4 apparently 13:20 appear 32:3,21 38:1 **APPEARANCES** 1:9 appeared 32:7 appears 31:18 applaud 17:20 18:23 21:10 31:20 62:9 66:1 78:7 81:23 applied 44:19 82:3 apply 31:18 appointment 41:13 appreciate 17:7 33:19 81:10 82:25 88:5 appreciated 55:4 appreciates 48:10 appreciative 41:20 approach 15:3 18:1 18:6 22:8 28:4 34:12,14 35:9 42:8 54:11 61:11 66:23 approaches 57:9 76:14 appropriate 11:21 52:22,24 54:5 80:11 appropriately 27:23 80:8 approvals 89:5 approve 10:1,1 approved 34:15 approves 10:2,11 April 89:10 aquatic 25:16 34:23

35:5 aquifer 24:10 26:3 54:13 aquifers 15:3,11 29:9 Arabia 38:19 Arbor 1:6 3:1 7:6 37:4 38:16 39:8 39:12 43:2 46:14 47:6 50:11,12 57:11,19 64:4 65:8,20 72:8 78:2 79:7,9,9,21 80:17 82:6,6 Arbor's 37:11 65:21 84:4 area 3:17 24:9 29:8 71:12,22 78:18 areas 51:12 ASAP 59:20 asking 29:21,23 30:18 50:18,19 52:8 aspects 83:4 assault 74:25 assay 61:16,18,18 assess 23:1 61:20 assessment 22:20 assigned 20:9 30:18 assignments 55:3 associated 4:16 23:19 association 8:15 assumptions 22:19 32:17 astluna 53:9 astonishing 53:17 attacking 13:17 attacks 34:11 attendance 7:16 attention 10:22 58:25 attract 30:13 audieuce 72:25 Australia 60:17 author 73:1 authority 57:25 autoimmune 13:16 13:23 55:20 72:22 automakers 57:5 available 3:16 6:5 7:12 9:7 23:1 28:18 49:16 60:19

ICHIGAN DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENT, GR	WEAT LAKES, AND ENERGY PUBLIC HE
Aven 78:7	36:25 37:6 43:24
avenues 22:4	48:13 50:22,23
average 57:7,8 87:4	62:13 63:2 74:12
averages 13:19	believes 49:15,23
avoid 49:8 52:23	Belleville 87:16
65:14	Belmont 71:12
aware 44:4 54:7	belong 33:5
55:16,24	benefit 46:23 53:6
Awareness 76:2	benefits 15:13 78:12
	Bentley 2:12 35:11
B	36:2,2,3,4,4
B-e-n-t-l-e-y 36:5	best 13:25 21:11
B-r-o-w-n 59:16	28:18 36:24 37:7
B-u-h-l 12:6	37:7 54:11 58:11
back 16:12 37:5,9	58:15,17 65:11
37:13 45:12 57:21	71:12,19
74:7 75:6 87:5,14	bet 14:13
background 3:22	better 7:1 32:15
4:9	47:19 62:18 63:22
bad 13:21 14:24	beyond 4:24 48:5
76:5	49:14 51:4,23
baffled 72:12	58:17
Ban 75:1	big 17:1 57:4 68:12
band-aid 76:13	73:22,22 74:1
bankrupting 15:17	81:15
barefoot 26:22	biggest 24:11
Barton 64:14	bill 2:12 33:14 35:10
base 63:22	35:12,13,13 80:20
based 13:18 14:22	bills 53:2
21:25 32:16 36:17	bioaccumulate
49:11,13 56:4	32:22
62:7 65:7 71:19	bioaccumulates
baseline 28:9 56:14	68:22
bases 68:14	bioaccumulation
basically 68:15	32:12
69:24 70:16 80:16	biodegradable
86:15,16,17,19	44:25
87:8	biological 39:21
basing 84:21	biology 39:5
basis 88:20	bipartisan 10:4
bathe 78:16	birth 53:10
beau- 75:5	bit 21:11 24:23 44:1
beautiful 71:25 75:5	53:13
becoming 72:6	bladder 14:12
beginning 7:10	blobs 74:1,1
18:13 51:12	blood 31:9 32:8
behalf 15:25 40:22	71:14
46:14 48:1 59:17	bloodstream 32:21
82:19	blunt 87:3

beholden 79:25

believe 6:8 13:9

beings 43:1

belief 58:17

board 36:20

boats 86:16

52:12

bodies 33:6 46:21

body 55:18 72:19
bold 54:15
boldest 53:19
bond 81:8
bothered 79:17
bottom 64:15
bought 26:12 78:10
78:14
boundaries 50:1
breast 19:11 32:22
breastfeeding 23:18
brief 28:1
bring 9:15 27:25
38:17
bringing 81:23
broad 29:24
broke 64:18
brother 25:21
brought 76:18
Brown 2:18 56:24
59:13,14,15,16
61:3,24
budget 81:9,10
Buhl 2:5 11:9,13,16
11:17 12:6,6
build 24:7 46:20
75:3
building 17:4
builds 55:18 72:19
built 55:22 63:6
burden 23:8 50:19
50:20 61:20
Bureau 89:6
business 45:17 72:2
businesses 30:13
butcher 69:11
buy 26:20 65:13
buying 51:21
bypassing 70:8
byproduct 61:5
C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
C 2:18 56:24 61:3
61:24
C- 12:15 26:9
C-a-p-o-z-z-a 64:4
C-a-r-u-s-o 18:21 38:14

calendar 7:10 California 61:13 call 7:20,23 11:2,2,3 37:24 85:19 87:22 called 8:3 13:15 30:1 45:15 57:18 69:23,23 70:8 76:8 87:23 calling 10:23 66:7 87:1 calls 24:16,16,17 campaign 75:1 cancer 14:12 32:11 cancers 31:10 55:20 72:21 Cantu 2:9 24:1,12 26:6,8,9,9 capability 15:7 capacity 50:2 Capozza 2:19 62:1 64:1,2,3,3 capture 21:6 43:25 44:1,5 65:23 capturing 30:19 **carbon** 58:10 card 7:16,18 38:14 68:4 69:5 85:9 cards 7:20,23,25 77:23 87:22 care 17:2 69:23 70:6 86:20 carefully 39:3,18 caring 31:9 carousel 83:12 Carpenter 2:5 11:10 12:10,14,15 12:15 carpeting 40:7 carried 48:15 Carson's 78:8 Caruso 2:13 36:2 38:10,12,13,13 40:1 case 55:23 cases 49:25 60:13 79:18 cat 83:18 84:6 catastrophe 47:18 catch 42:6 Caucus 69:12 caught 80:2 81:6 cause 13:20 32:13 61:5 62:25

caused 13:22 53:12 causes 13:23 CDC 80:24 cells 32:8 center 31:9 65:7 66:7 86:1 cents 80:21 **CER** 1:16 certain 11:19 certainly 15:4,7 78:17 certification 7:4 Certified 1:16 certifying 5:18 chain 31:14 32:16 32:20,25 33:6,9 38:24 78:3 83:14 chains 83:24 chair 68:1,3 championing 53:2 change 39:18 77:1 changed 34:16 changers 68:11 changes 6:24 7:1 9:21 10:2,8 28:3 42:3 48:7 63:20 88:20,21,23 changing 34:17 chapter 21:2 29:20 Char 24:24 Charbonneau 70:21 74:5 85:19 87:23 Charles 2:5 11:10 12:10,11,12,14,15 12:15 Charlotte 2:18 59:13 62:1,1,3,4,5 63:13 cheaper 49:20 check 59:8 checkbox 7:19 chemical 35:22,25 43:8 56:12 67:1 85:23 chemicals 5:6 12:2 12:19 13:1,11,14 13:24 17:22,24,25 18:2,8 19:5,16 20:1 21:21 22:7 23:2,7,10 28:5 31:14,17 33:4 34:7 35:16 39:21 42:1,4,6,15 43:19



C-h-o-t-t-i-n-e-r

C-h-r-i-s-t-y 20:25

C-r-e-a-135:13

ca 32:1 38:5 44:5

31:6

44:20,24 45:1	63:3 67:3 68:9	29:4	28:13 45:25	contains 9:14 26:15
46:24 48:25 49:5	83:6,17 84:7	comment 7:19,24	compounds 16:17	contam- 56:13
49:20 50:5,15	class-based 28:4	8:6 9:17 20:3,4,17	16:21 28:7 33:9	contaminant 5:13
51:22 52:10 53:12	36:22 61:11 66:23	20:18,20 44:23	44:16 47:9,10,15	5:17 36:16 48:14
54:1,6 55:14,16	classes 49:8	60:5 71:1 79:14	48:15,21 49:7	48:21 50:5 72:14
55:25,25 57:14	clean 15:18 24:25	88:8	59:21 60:10,20	contaminants 33:23
58:22 59:24 62:20	25:2 27:14 28:2	commenting 36:8	62:14,17,24	44:21 50:22 51:5
62:21 65:9,11	28:10 36:17 37:8	comments 3:24 4:1	comprehensive	51:9,9 56:11,13
67:3 68:7,9,11,18	56:6,14 69:20	4:2,4,6 6:18 8:6	28:21 31:12 49:17	contaminated 21:22
68:25 72:23 76:9	70:5,8,9,9	8:13,13,18,20 9:3	61:10	28:6 29:9 65:20
76:15 77:14 83:6	cleaned 24:25 26:16	9:6,11,14,15,18	concentrated 34:8	73:6 75:3,6 76:22
83:7,10,10,13,15	cleaning 65:14	21:3,9 23:23 34:3	concern 16:10,13,22	contaminates 56:5
83:16,17,24 84:1	cleanup 39:16 47:2	42:19,23 45:12	17:23	contamination
84:6,18,23 85:7	48:8 52:14 58:4	56:18 66:8 88:4	concerned 15:2 19:7	24:15 27:19 33:3
chemist 44:15	clear 19:20 41:24	88:10,11,13,19,22	19:24 30:7 31:21	36:15 37:11 48:2
chemistry 59:19	72:17 73:7	commercial 49:13	34:4,6,6 35:1	48:12 49:1,25
60:8	clearly 12:19 43:13	58:14	37:23 56:15	62:15 68:3 71:11
Chemours 76:8	52:21	Commissioner 52:4	concerns 16:7 20:2	contaminations
child 37:14	close 9:17 17:14	54:10	21:24 48:19 49:13	26:16
children 16:25	73:25 79:3 88:2	commit 33:10	49:14	CONTENTS 2:1
19:11 22:17 28:15	closed 89:11	commitment 50:3	concluded 89:12	continue 43:4,6
31:25 32:7 33:6	closing 2:25 88:1	committed 74:2	concurrent 21:17	45:20
38:21,22 60:22	Club 12:16 21:3	committee 9:24 10:1	conditions 55:20	contribution 54:1
66:15 71:16 73:17	59:17	10:2,3,5,10 21:14	72:22	control 38:18
children's 38:22	Co-Op 51:20	30:1 88:18,24	conduct 63:16	cooperation 88:5
chimney 44:7	Coalition 38:14	89:3,4,8	conducted 7:22	Copies 7:10
chloroform 25:16	cofounder 68:2	common 28:6 80:15	confirmed 21:21	copy 56:3 88:22
choices 84:21	collaboration 49:18	commonly 5:6	conform 80:10	corner 7:20
choking 25:15	collaborative 49:23	communities 15:17	consequences 55:24	corporate 30:21
cholangitis 13:16	colleagues 73:14	39:12 47:1,5	Conservation 36:7	76:5
chorus 83:1	college 64:6	49:19,24 58:15	53:21 71:8,21	corporation 1:17
Chottiner 2:11 31:4	combination 13:21	71:24 75:2 80:17	consider 6:2 9:12	15:15
31:5,6,7 33:2	combine 39:1	communities' 72:18	19:10,16 20:10	corporation's 13:8
Christy 2:8 20:20	combined 13:13	community 5:14	28:2,11,14 34:14	corporations 74:15
20:22,23,24,25	18:4 19:3 21:15	24:8 29:12 40:20	66:16,21 67:3,6	74:16 75:13
23:4,6	22:6,22 23:22	45:16 48:9 65:16	68:21 70:17 89:9	corrupt 75:7
chronic 17:1 28:16	28:8 49:3 56:11	72:1 80:18 85:24	consideration 28:24	corruption 74:14
circulate 32:21	56:12	86:1 87:2,18	39:6 88:10	cosmetics 65:13
circulation 7:6	combining 22:8	companies 33:25	considered 3:24	cost 30:10,15,19
circumstances 39:4	come 4:8 8:3,8 11:5	43:18	5:21 48:15 64:7	31:1 49:15 52:14
cities/municipal-	11:10 12:10 20:16	company 43:20 76:7	67:9,13 70:1	53:3,6,7 80:22
58:19	20:21 29:25 31:15	compared 16:4	considering 18:23	86:17
citizen 5:25 15:24	34:17,18 38:22	complete 11:20	35:1 51:24 52:24	costly 47:6
68:1,5,6	41:8 43:1 54:20	49:15	57:9 66:12 67:7	costs 29:23 39:11
citizens 16:23 21:12	54:25 67:2 69:16	completed 8:1	consistency 20:6	52:13,14 53:1
22:4 23:11 41:16	74:7	completely 43:8	consolidating 80:14	cottage 78:3,11,14
50:21 84:11	comes 25:13 63:5	81:21	constituent 46:11	Council 22:20 48:1
city 37:18 46:14	79:23 81:15	complex 59:22	construct 44:16,17	53:22 62:6 79:9
79:7,8,9,21 80:17	coming 6:10 39:10	compliance 50:17	consume 51:13,21	counties 15:6 29:13
82:6	41:12 48:23 50:13	complications 55:19	consumer 22:1 60:3	counting 77:15
class 33:3,10 42:6	82:21 84:3 85:4	72:20	consumption 48:6	countries 60:17
47:16 49:5,7 54:1	87:5	composite 42:8	contain 9:21 28:6	country 28:22 33:22
61:15,17 62:23	commend 12:18	compound 16:16	container 75:15	36:20 37:7 41:4
1	I .	I	l .	1

43:3 67:18,19	D-i-a-n-a 57:2	deliver 4:13	different 16:19	doing 15:1,4 21:9
73:9 81:14	dam 64:14,15,16	demands 31:19	17:25 28:7 34:6	22:5 24:4 31:20
counts 71:14	dangerous 83:16	demonstrated 12:22	44:16 76:10	33:18 34:25 41:6
county 15:8 52:4,7	85:1,1	Denise 2:9,20 24:1	difficult 77:6	42:11,12 43:7
54:10 71:5 74:18	dare 64:12	24:12 26:5,6,8,9,9	digging 24:23	69:13,13 73:5
77:8-78:4 87:3	Dark 44:4	67:23 69:5,8,9,9	digit 38:25	76:12,14 77:15
couple 25:18 26:14	data 5:21 31:25	dental 75:16	dioxane 38:15 54:14	79:16 83:25,25
27:23 73:20 79:4	57:5,8 60:14 61:4	Department 1:2,10	54:14 68:9,10	dollars 39:9,11
83:14	87:6	3:4,5,15 6:16,20	80:5	79:22 80:9,22
course 55:8 65:15	date 48:11 67:13,19	6:24 9:12 38:3	dioxins 21:19	doomed 35:24
68:25 79:20 80:3	daughters 86:8	39:15 46:7 56:1	direct 30:12	door 24:12,13 26:12
80:8,23 81:25	Dave 1:13 3:13 4:8	58:6,7 63:22 84:5	direction 22:6 47:19	doubt 46:23
court 4:5 8:11 11:6	6:12	84:17	51:1 62:9 66:3	downstream 81:21
80:9	Davis 2:16 47:22	Department's 9:25	directions 51:3	Dr 2:11,18 31:6
cover 33:9	50:8,9,10,10	dependency 82:13	74:25	33:2 59:15 61:3
crack 81:19 82:1	day 14:18 26:17	depends 64:21	directly 8:4 76:22	61:24
crazy 15:9	65:10 72:10 82:6	deputy 65:7	director 3:11 15:23	draft 6:25 9:20,22
Creal 2:12 33:14	daycare 37:21	DEQ 80:6	21:2 36:7 46:11	46:21 88:17 89:1
35:10,12,13,13	days 10:19 25:16	dermal 41:8	65:7 71:7	drafting 5:20
create 13:12 62:20	26:17 89:9	describe 3:23 4:1	disappointed 57:20	drainage 44:11
80:15	DC 37:5	deserve 53:24	disaster 76:20 77:2	drawing 19:22
creates 75:1	DDT 35:18	Despite 65:18	77:2	dread 17:16
creating 13:12 76:8	deal 16:14 54:12	destroy 13:18 58:13	discharge 25:14	drink 26:18 28:10
80:16	79:13,22	destroying 35:2	60:3 63:20 81:19	37:12,13,20 41:2
creators 82:3	dealing 16:8 55:16	destruction 54:13	discharges 44:11,11	51:11 67:21 74:22
credit 58:4,9	68:20 71:13 86:22	detail 66:9	64:16	78:17
Creek 38:16 76:22	dealt 18:12 35:19	detailed 53:20 66:8	discharging 25:13	drinking 3:12 4:11
crew 14:22	decade 40:3 59:23	details 27:25 88:12	84:2	4:14,15,19 5:10
crisis 27:22 35:15	decades 43:4 71:23	88:13	disclosure 69:11	5:18 6:7 7:2 13:2
35:20,21,23,25	deceased 86:6	detect 59:7	discovered 40:24	15:14 18:22 22:2
36:15 87:3	December 7:8,10	detected 57:13	discussing 4:23 46:9	22:14 26:1 27:16
criteria 5:18 7:4	decent 59:20 80:6	detection 20:5,7,9	discussion 9:4 86:24	27:21 28:6 37:11
48:8	decide 11:19	60:6	disease 5:3 13:15,16	37:16 38:19 41:9
criterion 19:4	decided 78:13	determine 15:6	13:20,22 53:10	47:2 49:19 54:7
critical 32:13 36:12	decision 10:12 24:18	determining 28:19	55:20	56:6,14 61:19
62:8 66:2	24:19	detrimental 18:9	diseases 32:2 72:22	62:7 63:11 65:20
eritically 12:25	decisions 19:9 30:15	Detroit 57:5 72:10	dismantling 47:4	66:2,24 67:2 72:7
cross 32:21	75:13,18 77:17	74:21,22	dismayed 37:10	72:15 74:24 84:4
cubic 80:21	deck 11:4	devastating 32:14	disorders 13:23	drinks 37:12
cumulative 18:3,13	deconstruct 44:18	developed 24:5	31:10	Drive 1:6
28:8 33:5 39:22	deconstruction	developing 16:25	disposal 58:10	driven 15:24
40:9 49:2,2 62:13	43:25 44:14	31:24 67:11	disproven 32:18	drugs 31:15
62:17,18 67:5 84:10,15 85:6	deem 5:3	development 23:13	disruptors 32:3	dry 38:4
current 6:4 32:23	deep 12:2 deer 51:11	23:15,19 28:19 32:6	district 46:13 52:4,7 82:20	Due 47:2
36:25	defend 56:15	developmentally	Division 3:12 4:12	dump 24:11,24,25
	Defense 22:20 38:4	22:13	do-48:3	25:2,3,5 26:12,13 26:15,25
currently 13:13 48:18 61:6	39:15	deviated 22:17	doctors 13:18 72:3	dumped 76:22
48:18 01:0 curve 16:4	define 12:24	Diana 2:17 56:24,25	document 19:25	Dupont 76:6
cusp 22:8	defined 36:18 72:12	57:1,1 58:3 59:3,5	document 19:25	Dupont 70:0 DuPont's 76:7
cusp 22:0 cut 33:20	definitely 15:2	Diane 54:17	25:25	duration 32:11
cat 33,20	delay 48:16 72:18	dictate 76:5	documenting 74:2	durations 23:18
D	delays 23:18	die 13:19	documents 25:19	duties 55:8
	delays 25.10	GIG 13.13	GOURNELIUS 23,13	unites 55.0

NetworkReporting - SIMIENVOE COURT PERORIERS -800-632-2720

duty 55:10	54:23 56:10	enhancement 13:10	Europe 61:19	68:24
duty 55.10	EGLE 1:13 3:15	enjoy 64:9	European 43:7	exposures 19:10
E	4:12 5:20 6:2 7:9	enjoyment 64:10	59:23 60:16	21:17 23:17,23
E-g-g-e-d 54:23	7:12 9:5,22 10:12	enriching 64:11	evaluate 29:14	31:11 39:2,22,23
E-g-g-c-d 54.25 E-s-s-e-l-m-a-n	10:13,13,15,16	ensure 7:21 56:19	evaluated 19:14	40:2,6,6 45:23
47:25	12:18 13:9,12,25	63:6,13	29:10	60:23,25 61:9,9
	16:2 17:20 22:12	ensuring 4:12 28:9	evaluations 21:15	65:17
E.I 76:6,7				expounded 27:24
earlier 29:6 43:19	24:20 25:7,7 27:3	56:5,14 67:21	evening 3:3 4:11	expounded 27:24 expressing 70:15
87:23	27:17 28:2 29:4	entering 56:18	15:22 45:8 52:3	expressing 70:13
Earth 75:4	31:20 33:17 34:14	enterprise 52:11	54:25 59:15 70:23	
ease 87:5	35:3 36:9 38:17	enterprises 52:24	82:18	extent 38:18
easily 32:20	41:15 45:24 48:10	entire 3:19 46:25	events 45:10	externalization
East 1:6	49:3 50:2,4 52:5	48:4	eventually 45:2	52:13
easy 56:7 75:16	56:1 57:20 60:15	entirely 47:17	evert 25:11	extraordinarily
eat 51:10,11,18,19	62:9,13 66:1	environment 1:2,10	everybody 7:18	64:17
75:14	69:13,22 70:13,13	3:4 5:8 15:24	10:25 12:8 45:11	extrapolate 60:25
eating 52:16	73:12 74:3 76:24	30:16 45:18 46:20	everyone's 34:3	extremely 58:14
echo 27:16	80:6 81:17 82:20	46:24 49:12 51:6	evidence 49:11	eyes 67:15
echoed 76:9	EGLE's 3:13 5:6,9	51:9,10,12,13,19	evolved 42:2	T-1
echoing 37:24	6:6 82:22 88:20	52:12,15 63:20	evolving 31:22 63:4	<u> </u>
Ecology 31:8 65:7	EGLE-PFAS-Rul	environmental 3:12	exacerbated 54:12	face 41:4
66:7	88:12	4:11,25 5:24 9:24	exactly 57:6	faces 14:23
economic 13:4 30:2	eight 55:21 56:8	10:10 13:21 30:2	example 20:12	fact 19:21 36:18
30:14 31:1 49:13	either 5:16 75:18	31:11 38:7 47:5	35:15 83:20	39:8 57:10 61:7
87:3	Elaine 2:11 31:4,4,5	47:17 50:3 62:6	examples 35:18	72:25
economics 30:11	31:6,7 33:2	65:19 69:12 81:8	exceed 5:16	failed 55:12
economists 30:3	elderly 17:1 28:16	84:23 85:2 88:18	excellent 50:15	fair 7:22 8:16 26:21
economy 53:16	66:15	88:24	excuse 17:25	Falk 24:3
ecosystem 35:2	Electronic 1:16	EPA 38:1 42:11	executing 55:8	fall 20:8 78:19
ecosystems 34:24	Elissa 24:21	49:3	executive 36:7 71:7	falling 18:14
35:5	emerged 31:19	EPA's 48:22	exercise 42:25	fam- 37:21
educate 46:8 65:15	emergent 60:14	epidemiologic 31:25	exists 44:19	families 73:15 86:8
effect 10:19 18:13	emerges 35:25	equivalent 17:4	expand 25:9 33:9	family 13:11 17:24
19:25 85:24	emerging 33:11	60:21	expands 71:23	18:8 37:6,21
effective 43:15 44:2	emission 52:11	Eric 1:14 3:11 43:19	expect 18:7 89:1	40:22 55:25 65:12
44:9 49:16,19	emitting 34:1,9	Erie 58:9	experience 53:10	65:19 73:14 85:7
63:2	enact 85:5,6	escape 63:1	80:6 83:8	fancy 83:24
effects 16:16,18,20	Enbridge 76:20	especially 15:11	experimental 23:16	far 23:14 29:23
17:6 18:10 19:18	encompassing 46:13	22:21 41:15 56:7	experts 32:19 77:16	32:25 41:19 46:21
19:19,19,21 21:15	encourage 21:13	68:21 80:14	77:16	49:19,21 53:7,17
21:16 23:14,20	28:17 45:24 70:7	Esselman 2:15 46:4	explain 6:15,18	87:15
28:14,19 31:13	encouraging 48:13	47:22,23,24,25	explaining 10:12	farming 30:21
37:17 39:20 40:4	ended 8:22	49:10	explanation 9:21	fast 25:24 26:11
53:11 54:6 55:25	endocrine 32:3	establish 5:9	exposed 13:24 21:23	63:4
60:25 61:5 62:19	ends 88:9	established 4:25	43:3 54:1 62:21	father 86:6
64:20 67:4,5	energy 1:2 3:4 35:21	50:5	71:16	fathers 86:7
efficacious 31:17	enforceable 5:5,7,10	establishing 7:2	exposing 40:8	faucet 25:11
effluent 25:14,15	enforced 59:20	12:19 48:14 56:12	exposure 21:18,25	favor 9:20
effort 38:17 62:10	enforcing 84:17	establishment 5:12	22:4,9,11,15,16	fear 17:16 73:16
efforts 46:8 48:2	engagement 72:4	5:13	22:18 23:14 32:12	74:23
55:1 65:18	engin- 57:3	estimated 47:9	32:13 33:5 41:9	February 89:2
Egged 2:17 52:1	engineering 57:4	estrogen 32:4	41:10 62:19 66:11	fecal 25:16
54:16,18,19,19,22	engineers 72:2	ET 76:1,21	66:16,16,18 68:14	fed 19:11,11
			l	l
		······································		

28:3 33:17 40:
41:12,22 43:24
46:7 48:21 50:
59:20 63:10 64
66:10 76:15 79
79:13 88:17
fish 48:5 51:10,1
52:17 71:11 73
77:11 86:5,7
Fisher 2:7 15:20
17:9,10,11,12
fishing 58:8
fit 29:25
five 10:5,5 13:3
22:7 25:16 60:
60:22 64:8
flame 65:14
flavors 16:9
Flint 14:16 41:3
76:18
floated 81:9
floss 75:16
fluorine 61:18
flying 14:19
foam 55:9 64:16
73:22 74:1
foamy 78:19
focus 31:13
folks 43:2 57:23
58:9 66:14 67:
77:2 80:13 87:
follow 6:16,20 7:
10:19 39:18 8
following 3:24 9:
17:14 28:3 31:
88:19
food 33:6 40:7 5
51:7,8,20
Foods 51:20
foot 80:22
Ford 85:25,25 8
86:3,7,10,17
87:14
foreign 32:9
forested 24:9
forever 45:1 68:
68:8,11,18,25
forgot 12:5
former 57:3
forms 45:25 63:
formula 19:11
forth 4:14 6:16,2
fortunate 80:18

AT LAKES, AND ENERGY PUBLIC H
28:3 33:17 40:24 41:12,22 43:24
46:7 48:21 50:23 59:20 63:10 64:5
66:10 76:15 79:8 79:13 88:17
fish 48:5 51:10,17 52:17 71:11 77:11
77:11 86:5,7 Fisher 2:7 15:20 17:9,10,11,12
fishing 58:8 fit 29:25
five 10:5,5 13:3 20:1 22:7 25:16 60:1
60:22 64:8 flame 65:14
flavors 16:9 Flint 14:16 41:3
76:18 floated 81:9
floss 75:16 fluorine 61:18
flying 14:19 foam 55:9 64:16 73:22 74:1
foamy 78:19 focus 31:13
folks 43:2 57:23 58:9 66:14 67:16
77:2 80:13 87:25 follow 6:16,20 7:22
10:19 39:18 81:14 following 3:24 9:17
17:14 28:3 31:22 88:19
food 33:6 40:7 51:7 51:7,8,20 Foods 51:20
foot 80:22 Ford 85:25,25 86:3
86:3,7,10,17 87:14
foreign 32:9 forested 24:9
forever 45:1 68:6,8 68:8,11,18,25
forgot 12:5 former 57:3
forms 45:25 63:1 formula 19:11
forth 4:14 6:16,20

fortune 71:23
forward 10:3 17:21
18:11,11,25 31:1
39:13 41:20 43:7
48:15 50:4,13,15
56:5,20 57:18
73:13 81:24 82:23
87:14
forwarded 89:7
fought 24:8
found 5:6 24:15
49:24 58:12 83:15
founding 40:19
four 14:11 37:12
57:15
fourth 49:10
FPAS 84:2
fracking 15:4,8 30:19 75:1,1
30:19 /3:1,1
frail 52:9
frankly 33:22
Fred 2:14 40:16
43:10,10,11,12,12
44:23
freely 60:3
freeway 58:24
frequently 16:19
fresh 36:17 37:9
38:21 75:5 82:3
freshwater 38:20
Freska 71:10,17
Friday 9:13 88:9
friends 15:4 41:3
43:1 71:12 73:14
frightened 73:15
frightened 73:15 front 30:17 76:13
frozen 15:6
frustrating 77:7,8
77:12
full 69:11 89:9
fully 39:20
function 32:4,6 55:3
functions 55:8
funds 39:14
funny 14:22
further 15:10 19:24
21:11 24:23 48:16
50:24 51:2,16
Furthermore 56:7
future 11:22,24
34:19 43:5 50:6
67:14 78:15

<u>G</u>
G-i-l-I-i-e-s 27:13
G-r-e-g-o-r-y 14:10
gained 48:7
gaining 51:15
gallon 11:25 gallons 76:21 82:6
game 68:11 83:19
84:6
gamut 55:21
gap 17:1 29:5 65:22
Gary 74:17
gasoline 76:22
gather 45:17
Gelman 14:17 54:14
68:3
general 7:6,24
generally 44:15
generated 35:21
generation 68:15
75:12 76:8
generations 38:22
43:5 68:23 74:20 78:15
genes 13:21
genome 69:1
gentleman 24:7
GenX 22:17,23 23:2
23:7 28:13 32:16
61:5
geologist 24:14
Gerard 70:21 74:5,6
74:6 85:19 87:22
87:24
getting 16:4 21:11
24:17 25:9 83:3
Gillies 2:9 26:6
27:10,11,12,13
Gitche 75:4 give 6:22 8:22 57:25
63:21 75:4
given 7:15 8:18 22:1
46:22 61:8
gives 6:1 88:1
giving 84:13,14
glad 11:17 37:17
39:12 40:10 46:18
gland 23:13,15,18
28:19
glide 75:17
global 51:7
GLWA 86:25,25
go 4:24 6:13 15:10

18:6,7 21:11 23:6
35:7 45:12 46:21
50:24 58:21 59:13
61:17 62:1 63:2
63:18 66:8 73:4
76:19 77:10 86:5
88:17 89:4
goal 43:14
goes 76:23,23
going 3:21 4:8 6:13
6:15 10:20 11:1,1
11:2,3 15:8,12
16:11,11 20:10
24:6 26:11,20
27:2,25,25 30:7
34:16,17,18 39:13
20.24 40.2 42.15
39:24 40:3 42:15 43:21,22 51:19,20
43:21,22 51:19,20
53:5,14 56:2
60:16 68:17,20
74:9,22 75:22
77:13 78:13 79:3
81:8 83:18,19
85:24 87:25
good 3:3 4:10 12:21
15:1,22 16:6 17:3
17:23 18:5 19:2
30:9,9 38:23 40:5
43:16 45:8 52:3
55:5,5 58:6 59:15
63:22 70:23 75:8
78:13 81:14 82:18
gotten 12:3
government 52:13
52:25 68:4 74:14
75:7,8,9 78:12
79:18 83:9
Governor 10:14,14
10:16 12:18 16:2
24:21 41:12,25
45:10 46:8 57:24
62:9 66:1 69:14
02.9 00.1 09.14
81:11 82:22
grab 8:11
grammy 78:8
Grand 7:7 70:25
72:8
grandchildren 78:9
78:16,22,23
grandfather 55:1
grandma 78:12
grandparents 78:10
grass 15:24
_

25:5 67:1

grate 64:13	happening 24:9	7:13 10:7,21 59:6	hours 26:17	53:16 84:18 85:7
gratitude 36:9	25:20 27:5,7 88:2	88:22 89:7	house 10:5 17:4	impacted 13:4
Grayling 25:20	happens 84:7	hears 56:19	24:12 25:4,12,13	20:12 41:16,17
great 1:2,10 3:4	happy 42:12 64:23	heart 64:18 77:9	26:12 30:24 37:21	impacts 23:13 42:22
19:5 51:6 71:23	hard 8:10 14:13	heartbreaking	73:10	63:7 67:10 86:10
79:12,15 86:4,20	39:24 54:11 56:3	17:19	housing 59:9	86:24 87:12
greater 32:23 53:17	73:22 74:1 76:17	heavy 34:10	HRWC 48:1,10,19	impair 32:7
green 11:12 58:24	harm 31:18	Heights 72:10	49:15,23	impairs 5:6
74:18 86:24	harmed 34:8	held 71:22	huge 29:5	imparts 20:9
Gregory 2:6 12:11	Hayner 2:23 77:24	Hell 76:22	Huh 59:3	imperative 28:7
14:4,6,8,9,9	78:25 79:2,3,6,6	Hello 11:13 17:11	human 3:5,15 43:1	imperil 74:16
grew 25:20 37:4	81:5 82:13	26:9 27:12 43:12	46:22 48:6 49:11	imperiling 74:19
50:11 71:9,9	hazardous 55:7,15	47:24 50:10 69:9	49:22	implement 28:21
ground 13:6 15:6	64:7	74:11	humans 32:20 34:22	implementation
40:23 44:7	hazards 33:4	help 13:25 26:4,23	34:22 35:1 39:21	20:6 63:10,10
groundbreaking	Hazel 17:12	35:22 52:23 53:4	64:20	implore 28:11
30:5	heads 14:15	70:13,13	hundreds 25:19	importance 36:17
grounded 36:24	health 3:5,12,15	hematology/oncol	Huron 1:6 17:20	important 12:17,25
groundwater 24:16	4:11 5:1,2,7,25	31:7	39:10 48:1,3	19:2 20:4 21:8
44:8 49:21 57:21	7:2 16:24 18:10	herbs 51:21	53:21 64:5,12,21	30:9 33:18 34:22
57:25 80:4	18:25 19:18,19,19	Hi 12:15 18:20	73:19 76:23 77:9	41:22,23 42:4,7
group 5:23 8:15	19:21,25 20:2	20:24 24:3 33:16	78:4 79:12,25	43:5 45:11,22
21:24 38:14,16	21:19,24 23:20	36:4 38:13 46:6	83:20 87:17	50:23 67:12 70:25
40:20 68:5 81:11	24:6 25:10 27:22	54:19 59:15 62:5	Hydro 86:5	79:19 82:8,23
group's 22:15,24	30:14 31:8,13	64:3 65:5 85:21	hydrogen 80:25	83:5
groups 6:1	32:14 33:4 37:17	hide 57:6	hyphen 18:21	improved 45:13,13
growing 41:3	38:7 40:3 46:22	high 5:4 32:25 40:5		83:3
grown 72:5	47:13,18 49:12,22	42:22 48:25 53:7	I	improvement 48:17
grows 72:10	52:14 53:7,11,13	58:14 71:11,11	I-I-e-s 43:13	48:22
guard 56:16	53:13,20 55:19	higher 53:23,23	I-r-w-i-n 82:19	improvements
guess 14:6 15:11	60:9,11,21,24	58:14 66:18	i-t 54:19	41:24 47:7 66:6
guideline 68:16	61:5 63:7,9,14	highest 31:23	ice 25:17	inability 30:12
guidelines 48:9,23	66:6,13 67:10,18	highly 73:12	idea 58:18 73:17	inaction 47:3
70:11	71:20 72:18,20,23	historic 39:23	ideas 50:4	inadequate 32:16
guilty 23:8	74:16,19 83:20	hold 10:7	identification 12:23	77:18
guys 15:1 68:12	health-based 22:25	holding 70:24	88:19	inappropriate 81:2
76:6,14 77:14,15	48:20,22,24 49:1	holds 88:24	identified 43:20	81:22
	62:14	Holly 24:5	identify 8:14	incident 55:7,15
<u>H</u>	healthy 28:14 65:23	· ·	ignored 57:17	incinerate 58:12
Hailey 54:24	hear 4:2 45:11	65:12 87:4	ignoring 29:11 67:4	incineration 58:13
half 24:4 33:20 35:8	52:21 58:1 67:15	homes 65:10 71:14	II 78:12	incinerators 58:14
46:13 57:10 58:5	heard 3:13 57:23	honest 69:15	Iles 2:14 40:16	include 5:12 16:23
hall 58:5	58:4 66:4,10,14	hook 47:6	43:10,11,12,12	19:17 45:25 49:1
Hampshire 37:2	66:24,25 69:16,25	hope 11:23 28:22	44:23	57:25 60:3 62:15
42:10	70:3 72:3,21,24	36:21 52:20	ill 66:15	88:19
hand 8:8,12	76:10 83:2	hopeful 38:5,8	illness 28:16	included 19:22
handle 40:2	hearing 1:4 3:6,10	76:24	illnesses 17:1	22:22 88:9
handling 57:5	3:17,21,23 4:2,17	hopefully 42:20	im- 20:5	including 5:23
hands 58:16	6:1,11,22 7:5,11	46:16 81:9	imagine 55:22 71:15	20:11 25:15 28:18
hanging 10:24	7:21 9:2,3,6,10	hoping 11:18,21	imminent 72:23	30:4 32:4 33:8
happen 4:1 30:22	27:5 51:5,23	45:12 89:10	immunity 32:7	36:23 41:16 52:9
happened 17:18,19	70:24 88:16 89:11	hormones 32:4	impact 7:11 13:1	52:16,16 55:13
25:5 67:1	hearings 3:9 6:6	hotel 14:20	28:8 32:5 35:5	86:4



86:4

hotel 14:20

hearings 3:9 6:6

28:8 32:5 35:5

incorporate 43:15
increase 29:21
incredibly 71:25
incumbent 22:2
indicate 7:18 8:19
8:21-61:4
indicated 7:23
10:23 61:8 73:21
indicating 3:18 7:17
8:4,17,23 12:12
54:24 55:13 78:8
87:10
individual 18:8
21:20 28:5 29:7
29:10,13,14 57:8
62:16
individually 16:15
individuals 71:24
industrial 31:17
49:13
industries 34:1
industry 5:24 13:11
30:20 34:16,20
58:18,22 83:10
Inequality 30:5
inevitable 23:22
infants 19:11 22:16
31:25 39:4,5
inform 46:8 48:20
information 3:22
4:9 6:2,8,23 9:10
9:12 16:24 19:20
23:1 32:19 48:23
59:8 60:10,11,19
63:5,22 78:6
84:21 87:6 88:2
informed 24:19
42:11
42:11 ingosting 20:15
ingesting 28:15 injection 75:3
injection /5:5
injury 14:18
innocent 23:8 76:3,4
76:16
input 6:25 35:5
inside 16:25
install 37:18
installed 65:22
instance 36:25
instituted 61:12
instructions 9:14
insult 14:18
intended 7:1

intent 11:22 72:6

interact 71:24 interaction 34:6 interest 72:4,6 88:5 interested 6:22 58:23 interfere 32:3 Interference 77:4 interfering 32:8 interpreted 48:9 interrupt 9:1 introduce 3:11 introducing 76:15 77:14 introduction 20:4 32:15 invariably 14:20 investigation 74:3 involved 48:2 irresponsibility 57:9 irresponsible 58:1 Irwin 2:23 40:12,12 53:1 73:2 79:1 82:15,17,18,19 isolation 16:15 issue 12:25 13:5 16:5 17:14 27:18 28:21 43:22 46:9 52:6 71:13 79:22 issued 48:4 issues 10:13 17:15 17:17,19 45:18 63:24 84:23 it'd 40:5

J J-a-m-e-s-o-n 62:5 J-o-h-n 75:25 jail 74:17 **JAMES 1:10** Jameson 2:18 59:13 62:1,3,4,5 63:13 January 1:7 3:2 7:8 9:13,18 88:9,11 Japan 60:17 JCAR 10:4,4,15,17 21:14 89:8,8 Jeff 2:23,23 40:12 40:12 53:1 77:24 78:25 79:1,1,2,3,6 79:6 81:5 82:13 82:15,16,17,18,19 Jeffs 79:4 Jen 50:10

Jennifer 2:16 47:22 50:7,8,9,10 jet 86:15 Jim 2:17 3:9 4:10 52:1 54:16,18,19 54:19,22,23 56:10 iob 55:2 66:17 John 2:5,22 11:9,10 11:10,13,16,17 12:6,6 74:9 75:21 75:22,23,24,24 77:6 Johnson 2:12 35:11 36:2,3,4,5,5 join 79:16 Joint 10:3 89:7 Joseph 30:4 Journal 7:7

K K-a-t-h-y 78:1 K-e-r-r 45:9 K-i-r-c-h-o-f-f 69:10 K.I 59:9 Kalamazoo 76:21 Kathy 2:22 75:22 77:19,20,21,23,25 78:1,1 keep 24:8 27:4,25 35:24 50:16 81:7 Keith 2:10 27:10 28:25 29:2,3,3 Kerr 2:14 43:10 45:6,7,8,9,14 Kevin 2:7 15:20 17:9,9,10,11,11 kids 37:12 kind 8:9,22 18:22 19:3 51:14,15 64:18 80:2 kinds 34:11 80:19 Kirchoff 2:20 69:8,9 69:9 Klingshirn 1:16 know 8:10,24 12:2 13:20,22,22,23 14:11,14,18 15:3 15:3,9,12 16:8,18 17:13,15,24 18:2 18:4,10,12,14 19:13 26:19 29:9 35:3 36:13,17,22

37:13,15,17,19,20 37:21,22,22 38:3 38:6,8 39:2,5,20 39:23 40:5 42:5 42:18 44:2,3,9,15 44:15,17,18 46:9 55:18.21 56:1 57:16 58:11 59:10 63:19 65:11 69:5 70:10 72:22 75:14 76:5,10 77:7,13 78:17 79:21 80:20 80:24 81:1,12 83:7,21,22 85:23 86:10,12,23 87:16 knowing 18:12 30:10 knowledge 48:7 54:2 65:18

known 14:2 59:21 \mathbf{L} L-e-e 29:3 L-o-c-h 18:21 laboratories 5:18 23:16 laboratory 4:21 7:4 lack 5:5 lackluster 54:13 lactating 31:24 ladies 70:2 lake 24:13 25:20,21 58:9 71:10,17 78:3,3,11 85:25 85:25 86:1,3,3,7 86:10,17 87:14,16 87:18 lakes 1:2 3:4 69:21 69:24 77:10 land 53:9 lands 59:7 language 19:25 30:11 Lansing 1:11 large 17:5 43:21 late 58:7 latest 35:20 Laureate 30:4 Law 30:23 73:2 lawmakers 47:5 laws 85:2 lawyers 72:2

layers 57:13

lead 23:19 34:10 55:19 65:13 67:17 67:19,20 72:20 leaded 59:7 leader 28:21 36:15 38:6 72:24 73:8 leaders 31:8 45:17 73:5 leadership 12:18,20 12:22 16:3 33:19 46:2 49:25 50:4 73:16 82:22 League 36:6 53:21 71:7.21 Iearn 37:10 88:13 learned 25:21 31:15 84:22 leave 8:9,10 56:23 64:14 73:1 leaving 17:4 38:4 Lee 2:10 27:10 28:25 29:2,3,3 left 8:19 47:6 52:25 legally 69:22 70:5 legislation 31:1 40:11 61:15 legislations 30:10 legislative 10:5,6 21:2 30:8 46:11 89:5 legislatively 50:25 legislatives 30:22 legislators 30:25 legislature 52:21 Lenawee 15:8 length 3:19 let's 41:24 76:6 level 5:1,4,13,17 12:20 15:7 32:12 41:9 42:16,17 48:9,12 49:2 62:15,20 72:14 levels 23:19 33:8 34:15 36:16 40:5 40:6 48:5,14,21 49:2 50:5,17 61:6 71:11 levers 57:13 life 14:11 25:16 26:17 34:23 35:5 51:6 53:8 64:21 lifetime 5:1 68:14,24

69:2

1
lifetimes 47:13
light 11:12 40:2
liked 57:23
likelihood 67:4
limit 18:15 20:8
34:4.60:6 62:17
62:18
limitation 25:24
35:8
limitations 70:15
limited 5:23 8:15
32:18
Limiting 13:13
limits 11:22 18:4
20:6 21:20 33:20
34:19 35:7 47:2
50:14 56:4,11,12
60:18,19
line 30:12 38:24
lines 18:3
linked 23:16 31:10
links 32:1
Lisa 2:10,19,21 29:1
29:16,17,18,18
36:7 62:1 63:25
3
64:2,3,3 69:6
70:20,21,22,23
71:4 72:17 73:21
73:21,25
list 56:2 58:11 72:10
listed 46:1 53:11
56:2
listen 9:3 24:18
79:14
listening 4:3
liter 11:23
literally 36:18 72:12
86:2
literature 31:13
60:15
little 7:19 8:23 12:1
19:7 21:11 24:23
27:7 44:1 60:9
live 4:5 14:12 26:11
26:17 33:25 34:2
37:8 40:22 50:11
65:10 70:3 74:23
75:5,19,20 77:8
78:2
lived 14:10 25:22
50:11 64:4
liver 13:17 22:19
53:10
33,10

lives 24:13 41:5 53:8 64:11 living 14:19 26:24 29:8 37:5 Livingston 76:1 77:8 78:4 lobby 9:7 local 51:8,17 68:4 77:3 location 13:5 Loch-Caruso 2:7 17:9 18:19,20,20 **logged** 29:23 logic 22:24 long 27:4 38:24 40:4 41:11,11,19 46:22 47:11 58:6 68:8 68:13,19,21 83:19 long-term 23:20 55:24 72:1 86:10 longer 47:12,14 69:271:6 Iongevity 19:16 look 14:15.15 15:8 16:20 19:6 26:24 39:3,23 44:10 50:3 51:16 55:10 55:13 57:8 59:11 61:17,19 73:6 77:13 78:22,24 79:19 84:17,20 looking 12:8,9 19:9 51:8 63:14 67:16 73:16 86:19 looks 84:19 losing 52:15,17 **losses** 51:15 lost 78:11 87:3 lot 16:17 17:13,22 21:10 24:6 45:23 65:11 69:16,21 71:2,3 86:4 lots 20:12 low 23:19 38:25 53:10,10 61:1 81:6,13 87:9 lower 11:22 33:7 34:19 42:2 45:24 61:6 78:23 lowered 80:8 lucky 65:25 80:23 lying 70:16

M M-a-c-h-o-w-i-c-z 75:25 M-c-G-i-I-I-i-v-r-... 21:1 M-e-r-e-d-i-t-h 27:13 M-e-u-n-i-n-c-k 65:6 M-u-r-p-h-y 15:23 M-y-l-e-s 74:12 M.D 2:11 31:5 ma'am 59:1 73:24 74:8 75:10 Machowicz 2:22 74:9 75:23,24,24 77:6 Macomb 74:18 mad 34:20,20 Madison 72:10 magnified 33:4 main 17:23 37:8 maintain 38:21 60:6 major 41:19 83:23 majority 4:3 79:23 making 6:24 9:22 30:14 41:13 51:1 51:2 52:11,24 86:22 88:21 mammary 23:12,15 23:18 28:19 manager 36:6 mandate 80:16 81:6 mandates 81:12 Manitou 75:4 manner 7:22 81:24 manufactured 24:7 manufacturers 23:9 60:2 62:25 March 89:2 Marcy 1:16 Margrethe 25:21 market 31:15 marketed 60:24 Marquette 7:7 Marshall 24:10 26:3 Massachusetts 22:7 42:10 61:12 materials 8:7 19:6 matrices 61:21 matrix 29:25 maximum 5:12,16 36:16 48:14,20

50:4 McGillivray 2:8 20:21,21,23,24,25 23:4.6 MCL 12:19 13:13 22:6,25 23:22 28:22 34:3 37:1 49:3 54:4 60:18 MCLs 19:22 31:21 32:24 42:15 47:8 47:18 49:10,10,14 59:20 60:12 67:7 67:9 MDEQ 76:17 77:1 **MDHHS 19:14** mean 14:16,17 43:22 76:13,15,17 76:23 77:1 86:15 meaningful 48:17 means 20:10,11,11 20:11 32:10 35:3 76:4 86:7 87:17 measure 74:13 81:3 measurements 29:22,24 30:8 mechanism 29:8,9 60:18 63:6 medians 20:11 Meena 18:18 20:15 20:15,17 meet 71:24 82:2 meeting 3:19 11:18 58:5,11 89:2 meetings 88:25 meets 4:13 member 40:19 59:18 69:11,12 member's 37:21 members 10:6 16:1 27:14 73:14 memories 86:4 men 72:2 mention 4:4 20:1 64:19 76:7 mentioned 28:4 43:20 62:12 68:4 88:8 Meredith 2:9 26:6 27:10,10,11,12,12 message 84:13 met 24:21 57:15

metals 34:10

method 21:18 57:5

Meuninck 2:19 64:1 64:1 65:3,4,5,6 66:21 mic 11:11 Michigan 1:1,2,6,11 3:1,3,5,15 4:14 5:21 6:5 7:9,12 10:20 12:17,21,21 12:22 13:7 14:10 14:12,22 15:24 16:1 17:12 18:24 21:2,8,12 22:4 23:11 24:5 27:15 27:15,17 28:20 36:6,14,18 37:6 38:5,19 39:13,16 40:13,21,23,24 45:15 46:19 50:19 50:21 52:5 53:20 58:22 60:13 62:6 65:8,24 67:1,15 68:13,17,24 69:12 71:7,21 72:24 76:1 79:20 85:2 89:6 Michigan's 4:12 18:25 21:21 30:12 48:24 Michiganders 13:2 27:21 28:10 31:2 40:4 56:6,14 65:9 72:13 Michiganders' 66:6 microorganisms 34:24 microphone 8:4,5 microwave 75:14 mighty 73:19 milk 32:22 million 15:13.14 27:21 39:9 72:13 76:21 79:22 80:22 82:5 millions 46:24 80:8 80:9 mind 52:18 minds 87:6 Mining 7:7 minute 8:19,23,24 23:3,5 25:23 33:1 39:25 44:22 49:9 54:3 58:2 61:2

63:12 66:20 72:16

74:7 75:10 77:5
81:4
minutes 8:18 11:15
59:2,4 61:23 66:9
73:24 82:12
misleading 32:17
Mismeasurement
30:6
missing 29:6
mistake 16:20
mix 80:3
mixtures 59:22,23
61:10
mobile 68:7
modeling 22:17
models 19:14 22:11
22:15,18
moderate 40:6
modification 10:11
molecules 44:16
moment 21:4
moms 66:14
money 25:2,7 78:14
Monica 2:24 82:16
85:11,12,13,15,17
85:20,21,22 87:11
monitoring 5:10,17
month 17:14,15
63:18 89:1
months 18:6 24:20
57:18 67:8
mother 19:15,19
68:22 69:1 75:4
mothers 36:23 86:8
mouse 83:18 84:6
move 10:3 30:20
37:5,25 51:7
56:20 63:17 71:18
73:12 83:14
moved 37:13 83:16
moves 63:19 83:10
movie 44:4
moving 36:10 37:4,9
MPART 5:22 16:2
21:13 22:12 31:20
46:7 48:10,22
50:2 61:10 66:1
MPART's 5:22
21:15 MS4 81:19
multiple 21:17,23 23:23 28:7 57:13
62:19,21
02.17,21

municipal 50:1 84:4 municipalities 58:20 Murphy 2:6 14:5 15:19,21,22,23 mushrooms 51:18 Myles 2:21 74:6,8 74:10,11 75:11 myriad 19:4 myriads 71:1

N Nation 61:5 name 3:9 8:3.14 11:2,5 12:5,13 15:22 17:11 27:12 29:18,19,24 31:6 35:13 36:4 38:13 40:18 43:12 45:8 45:9 46:6 47:25 52:3 54:19 57:1 59:15 65:5 71:4 74:11 75:24 76:7 76:25 79:6 82:19 85:22 names 10:23 35:19 nanogram 11:23 Nathan 2:6 14:5 15:19,20,21,22,23 National 59:18 Nations 30:1 native 59:9 68:16 Natural 22:20 nature 41:5,18 near 50:6 64:5,14 nearly 21:22 65:8 necessarily 32:11 86:15 necessary 35:22 need 8:21 13:19 15:15 16:22 17:2 17:5 23:12 29:12 30:25 31:1 34:3 38:20 39:3,6,17 39:23 40:6,20 42:3,3,6 43:13,17 50:16,24,24 51:2 51:14 56:16 58:24 65:24 66:21 67:3 69:15 70:12,17 75:16,16,18 81:25 82:1,2,7,24 84:10

85:2 87:7

needed 24:25 33:12

53:5 needs 26:23,24 29:7 43:17 49:3 52:20 negative 70:1 negligence 47:3 neighbors 41:1 79:10 Nestle 76:19 Network 1:17 never 69:10 72:5 84:24.24 new 13:12 29:25 37:2 39:19 42:10 45:20 48:23 50:4 60:19 63:4 67:12 69:18,19 70:8 76:7,7,8,24,25 80:10 83:16 newer 31:14 67:7 news 7:7 12:22 newspapers 7:6 NI 41:7 nibi 74:12 nice 78:18 NIHS 41:7 Nobel 30:4 non-corrupt 75:9 noncommunity 5:15 nonprofit 68:2 nontoxic 65:13 nontransient 5:14 nope 25:8 normal 32:4 36:5 60:8 75:7 north 86:3,5 not-eat-fish 48:4 Notable 5:11 noted 21:24 32:19 41:25 72:19 notes 55:4 notice 7:5,11 10:12 noticeable 17:17 noticed 19:9 64:14 64:15 78:19 noticing 64:10 notification 4:20 5:15 7:3 34:16 NPDES 81:19 NRDC's 38:24 number 1:17 21:20

72:5 73:6 numeric 62:16 numerous 5:23 34:4 nurses 72:2 nursing 66:14 nutrition 52:16

0 o'clock 77:23 87:25 88:10 object 10:8 objection 10:12 obligated 70:5 obligation 55:12 observational 23:15 observed 13:14 61:1 obviously 33:18 47:7 63:4 occasion 55:9 occur 32:10 offer 43:18 office 6:5 7:13 10:21 81:17 89:6 officer 3:10,14 offices 77:3 officials 5:2 37:18 74:14,16 oftentimes 83:10 Oh 14:21,23 20:15 20:21 Ojibwe 74:13 okay 8:24 11:8 12:4 14:3,9 15:19 18:17 20:14,14,19 23:25 26:6,9 27:9 29:16 31:3 33:13 35:10,10 40:15,15 43:9 45:5 46:3,3 47:21,21 50:7,7 51:25,25 54:16 56:7,22 57:19 58:3 59:1,12,12 61:3,22,25,25 63:25,25 65:2 67:22,23 69:4,4,5 69:11 74:5,6,9 75:21,21 77:19,19 78:25 79:4 82:11 82:15 85:9,18 87:21,24 old 55;21 76:17 78:9 Older 31:25

once 11:11 38:6

46:25 72:24 73:8 one-generation 68:17 one-year-old 78:16 onward 10:15 Oop 62:4 ooze 58:24 74:18 86:24 open 9:4 88:25 opening 10:25 operations 34:9 opportunity 3:11 6:1,23 7:15 9:2 21:9 28:20,23 67:17 82:21 opposed 9:20 10:17 order 6:15,19 7:25 33:11 43:16 86:11 88:9 org-45:19 organic 61:18 organization 11:7 15:25 45:13,15,16 45:19 76:25 organizations 5:24 29:13 53:22 organizers 72:1 Oscoda 40:20,23,25 41:1 42:22 43:2 59:6 72:9 Ostrowski 1:10 2:3 2:25 3:3,9 6:12 11:14 12:4,7 14:3 14:7 15:19 17:8 18:17 20:14,18 23:3,5,25 26:5 27:9 28:25 29:16 31:3 33:1,13 35:10 36:1 38:10 39:25 40:15 43:9 44:22 45:5 46:3 47:21 49:9 50:7 51:25 54:3,16,21 56:9,22 58:2 59:1 59:4,12 61:2,22 61:25 63:12,25 65:2 66:20 67:22 69:4 70:20 72:16 73:24 74:5 75:10 75:21 77:5,19,22 78:25 79:5 81:4 82:11,15 85:9,13



85:16,18 87:10,21

42:21

numbers 38:25 41:3

45:20,21,22,24

Oswald 1:14 3:11	passionate 34:19	46:9	PFOS 5:1,5 44:6	80:4,5
ought 59:8	78:7	pertain 4:19	60:23	polluter 30:23 40:13
outline 3:25	paths 73:19	pesticides 31:10	Ph.D 2:18 59:14,18	43:21 52:23 73:2
outlined 61:13	patience 21:5	Peters 61:14	phase 43:8	80:9
Outreach 1:10	patients 31:9	PFAS 5:6,11,11,13	phone 12:8	polluters 40:10
outside 3:17 26:2	Patrell 2:10 29:1,17	5:18,22 7:2,2,3,4	phonetic 24:24	46:23 47:3 49:6
59:9 74:8 87:24	29:18,18	12:17,19 13:1,11	phthalates 65:14	53:3,8 80:2 81:20
overall 30:14 79:10	Paul 68:3	13:22,23 14:16	physician 31:8	82:1,2,3
oversight 9:25 10:6	pay 30:23 40:10,13	15:4 16:5,9,12,19	pick 73:3	polluting 81:20
19:13	52:23,25 53:3,7	17:14 18:4,9,15	picture 84:14	pollution 53:3 54:14
overwhelming	73:2 82:2	18:22 19:5,10,15	piece 73:3	65:1 69:20 74:21
17:16	paying 15:16 39:9	19:16,17 20:1	pieces 57:8	polyfluorinated
owns 25:8	50:16 52:14	21:15,16,17,20,22	pioneered 30:3	57:13
oxygen 81:1	pays 58:25	21:23,25 22:1,4	place 14:20 27:2,2	poor 30:14
<u> </u>	PCB 35:18	22:17,18,22 23:13	30:22 31:10 35:22	popcorn 75:14
<u>P</u>	PCBs 21:19	23:14,22 25:20	35:24 37:7 49:3	population 29:11
P-a-t-r-e-I-I 29:19	PDeisha 2:21 74:6,8	27:19 28:7,15,17	76:15	populations 19:9
P-e-I-o 46:7	74:10,11 75:11	29:4 30:17 31:13	placed 18:15 55:6	22:12,13 27:20
p m 1:7 3:2 88:11	peace 52:17	31:23 32:2,6,13	placenta 32:21	28:12 31:24 61:4
89:12	Pelo 2:15 45:6 46:4	32:16,20,25 33:2	places 27:3 37:20	66:12,13,17
pace 48:11	46:5,6	33:5,8,10 34:1,3,5	65:25 placing 49:6 62:24	Portage 78:3,11 pose 31:23 32:22
Pack 45:15,16 package 89:9	penalties 11:20	34:5,7,8,11,20 35:6,8,14 36:15	plan 58:10,12 67:11	72:23
	pending 40:11 people 13:3 14:11	36:19 37:11 38:17	67:13,14	poss- 44:24
packaging 40:8	14:21 15:14 16:25	38:24 39:1,9,18	plant 37:19 65:22	possible 6:24 19:5
packet 8:7 page 2:2 21:24	17:22 21:22 23:16	40:24 46:20 47:9	84:19	21:12 22:3 39:23
painstakingly 55:16	26:2 28:1,15 29:8	47:9,16 48:2,5,8	plants 51:18 63:18	41:24 48:16 53:19
panel 1:13 41:14,25	29:15 30:16,18	48:12,14,21 49:1	plastics 44:20	53:24 54:9 64:25
42:18	40:2 41:3,17 43:2	49:4,4,7,11,16,17	plating 83:22,23,24	71:20 81:13 85:5
papers 8:7	45:17 46:19 47:13	50:5,14 51:5,22	play 43:4 63:24	possibly 24:20 32:8
paragraph 19:14	47:20 52:7,13,25	52:8 55:14,18,25	playing 16:11 83:18	83:21
Parchment 72:9	53:6,24 64:23	56:4,13 58:13	please 8:3,8,14,20	post 46:17
Pardon 23:4	69:10,16,16,18	59:21,24 60:2,20	8:25 9:1 26:3	posted 7:9
parents 14:1	70:12,15 71:1	60:23 61:9,11,15	53:12 54:14,15,15	postnatal 60:23
park 17:12 24:7	72:5,11 74:20	61:17,20 62:14,15	58:9 78:23,24	potential 37:17
25:9 26:2,2 86:5	75:13 78:5 82:20	62:17,20,23 63:1	84:17 88:11	potentially 32:13
Parkersburg 44:3	84:3 86:6,11,11	63:3,4,7,19,23	pleasure 52:15	60:20
part 9:11 15:13,13	people's 24:6 25:10	64:17 65:15,20,23	plume 54:14	power 52:9
52:11 60:6,7 61:1	83:20	66:3,11,24 67:1,7	point 11:19 22:11	practical 48:7
78:3 86:25	percent 53:25 60:1	67:10 68:6,10,18	23:12 57:13 85:25	practices 58:11,15
participate 6:11	60:1 79:16,24	68:21 69:21 70:14	86:22,23	58:17
particular 41:21	87:4	71:19 72:19 73:10	points 31:22 66:13	precautionary
particularly 32:7	percentage 60:1	74:2 75:15,17	poison 13:18	52:22
partner 37:12	perform 5:17 55:3	76:2,9,11 77:9	poisoned 47:1 52:8	predictable 47:17
partnership 3:5	period 9:17 88:8	78:20 79:22 80:4	55:14	pregnancy 19:17
partnerships 36:6	permission 54:2	83:6,8,8,13,21,24	policies 30:9	32:5 37:15
parts 4:19 22:6	permits 8:1 81:19	84:1,2 85:3,7 87:7	policy 33:23 43:16	pregnant 16:24 19:8
42:16	81:19	PFAS's 19:3	48:7 76:5	19:12,21 20:2
party 13:4	permutations 19:5	PFHSX 42:21	political 13:4 21:1	28:15 31:24 36:23
pass 69:1	persist 47:15	PFHXS 37:1	pollutants 30:16	37:14 39:3,5 52:9
passed 73:10	persistent 68:7	PFOA 5:2,5 13:1	34:9 58:18	66:14
passes 68:22	person 8:17 11:3,3,9	23:17,19 60:23	pollute 80:1	prejudice 20:10
passing 81:21	personally 14:11	83:8	polluted 25:20,22	prenatal 60:22
	I	!	1	I

prepare 9:19 82:5
preparing 88:16,16
present 8:8 53:18
54:6 59:22
president 73:9
Press 7:7
pressure 50:25
pretty 14:11 17:1
19:6 45:9 71:15
prevalent 14:14
prevent 35:23 39:11
47:17 64:25
prevention 35:24
previous 19:1 47:4
previously 88:8
primary 13:15
principal 52:22
principal 52:22 priorities 56:19
priority 41:14
private 49:24 52:11
privileged 50:12
Prize 30:4
proactive 18:1,6,24
27:18
proactively 49:18
probably 8:9 14:14
47:14
problem 12:23
22:21 24:11 25:12
27:23 54:12 80:15
81:21
problems 32:14
36:13 52:14
procedures 3:25
6:14,16,17,20,21
29:22
proceed 10:15
Proceedings 89:12
process 6:14,18 9:3
10:7,20 11:19
36:11,11 41:17
42:8,19 48:13
56:20 88:14,15
processes 34:18
produce 51:17,17
produced 33:25
52:10
producing 43:19
products 22:2 33:25
40:7 53:17 60:3
65:9,14,14 83:25
profession 31:15
professionals 5:25
professionals 5.25
1

profit 23:9 52:24 profiteers 56:17 profits 52:25 74:15 75:12 program 18:11 36:12 prohibited 58:8 project 31:12 32:1 promises 81:8 promoted 30:23 promulgate 57:24 promulgated 89:10 promulgating 18:23 54:8 promulgation 6:7 pronouncing 18:18 20:15,21 64:1 proof 23:9,9 proper 35:16 81:1 property 74:21 proportions 81:1 proposal 83:5 propose 47:2 proposed 3:7 4:17 4:22 5:9,20 6:4,23 7:1.12 9:5.13.23 12:17 13:10 16:6 16:14 19:23 28:3 29:4 31:21 32:24 35:14 47:8.18 48:13,20 56:17 60:12,13 61:6,11 66:4 67:10 88:6 proposing 46:18 59:25 protect 5:7 7:1 12:21 13:6 26:2 31:2 46:19,22 49:11 52:9 54:8 54:15 55:10,12 56:20 62:18 65:9 65:12 66:5,11 protected 63:15 protecting 18:25 26:1 53:20 72:18 protection 4:25 19:8 47:5 48:17 50:3 62:20 71:20 72:7 protections 21:12 38:7 56:18 protective 5:4 16:22 21:19 22:11,25

28:14 36:22 49:22

54:8 67:18 protectors 56:15 protocol 42:12 protocols 42:9 proud 38:9 proven 23:8 31:16 76:3,4,16 provide 4:8 6:2.23 9:2 10:6 42:13 43:23 60:18 providers 53:5 provides 9:24 providing 35:4 82:21 provisions 4:21 public 1:4,5 3:6,8,10 4:13,15,17,18,20 5:7,15,25 6:5,11 6:25 7:1,3,5,11 11:25 18:25 21:9 21:19 27:22 31:19 43:16 47:18 56:19 60:11,24 62:18 71:1,20 74:17 88:8,16,25 publicly 57:17 published 7:5,8 punished 74:17 purity 74:12 purpose 3:23 6:21 9:1 purview 51:4,23 52:20 push 43:6 45:24 put 11:24 16:18 23:8 25:10 30:21 31:1 35:22 39:9 46:23 49:3 50:21 50:25 58:18 75:3 75:6,15 83:24 putting 18:24 27:19 29:10 50:21 81:11 82:22

R-e-b-e-c-c-a 65:6 R-o-g-e-r 67:25 Rabhi 40:12 46:12 53;2 73;3 race 13:5 rapidly 67:11 Rapids 7:7 70:25 72:8 rare 33:3 rat 41:8 rates 53:11 rationale 88:20 rats 41:9 Rayle 2:20 65:3 67:22,24,25,25 reaching 18:11 24:19 read 21:5 24:14 26:14 39:14 41:7 88:1 ready 11:4 25:9 reaffirm 50:2 real 16:7,20 32:10 60:25 84:22 reality 66:25 realize 25:23 35:19 51:4,22 55:2 79:17 really 14:24 16:3,6 17:17,20,23 18:9 21:8 22:2 25:24 26:10,11 29:6 35:3 36:12,14,19 37:6,10,25 38:1,4 38:5,9 39:6,20,23 42:7 45:11,12 50:23 59:8 63:22 64:18 69:15,22 77:16 79:11 81:24 84:9 87:6 reason 12:24 30:8 33:21 reasonably 38:23 reasons 37:8 54:24

9:4,6,8 42:21

quick 38:2 79:18

quickly 19:7 23:7

81:25 85:5

R-a-y-l-e 68:1

quite 46:15

36:10 48:16 71:18

R

71:3 Rebecca 2:15,19 46:4 47:21,23,24 47:24 49:10 64:1 65:2,3,4,5,5 66:21 receive 6:25 9:2 received 78:12 88:10 recognition 41:17 recognize 32:9 recognized 37:2 recommend 22:2 recommendation 38:25 recommendations 5:21 22:22.23 23:13 42:1 57:24 62:11 recommended 33:8 42:17 64:6 recommends 49:3 reconstitute 73:4 record 21:5 56:19 recorded 1:16 9:11 Recorder 1:16 recording 4:4.6 records 25:1 recover 15:16 39:14 recovered 24:14 25:1 recovering 39:11 recreate 51:14 recreating 71:16 recreation 64:9 recreational 58:8 reduce 65:16 reduced 45:22 61:8 reducing 45:21 reevaluate 67:11,14 reevaluating 63:7 reexamine 33:7 reference 17:7 reflect 22:23 reflective 85:6,7 reformulated 44:24 regarding 22:19 29:21 32:18 48:8 48:19 49:14 53:6 75:19 regardless 13:4 regards 87:7 Register 7:9 Registration 1:17



Q

qualitatively 53:14

quality 38:21 53:7

quarter 63:21 80:20

quantify 53:16

quarterly 63:17

questions 3:16,20

question 12:3

64:22

Registry 5:3	replaced 70:9	responsible 4:12	73:19 74:22 76:23	Rules' 10:21
regret 55:11	report 9:19,22	79:10,11	77:9 78:4 79:12	ruling 58:23
regrets 46:15	21:24 22:24 26:15	rest 16:4 66:18	79:25 83:20,22	run 77:4
regrettable 49:8	57:17 60:7 84:19	81:14	84:2,3 87:18	runner 73:18
regular 33:10	88:17,18 89:4	result 60:8	rivers 47:16 69:17	runoff 25:12
regularly 60:18	reported 57:15	resulted 88:22	69:21 70:13	runs 79:12,13 86:3
61:16 63:7	reporter 4:6 8:11	results 35:15	road 16:10 24:4	rural 15:5
regulate 16:13 18:2	11:6	retain 30:13	Robert 2:14 43:10	rural/urban 13:5
42:6 47:16 59:25	reporting 1:17	retardants 65:15	45:6,7,8,8,14	Russian 43:5
61:15 62:23 83:17	29:21,24	retired 31:7 57:4	rock 75:6	
83:17 84:6	reports 24:14	revealed 59:24	Rockford 25:22	<u> </u>
regulated 18:15	represent 8:15	review 9:18,24	Roger 2:20 65:3	S-m-i-t-h 78:2
33:3 49:4 84:1	45:14 59:25 79:8	10:10 31:13 60:15	67:22,24,25,25	S-p-a-n-i-o-l-a
regulating 17:21	representative	63:9 88:18,24	role 71:21	40:19
29:7 63:3	40:11 46:12 53:2	89:5	roof 17:4	S-t-e-p-h-e-n 59:16
regulation 45:20	57:11	reviewed 61:8	room 3:17 14:13	sad 58:3
51:2 63:1	representatives	reviews 33:10	57:10	safe 4:14 27:16
regulations 4:24	5:25 73:11	revised 37:1	roots 15:24	28:10 31:16 35:1
33:9 43:14,15,17	representing 11:7	revisions 3:7 4:17	Roscommon 70:24	48:6 51:18,19,21
49:6,7,7 50:13	27:14 29:19 71:2	4:19,23 5:9,12,20	72:9	56:6,14-57:22
62:25 68:14 70:19	represents 46:13	6:3,4	Ross-Williams 2:24	65:13 67:21 68:2
regulators 46:18	reputation 14:25	revisiting 63:14	82:16 85:11,14,15	84:14,15,24
47:1 68:12	request 7:14,18	Rice 24:13	85:17,20,21,22	safeguards 31:18
regulatory 3:14	require 22:24 35:16	rid 58:20	87:11	38:7
7:11 33:8 58:16	36:16 63:8	right 3:17 4:10 6:12	roulette 43:5	safer 83:11,15
reimburse 50:19	required 5:17 12:21	6:13 7:17 8:4 9:8	round 63:10 89:5	safety 23:9 24:6
reinforce 60:5	39:15,19	10:24 11:1,4,14	routes 54:2	25:10 46:25 55:11
reinforces 61:7	requirement 63:9	12:7,10,12 17:8,8	Rover 76:1,21	64:25
reinstate 40:12	requirements 4:14	22:6 23:6 24:12	rude 12:10	sale 23:10
reject 10:2	5:11,14,16 7:3,4	24:13 26:11,14	ruined 47:13	Salih 18:18,18
rejects 10:11	35:23 57:15,16	27:6,10 28:20,25	rule 3:7,7 5:9,11,20	20:15,15,17
related 13:1 32:11	requiring 50:13	30:17 33:20 36:1	6:4,7 12:17 13:10	Saline 50:12
86:25	research 54:5	38:10 43:9 45:5	13:13 28:3 47:8	sample 63:21
relative 53:25	resident 71:5 79:7	47:19 50:12 54:23	68:16,17 69:19	samples 20:7 67:2
relatively 63:4	residents 48:18	56:23 57:19 62:8	88:20,23 89:9	sampling 4:20 5:14
81:25	62:21 65:24 66:11	65:7 66:3 67:15	rulemaking 6:14,18	7:3 63:17,18,21 San- 24:10
relaxed 49:12	68:2 76:1 82:7	68:22 73:25 77:8 77:22 82:18 83:20	9:25 10:4,7,20 11:19 36:11 47:12	San- 24:10 Sandstone 24:10
released 67:8	86:4 87:12			I .
reliability 42:16	residents' 87:5	85:17,21 86:6,18	88:14,15 89:8 rules 1:5 4:16,18,23	26:3
reliance 79:24	resistance 56:16 resolve 10:13	86:21 87:20,21,25		Saudi 38:19 saw 57:6 83:14
remarks 10:25		rigorous 31:16	6:3,6,15,19,23,25 7:12,13 9:5,13,20	Saw 57:0 63:14 Sawyer 59:9
Remediation 38:15	resource 3:16	risk 19:10 21:15	1 ' ' '	Sawyer 59.9 Sayers 74:17
Remember 7:16	resources 22:20	25:11 27:20 29:11	9:22,23,24 10:2,3	
12:12	33:24 58:21 86:13	29:15 32:23 41:9 risks 16:24 31:23	10:7,9,10,15,16	saying 14:1 15:10 19:1 59:19 70:3
remind 12:8 42:24 remove 32:24 49:20	respectful 8:25		10:17 16:6,7,14	says 19:10,13 24:15
	respects 80:18	32:11 Rita 2:7 17:9 18:17	16:22,23 18:22,23	
Renee 2:6 12:11	responder 55:15		18:25 19:25 29:4	25:6,7 69:5 87:8 scale 27:23
14:4,6,8,9,9 renovations 65:13	responding 9:5 64:24	18:18,19,20,20	35:14 41:14,21,23 43:6 46:21 47:2	scales 68:13
	response 5:22 54:13	river 1:6 17:20 25:14,22 26:3	48:13 57:24 62:11	scares 06:13 scant 32:19
repeal 73:9		39:10 48:1,3	63:6,9 70:6 73:13	scared 26:18,23
repeatedly 62:12 repeating 35:24	55:7 58:18 70:4,4 responsibility 13:6	52:17 53:21 64:5	88:6,17,18,21,24	72:11
repeating 33:24 repetition 29:20	81:16	64:6,21 70:2,2	89:2,4,7,10	school 71:11
Facilitation / 41/01	I OLLU	1 04.0.41 /0.2.4	1 07,4,4,1,1U	DUHUUL

schools 15:17	46:12 89:6	sincerely 36:21	speak 7:24 8:4,16	81:23 82:2,8,23
science 5:22 28:18	session 89:9	sincerity 55:2	8:17 30:7	82:24,25 83:3
36:24,25 39:19	set 3:7 4:14 6:16,20	single 33:4,24 38:25	speaker 9:1	84:13 85:5,6,23
41:13 42:1,5 56:4	21:20 28:5,8,9,13	Sink 50:8	speakers 19:1 27:24	standing 70:1
62:7 63:3 67:7,10	37:2 38:8 47:8	sir 12:4 61:22 82:11	39:1 61:14	start 11:1 12:17
71:20	56:10,13 62:13,17	sister-in-law 24:12	speaking 11:3 15:25	17:3 19:2 34:15
scientific 21:23	66:2,24 67:18	site 6:6,7 10:21	59:17	34:17 51:2 59:19
32:17 33:11 42:9	71:19 81:13,14	sites 6:8,9 7:13	special 39:4,5	started 64:11 83:13
42:18 49:11 60:15	setting 22:6,14	39:16 73:7 75:3	specific 4:23 21:16	starting 83:8
scientifically 21:18	36:10 38:23 62:7	sitting 15:14	48:25 51:23	starts 83:9
scientists 42:11 72:1	62:16 67:7,11,17	situation 81:6	specifically 23:17	state 1:1 4:23 8:14
Scio 68:1,2	seven 5:13 10:19	six 22:18 42:16 60:6	28:12 41:25 49:15	10:18 11:5,25
sclerosing 13:15	13:13 16:8 19:2	60:7 63:18	63:8 79:14	12:5,13,20 13:3
scope 12:23 29:21	20:1 26:17 47:8	skis 86:15	spell 8:14 11:5	15:23 17:18 18:24
35:4	48:14,21 59:24	slides 75:15	12:13 54:23	21:7 22:14 27:17
scratching 14:15	62:14 66:3	Slotkin 24:21	spelled 36:5 54:20	28:22 30:13 37:7
sea 46:9	seven-generation	slow 79:18	59:16 74:12	39:12 41:19 43:2
seasonal 63:20,24	68:16	small 7:17 21:20	spend 4:3	46:12 47:20 48:12
second 22:10 28:11	seventh 75:12	59:25	spending 79:21	49:25 50:19 52:5
37:14 41:5,18	Seventy 78:10	smaller 56:12	spent 31:9 80:8	53:7 54:13 56:10
43:25 48:25 64:20	share 21:4	smart 58:20	spikes 63:23	56:19 57:22 62:17
66:23	shed 51:7,7,8	smiled 54:22	spoke 80:13	65:16 66:10,23
secondary 59:8	Shiawassee 25:14	Smith 2:22 75:22	spoken 53:22 78:5	67:6 70:10 71:9
Secretary 10:18	26:3	77:20,21,25 78:1	sports 86:11,12,13	71:25 72:12 73:2
section 17:5	Shink 2:16 50:8	78:1	86:14,14	73:8 79:20 81:18
see 6:4 18:1,7 26:24	52:2,3,4 54:4	social 72:3	spots 73:21	82:19 85:1
27:15 33:3 34:13	shocked 31:14	society 35:17	Stacy 2:8 20:22	state's 4:13 53:8
38:8 39:12 40:10	short 31:14 32:16	soil 30:21 47:15	23:25 24:1,2,3,3	stated 21:7 22:10
43:1 46:18 50:13	32:20,24 33:9	solid 44:12 84:20	staff 3:14 9:5,7	statement 2:3,4,5,5
54:22 55:4 59:6	short-term 75:12	solution 12:24 43:17	staged 34:14 35:8	2:6,6,7,7,8,8,9,9
63:23 84:12	shorter 23:17,17	43:18,23	stakeholder 9:25	2:10,10,11,11,12
SEEA 30:1	32:15 83:14,23	solutions 43:24 44:5	41:16 42:8,18	2:12,13,13,14,14
seen 44:3 73:21 84:7	shortly 37:13	49:16,23	stakeholders 5:23	2:15,15,16,16,17
self-reporting 58:21	shower 26:18 41:6	somebody 14:14	41:18 59:6	2:17,18,18,19,19
self-treated 25:14	showing 7:16 41:8	58:4,25	stand 46:16 79:7	2:20,20,21,21,22
sell 74:14	shown 18:9 67:1	son 13:15,23	standard 5:5 18:3	2:22,23,23,24,25
semen 32:22	shows 36:25	sons 86:7	28:9 36:10 37:3	7:11,21 8:2,5
senate 10:5 30:24	sick 23:11	soon 41:24	39:2 54:4 56:13	10:24 14:4 46:14
Senator 2:23 40:12	side 17:18 71:9 86:2	sorry 25:6 27:7	62:13 71:19 79:14	88:1
53:1 61:14 73:2	86:3,17	29:20 34:19 42:10	79:15 80:15 81:14	states 16:4 18:21
82:17,18,19	Sierra 12:16 21:2	soup 35:18 57:14	81:16 84:10,11,11	21:10 22:5 37:1
senators 24:21	59:17	source 49:21 53:25	84:20 87:8,9	39:17 48:23 53:23
send 9:15 88:13	sightings 74:2	83:23	standards 4:20 5:10	60:12,16 61:12
sending 46:17	sign 8:23 25:3	sources 21:25 49:17	7:2 22:3,14 28:5	67:16 68:23 79:16 statewide 16:1
senior 36:6	significant 55:19	49:24 54:7 83:21	28:13,17 33:12	36:12
sense 84:15	56:5 72:5,20	south 25:5 86:2 southeast 40:22	36:19,21 38:8,23 39:19 46:19 53:19	30:12 statistical 30:1 57:7
sensitive 66:13	significantly 22:25	45:15	53:23,23 54:9	status 13:4
sent 9:23 separate 21:20	signs 41:25	southeastern 14:10	62:8,16 63:11,14	status 13:4 stay 13:14 42:3
separate 21:20 serious 16:7	similar 22:8 49:2	14:12	65:23 66:2,5,25	50:14,17
seriously 28:23	simple 45:9	southern 46:13	67:12,14,17,18	stead 46:16
service 47:7	simple 43.9 simply 49:6 62:25	Spaniola 2:13 38:11	68:20 78:24 80:7	step 17:23 18:5 21:8
services 3:6,16	71:16	40:16,17,18,19	80:10,12,14,16,24	22:5 35:24 41:19
504 14000 510,10	7,1,1,0	''''''''	00,10,12,11,10,27	22,000,2111119
1	I .	•	•	2

41:22 47:19 50:15	subtle 8:22	systems 18:16 30:2	73:20 84:10	things 6:13 16:6	
50:18 56:5 59:20	Sue 2:16 50:8 51:25	32:23 34:5 39:22	temperatures 58:13	17:17 27:4 30:21	
62:8 66:2,3 73:7	52:2,3,3 54:4	44:2,9 67:20	ten 16:10 18:21 37:5	30:25 40:8 45:13	
step-by-step 10:20	suffering 28:16	71:14	40:25 64:8 80:21	51:15 66:22 73:4	
Stephen 2:18 56:24	suggest 31:22 48:24		terms 18:5 19:9	75:19 80:5,13,19	
59:12,13,14,15,16	suggested 32:1	T	51:1,5	81:18 83:7	
61:3,24	suggesting 81:11	table 2:1 8:9 12:2	test 24:17 25:3,4,4,4	think 13:17 15:15	
stepped 65:22	suit 39:13	tables 3:18	25:4 50:14	16:5 17:3,22 18:2	
steps 7:22 17:21	summarizes 9:19	tackle 36:14	tested 25:6 42:16	18:7 21:11 22:23	
50:23,23 51:1,2,6	summer 26:13 48:3	take 3:10,25 5:7	testing 11:21 15:6	25:17 26:20 29:5	
87:14,14 88:15	78:2,10,14	10:18 17:2,5 19:4	16:18 21:21 31:16	29:7,12 33:21	
stick 3:19	supervisor 1:10	21:4,9,14,16 22:3	35:16,22 36:12	38:22,23,25 39:3	
Stiglitz 30:4	57:4	22:12,16 23:14	46:25 47:11 67:19	39:10,17,19,22,24	
stonewalled 24:18	suppliers 50:14,18	25:2 26:18 28:8	testosterone 32:5	41:5,22 42:7,14	
24:22 77:3	50:20	28:17,23 34:12,24	tests 66:25	43:13,17,19 44:10	
stop 12:9 27:6 41:5	supplies 4:13 5:15	35:21 41:4,6	thank 6:10 10:22	44:14 45:10,21,23	
43:6 76:14 77:14	5:16	47:11 58:4,9	12:7,12,13 14:2,3	50:15,16,20,25	
storm 25:17	supply 4:20 29:12	61:10 66:23 69:23	15:19 16:2 17:6,8	51:1,23 53:4,15	
straight 25:16	Supplying 1:5 3:8	70:6 78:17,22,24	18:16,17 20:13,14	58:1,3 61:10 62:8	
strategies 49:17	4:18	83:9 86:8,20	20:20,24 21:3,7	62:11 63:5,16	
stream 4:5	support 23:22 29:4	87:13	23:23,25 26:5,5	64:12,18 68:19	
streams 47:15	34:2 35:14 45:19	taken 22:5 29:22	27:9 28:23,25	69:14,14,15,18,23	
street 1:11 87:15	62:7 80:12	37:18 39:6 48:11	29:15 31:2,3	69:24 70:7,14,17	
strengthen 62:11	supported 21:18	52:25	33:12,13,17 35:9	70:18 74:19 77:13	
67:12	supportive 22:15	takes 68:7	35:25 36:11 38:9	79:15 82:23 85:11	
strengthened 82:9	supposed 24:15	talent 30:13,18	38:17 40:13,15	86:13	
strict 34:12	83:15	talk 25:23 28:1 39:8	41:12,15 43:8,9	thinking 64:19	
stringent 28:22 37:2	sure 11:5,7,11 12:5	43:3 76:12,20	45:4,5,9 46:1,3,7	thinks 75:11	
strong 36:16 37:25	14:1 17:12 21:6	85:24	47:20,21 50:6,7	third 24:14 67:6	
38:2 54:15 65:23	26:1 29:14 38:20	talked 82:25	51:25 52:5 53:1	Thirdly 49:4	
66:5 71:19 73:13	39:17 42:14,20	talking 16:12 19:18	54:16 55:1 56:21	Thirty-two 68:15	
strongest 36:19	63:15 64:24 65:12	19:18 26:10 29:6	56:22,23 59:11,12	thought 14:13 19:16	
strongly 23:21 62:7	83:22 86:22 87:19	34:22 42:25,25	61:25 63:24,25	84:25	
62:13 63:2	87:24	68:13 69:25 70:3	65:1,2 67:21 69:3	thousand 62:24	
student 64:6	surface 13:7 24:17	76:6	69:4,6,13 70:20	thousands 16:1	
studies 23:15 28:19	49:21 69:23,24,25	tall 46:16	70:23 73:4 74:3	25:10	
31:22 32:1,2,17	79:24 80:3 82:8	Tannery 25:22	75:20,21 77:19	threat 23:2 24:10	
33:11 59:23 60:9	82:13	tap 11:11	78:24,25 82:14,15	threaten 24:6	
60:25 67:13	surrounded 72:13	targeted 19:3	82:20,22 85:4,8,9	threatening 27:20	
study 41:8	sustainable 51:13	task 68:12 77:18	85:17 87:11,20,21	threats 60:11,21	
stuff 12:1 78:19	swaths 73:22	taxpayers 49:20	88:4 89:11	72:23	
sub 49:8	swiftly 27:22	Taylor 2:8 20:22	thanked 27:17	three 7:6 8:18 11:14	
subject 42:15	swim 25:21 64:6,8	23:25 24:2,3,3	Thankfully 65:21	33:2 57:4 59:1,4	
submit 9:14 10:11	77:11,12 78:18	TCE 35:18	thanks 4:10 6:12	61:22 63:17 66:6	
88:11	swimming 64:11	teachers 71:12 72:3	10:24 21:5 29:16	71:23 73:24 78:9	
submits 10:13	71:10	Team 5:22 55:7	35:10 36:1,1	82:11 87:14 88:21	
submitted 21:3	switch 63:1	59:18	38:10	three-minute 25:24	
61:14	sworn 55:8,10	teary-eyed 27:8	theft 76:19	three-year 63:21	
submitting 66:7	synergistic 16:17	technical 53:4 83:4	thicker 19:24	three-year-old	
74:3	54:5 62:19 67:4	technology 13:14	thing 15:1 27:1	78:16	
substances 5:3,11	system 34:2 55:22	44:18	34:21 55:5 69:22	thrilled 37:5	
32:9	57:11,12 86:20	teeth 75:17	77:9 80:25 84:9	Thursday 88:25	
substitutes 49:8	87:1	tell 27:3 51:12 58:23	84:22	thyroid 32:5,6 55:20	
	1	1	I	I	

72:21
tighten 68:19
time 4:2,3 8:1,16,19
8:21,21 11:21
16:13 17:7 25:11
27:2 28:24 30:18
32:10 33:21 34:17
40:4 41:4,11,12
48:6 55:19,23
62:22 68:12,21
72:19 76:4,17
82:14 87:13 88:6
timelines 68:19
timely 81:24
timer 12:9 times 16:17 32:13
77:3
1
tired 87:7
tissue 48:5
titled 4:18
today 4:5,16,23 7:21
8:16 9:2 12:3
24:11 33:24 39:15
41:7 44:19 57:23
68:6 88:7
today's 6:14,22
told 24:25 26:13,21
41:7,7 42:8 51:10
64:17 69:16 70:12
83:11
tolerance 33:23
tonight 6:10,25 9:16
13:3 41:1,21
42:24 46:15 53:22
55:2 62:12 71:18
72:3,21 76:9 78:5
82:21 83:2 85:4
87:16 88:5
tonight's 3:19 4:1,3
6:1
tons 46:24
tool 81:16,16
tools 82:7
top 16:5 41:14 42:3
total 5:1 13:12 18:4
21:25 28:8 34:3
35;8 39;2 49;1,4
54:4 56:11,13
60:1 61:18,20 62:15
totally 34:2 57:21
touch 27:3
town 30:20 58:5

SP	EAT LAKES, AND ENERGY PUBLIC H
1	
	township 15:7 25:6 68:1 74:23 85:23
ı	86:2
ı	townships 15:17
ı	toxic 5:3 26:15,22
ı	26:25 46:20 56:11
ı	65:9,11 72:14
	76:3,4,16
	toxicants 65:19
	toxicity 22:19 32:18
	63:5
	toxicological 16:15
	16:20 17:6
	Toxicologists 19:10 toxicology 16:16
	39:18 46:10
	Toxics 59:18
	toxins 13:21 31:11
	tragedies 52:23
	Training 1:10
	transcription 4:7
ı	transcripts 88:21
-	transfer 19:15,17
	transplant 13:19
	trash 53:9
	travel 14:19
	treasure 87:15
	treasures 87:18
	treat 83:6
ļ	treated 20:7 23:7
	treatment 37:19
	39:9 57:12 65:22
	84:19
	trending 42:2
	trends 42:2
	Tribar 83:22,23
	tried 27:3 44:1
	53:15 77:2
	triggered 20:4
	trillion 22:7 42:16
	60:6,8 61:1
	troubled 37:10
	truly 15:10 66:5
	70:6
	trustee 85:22
	trusting 76:17
	try 8:22 51:7 75:2 87:5,13
	trying 13:18 16:4
	24:7 57:6 73:15
	80:9
	tube 86:16
	Tuesday 1:7 3:2
	i *

turn 14:6 25:11 turned 7:25 turning 57:21 71:1 two 24:4 27:21 32:15 37:12 43:24 63:9 64:12 68:23 73:5 80:2 87:14 type 11:7 43:18,23 types 16:12,19 34:4 43:24 U U.S 4:24 39:17 ubiquity 22:1 ultimately 42:7 umbrella 18:14 un-roofed 17:5 unable 11:20 unacceptable 52:12 66:22 unbelievably 17:19 unborn 19:12,21 20:2 36:23 52:10 unborns 78:23 uncle 78:11 undergone 31:16 understand 9:1 11:25 30:15 36:13 39:20 52:19 56:9 58:25 59:7 63:23 69:18 70:4,10 81:2,15 undertaking 62:10 undervalue 53:12 unenforceable 5:1

unfair 50:20

unfunded 80:16

United 29:25 69:13

universally 61:16

unusual 13:24

unwilling 11:20

updating 60:18

upstream 80:1

urge 28:2 33:7

update 33:11 60:17

35:14,20 53:19,25

54:8 60:14 61:17

47:14

81:12

units 25:9

Union 43:7 Unit 1:10

68:17 usually 64:5 utero 60:21 vaccines 32:9 valuable 40:1 50:1 value 22:22,25 53:17 87:4 valued 53:14,15 86:1 values 19:22 20:7,8 20:9,12 48:20,22 48:24 49:1 61:7 62:14 varieties 76:10 various 32:2 44:20 vast 48:16 verbal 9:18 Vermont 22:5 42:10 61:12 version 30:24 versions 13:12 veto 73:12 vigilant 42:3 village 25:6,8 unfortunately 39:14 Vince 2:13 36:2 38:10,12,13,13 40:1 violations 25:15 Virginia 44:3 visit 43:1 vital 33:23 34:24 voices 83:1 voluminous 64:17 volunteer 12:16 vote 10:1 89:1 voted 74:15 Voters 36:7 71:8 Votes 53:21 71:21 vulnerable 16:23 22:12,13 27:20,20 28:12 31:23 36:23

39:7 55:12 56:21 71:18 73:12 78:21 78:21 81:13 84:5 61:4 66:11,12 85:4,5 75:2 use 6:24 7:20 15:6 W 22:15 30:11 34:1

49:6,8,19 52:8,15 53:8,19,25 55:9 55:13,17,24 65:10 68:23,24 uses 15:4 58:22

W-o-t-a-n 33:17 W-o-z-n-i-a-k 71:4 W-r-i-g-h-t 57:2 wait 42:5 waiting 23:10 walk 26:22 walked 69:25 want 7:21,24,24 8:10,16,17 11:11 12:8 14:24 18:10 20:17,18 21:6 26:10 27:15 30:21 31:21 33:17 34:21 39:8 41:12,15 42:14,19,24 45:9 52:8 53:1 55:1 56:1,23 69:13 73:13,16 76:12 80:25 81:5 82:20 82:21 83:1,4 84:9 86:21 87:22,24 wanted 36:9 wants 30:20 War 78:11 ward 79:8,13 wash 26:19,20 58:16 Washtenaw 29:19 52:4 54:10 71:5 78:4 87:3 wasn't 25:2 42:9 64:6 87:23,24 waste 26:22 44:12 wastewater 61:19 75:2 watch 14:23 watching 68:3,5,10 68:10 84:23 water 1:5 3:8,12 4:11,13,13,14,15 4:18,19,20 5:10 5:15,16,18,24 6:7 7:2 11:23 12:1,2 13:2 14:16,24 15:7,15 18:5,16 18:22 21:21,22

22:2,14 25:4,4

26:1,19,20 27:14

27:16,21 28:2,6

	I
28:10 29:12 33:5	
33:24 34:1,2,5,7 34:11 36:17 37:9	
34:11 36:17 37:9	
37:11,16,19,20	
38:19,21 40:9,20	
41:2;10 44:8 47:2	
47:7 49:19,21	
50:22 51:11,16	1
53:5,8 54:7 55:14	W
56:6,15 57:11,22 58:19 61:20 62:7	
63:11,18 64:16,22	
64:25 65:20,21	
66:2,24 67:2,19	
68:2 69:20,20	
70:1,1,9 72:6,7,12	
72:13,15 74:13,14	we
74:22,23,24,25	We
75:3,5,6,20 76:18	We
76:19,22 78:18	we
79:25 80:3,20,25	We
82:4,5,5,8,14 84:4	"
84:12,14,15,19	we
86:11,12,13,14,14	we
86:20 87:1	We
Waterkeepers	We
69:12	"`
waterproofing	we
13:24	
waters 12:21 13:7,7	we
44:4 60:4 79:19	
79:24	W
watershed 38:16	We
48:1,3,4 49:17	we
53:21 76:23 79:12	wl
waterway 64:9	w
waterways 69:17	[w]
81:20	W
way 15:16 32:8 36:5	
44:17 47:8 48:25	
57:7 58:8 63:2	W
64:10 70:7,18,18	Wi
73:4 75:8 77:11	W
77:11 80:1	wi
Wayne 55:7	Wi
ways 81:17	
we'll 3:23 8:15	W
46:17 74:7 88:2	W
we're 3:21,22 15:24	Wi
16:8,11,11 24:22	Wi
29:5 34:18,21	W
38:1,1 41:6,7	
42:25,25 50:17,19	l W

50:25 51:19,20,21 52:17 63:15 66:7 67:4,16 68:13,20
70:10 77:15,15,22 79:3,16 80:1,2,16 80:18 83:11,18
84:13,14,25 86:9 87:2,7,12,13,13
we've 4:5 14:4,15,16 15:10 18:12 24:13 25:1 27:2,3,6
30:14 34:10,10 35:19 37:19 41:1 41:11 66:4,10,13
66:24,25 72:21,24 83:12 84:7 87:2 weak 85:2
weakened 70:6 weakens 69:19,20
weaknesses 83:5 web 6:6,7,7,9 7:13 10:21
week 26:17 73:11 weeks 26:14 welcome 3:6
wells 15:5,5 24:17 25:4 29:7,10,14
went 17:17 44:6 58:4,12 74:17 west 1:11 17:18 44:3
71:9 Western 55:7 wetlanded 24:9
wetlands 70:14 whack-a-mole 16:11
whiskey 62:6 white 32:8 Whitmer 12:18 16:3
41:13 46:8 62:9 66:1 81:11 82:22 Wide 71:13
widely 35:17 wife 37:14
wild 51:18,18 wildlife 33:6 34:23 64:9,10,19
willful 47:4 Wilson 24:24
wish 7:19 withdrawn 10:16 Wixom 43:20 58:4,5
58:8,11 83:22 Wolf 45:15,16

RING
Wolverine 17:18
25:22 71:13 76:19
women 16:24 19:8
19:12,21 20:2
31:24 39:3,5
70:12 72:2
wondered 37:16
wonderful 78:6
woods 73:18
wor- 59:10
word 44:13 74:13 work 5:22 18:24
21:23 22:15,24
29:12,13 30:2,5
31:10,20 33:18
45:17,21,23 48:10
56:1 57:3 65:11
65:15 68:18 70:11
71:22 77:2
workable 43:15
worked 65:8 75:1
workers 72:3
workerst 66:16
working 50:1 54:11
80:7
world 30:3,10 31:17
33:22 37:7 38:20
71:13 78:11
worried 78:15 86:9
worry 37:22 53:13
worst 57:7
worth 51:24 59:10
Wotan 2:11 31:4
33:13,15,16,16
would-be 56:17
wow 14:23
Wozniak 2:21 36:8
69:7 70:20,22,23
71:4 72:17 73:25
wrapping 8:20
Wright 2:17 54:17
56:24,25 57:1,1
58:3 59:3,5
write 69:10
writing 21:4 88:11 written 8:5,6 9:15
9:18 10:13 43:22
9:18 10:13 43:22 66:8 88:22
wrong 47:7
wrong 47:7 wrote 30:4
Wurtsmith 59:9
TT UI COMICH JJ.J
X

l
<u> </u>
Y-C-U-A 74:23
yard 26:22
YCUA 74:22 86:21
yeah 14:23 56:22
79:5 85:11,13,15
year 57:10 58:5
60:14 61:14 64:14
79:22 80:20
year's 81:9,9,10
years 13:19 14:1
15:12 16:10,10
17:13 18:7 24:4,8
25:18 31:9 37:5
40:25 45:3 50:11
54:12 55:21 63:9
63:17 64:8,12
65:8,21 68:15
71:17,22 72:4
73:20 78:9,10
83:11 84:22
young 60:21
Yousef 40:11,11
46:12 53:2 73:3
Ypsilanti 71:6 74:23
85:22
7
<u>Z</u>
zero 20:9,12 33:22
34:13 40:23 59:7
60:7 80:24,25
81:2,3
0
0-0-0-89:14

0-0-0-89:14
1
1 4:19
1-800-632-2720
1:18
1.9 13:2 72:13
10 80:19
100,000 84:3
101 16:17
104 25:15
11 2:5 42:14,14
116 30:24
12 2:5
12-member 10:1
120 76:21
120,000 79:10
12th 7:8
13778 69:19

14 1:7 2:6 3:2 71:22 15 2:6 13:19 14:1 16:10 89:8 150 45:16,16 15566 24:3 16th 7:10 17 2:7 18 2:7 25:17 18th 82:20 194 24:5 1950 60:24 1969 6:17,21 1970 26:15 1976 4:15 1975 64:4 1995 24:24 1st 7:9	
16:10 89:8 150 45:16,16 15566 24:3 16th 7:10 17 2:7 18 2:7 25:17 18th 82:20 194 24:5 1950 60:24 1969 6:17,21 1970 26:15 1976 4:15 1979 64:4 1995 24:24	14 1:7 2:6 3:2 71:22
150 45:16,16 15566 24:3 16th 7:10 17 2:7 18 2:7 25:17 18th 82:20 194 24:5 1950 60:24 1969 6:17,21 1970 26:15 1976 4:15 1979 64:4 1995 24:24	15 2:6 13:19 14:1
15566 24:3 16th 7:10 17 2:7 18 2:7 25:17 18th 82:20 194 24:5 1950 60:24 1969 6:17,21 1970 26:15 1976 4:15 1979 64:4 1995 24:24	16:10 89:8
16th 7:10 17 2:7 18 2:7 25:17 18th 82:20 194 24:5 1950 60:24 1969 6:17,21 1970 26:15 1976 4:15 1979 64:4	150 45:16,16
17 2:7 18 2:7 25:17 18th 82:20 194 24:5 1950 60:24 1969 6:17,21 1970 26:15 1976 4:15 1979 64:4 1995 24:24	15566 24:3
18 2:7 25:17 18th 82:20 194 24:5 1950 60:24 1969 6:17,21 1970 26:15 1976 4:15 1979 64:4 1995 24:24	16th 7:10
18th 82:20 194 24:5 1950 60:24 1969 6:17,21 1970 26:15 1976 4:15 1979 64:4 1995 24:24	17 2:7
194 24:5 1950 60:24 1969 6:17,21 1970 26:15 1976 4:15 1979 64:4 1995 24:24	18 2:7 25:17
1950 60:24 1969 6:17,21 1970 26:15 1976 4:15 1979 64:4 1995 24:24	18th 82:20
1969 6:17,21 1970 26:15 1976 4:15 1979 64:4 1995 24:24	194 24:5
1970 26:15 1976 4:15 1979 64:4 1995 24:24	1950 60:24
1976 4:15 1979 64:4 1995 24:24	1969 6:17,21
1979 64:4 1995 24:24	1970 26:15
1995 24:24	1976 4:15
1995 24:24	1979 64:4
~~~ - 11- 1	
10. 1.2	~~~ - 11- 1
	10.112

2 52:4
20 2:8 22:6 65:8
79:16 82:5 84:22
<b>200-</b> 25:17
2010 40:24
2012 30:5
2018 48:3
2019 7:8,10
2019-35 3:8
<b>2020</b> 1:7 3:2 7:9
25:25 74:15 88:9
23-year 71:5
23-year-old 71:5
<b>24</b> 2:8 26:17
<b>25</b> 21:24 60:1
<b>25,000</b> 79:10
<b>250,000</b> 27:14
<b>26</b> 2:9
26th 89:2
<b>27</b> 2:9
27th 89:2
28 4:19
284-6870 1:12
<b>29</b> 2:10,10

	····		
<b>350</b> 29:19	70-year 68:24 69:2		
350.org 29:20	74 2:21		
36 2:12	<b>75</b> 2:22 79:24		
38 2:13	77 2:22		
399 4:15	79 2:23		
3M 39:13 76:6	17 5,23		
3141 39.13 70.0	8		
4	8 80:19		
	1		
42:4	8:00 77:22 87:25		
4,000 17:25	8:14 89:12		
40 2:13 24:8	8151 1:17		
<b>40-acre</b> 24:11,24	<b>82</b> 2:23		
4212 30:24	85 2:24		
43 2:14	87 2:25		
45 2:14			
<b>454</b> 25:9			
46 2:15			
472:15			
4700 47:9			
4800 1:6			
48909 1:11			
5			
5,000 13:11 16:9			
17:25 49:5 59:21			
76:10			
5:00 88:10			
50 2:16 53:25			
517 1:12			
<b>52</b> 2:16			
525 1:11			
<b>53</b> rd 46:13			
54 2:17			
<b>56</b> 2:17			
59 2:18			
6			
6 2:3			
6:00 1:7			
6:05 3:2			
60 55:21			
<b>62</b> 2:18			
63 87:4			
64 2:19			
65 2:19			
67 2:20			
69 2:20			
<b>6924</b> 1:16			
696 86:24			
070 00.24			
7			
<b>7,000</b> 60:10 76:10			
<b>70</b> 2:21 15:12			
<b> </b>	]		

## MICHIGAN DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES, AND ENERGY, PUBLIC HEARING

January 16, 2020

Prepared by



depos@networkreporting.com Phone: 800.632.2720 Fax: 800.968.8653 www.networkreporting.com

Let us assist you GLOBALLY for all of your deposition needs.

## STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES and ENERGY

## PUBLIC HEARING

FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES SUPPLYING WATER TO THE PUBLIC

104 Conservation Drive, Roscommon, Michigan

Thursday, January 16, 2020, 5:30 p.m.

## **APPEARANCES:**

For the Department of Environment, Great

MR. JAMES OSTROWSKI

Supervisor, Training and Outreach Unit

525 West Allegan Street Lansing, Michigan 48909

(517) 284-6870

EGLE PANEL:

Mr. Dave Fiedler Mr. Eric Oswald

RECORDED BY:

Ann M. Holmes, CER 2629

Certified Electronic Recorder Network Reporting Corporation Firm Registration Number 8151

1-800-632-2720



TABLE OF CONTENTS   PAGE			
Statement by Mr. Ostrowski   3, 6	1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
Statement by Mr. Ostrowski	2		PAGE
4       Statement by Mr. Mark Janeczko       11         5       Statement by Mr. David Winn, Sr.       12         6       Statement by Mr. Jesse Osmer       14         7       Statement by Mr. John Hines       16         7       Statement by Mr. Dave Greco       17         8 Statement by Mr. Sean Overheul       19         8       Statement by Ms. Cyndi Roper       21         9       Statement by Mr. Chuck Leady       24         9       Statement by Mr. Aaron Weed       28         10       Statement by Mr. Aaron Weed       28         11       Statement by Mr. Hank Bailey       32         12       Statement by Mr. John DeLisle       34         4       Statement by Mr. John DeLisle       37         12       Statement by Mr. John DeLisle       37         12       Statement by Mr. John DeLisle       37         13       Statement by Mr. John Backstrom       40         14       Statement by Ms. Alexis Ruemenapp       39         13       Statement by Ms. Lillian Schell       41         14       Statement by Ms. Cathy Wusterbarth       42         5tatement by Ms. Elyssa Steward       45         15       Statement by Ms. Cathy Wisterbar	3 ·		
Statement by Mr. David Winn, Sr.   12	4		
6       Statement by Mr. John Hines.       14         7       Statement by Mr. Dave Greco.       17         8       Statement by Mr. Sean Overheul       19         8       Statement by Mr. Chuck Leady       24         9       Statement by Mr. Chuck Leady       24         9       Statement by Mr. Rachel Cara       26         Statement by Mr. Aaron Weed.       28         10       Statement by Mr. Greg Cole       30         Statement by Mr. Hank Bailey       32         11       Statement by Mr. John DeLisle       37         12       Statement by Mr. John DeLisle       37         13       Statement by Mr. Jason Trautz       38         Statement by Mr. Aiden Backstrom       40         Statement by Mr. Aiden Backstrom       40         Statement by Mr. Cathy Wusterbarth       42         Statement by Mr. Cathy Wusterbarth       42         Statement by Mr. John Morris       46         16       Closing Statement by Mr. Ostrowski       46         17         18         19         20         21         22         23         24         25	5	Statement by Mr. Mark Janeczko	
7       Statement by Mr. Dave Greco.       17         8       Statement by Mr. Sean Overheul       19         8       Statement by Ms. Cyndi Roper       21         Statement by Mr. Chuck Leady       24         9       Statement by Mr. Rachel Cara       26         Statement by Mr. Aaron Weed       28         10       Statement by Mr. Greg Cole       30         Statement by Mr. Hank Bailey       32         11       Statement by Mr. John DeLisle       34         Statement by Mr. Jason Trautz       38         Statement by Mr. Alexis Ruemenapp       39         13       Statement by Mr. Alexis Ruemenapp       39         13       Statement by Mr. Alillian Schell       41         14       Statement by Ms. Lillian Schell       41         14       Statement by Ms. Elyssa Steward       45         15       Statement by Mr. John Morris       46         16       Closing Statement by Mr. Ostrowski       46         17       18         19       20         21       22         23       24         24       25	6	Statement by Mr. Jesse Osmer	14
8       Statement by Ms. Cyndi Roper       21         Statement by Mr. Chuck Leady       24         9       Statement by Ms. Rachel Cara       26         Statement by Mr. Aaron Weed       28         10       Statement by Mr. Greg Cole       30         Statement by Mr. Hank Bailey       32         11       Statement by Mr. John DeLisle       37         12       Statement by Mr. John DeLisle       37         12       Statement by Mr. Jason Trautz       38         Statement by Ms. Alexis Ruemenapp       39         13       Statement by Ms. Lillian Schell       40         Statement by Ms. Cathy Wusterbarth       42         Statement by Ms. Elyssa Steward       45         15       Statement by Mr. John Morris       46         16       Closing Statement by Mr. Ostrowski       46         17       18         19       20         21       22         23       24         24       25	7	Statement by Mr. Dave Greco	17
9       Statement by Mr. Rachel Cara       26         Statement by Mr. Aaron Weed       28         10       Statement by Mr. Greg Cole       30         Statement by Mr. Hank Bailey       32         11       Statement by Mr. Liz DeLisle       34         Statement by Mr. John DeLisle       37         12       Statement by Mr. Joson Trautz       38         Statement by Mr. Alexis Ruemenapp       39         13       Statement by Mr. Aiden Backstrom       40         Statement by Ms. Lillian Schell       41         14       Statement by Ms. Cathy Wusterbarth       42         Statement by Ms. Elyssa Steward       45         15       Statement by Mr. John Morris       46         16       Closing Statement by Mr. Ostrowski       46         17         18       19         20       21         22       23         24       25	8	Statement by Ms. Cyndi Roper	21
10       Statement by Mr. Greg Cole       30         Statement by Mr. Hank Bailey       32         11       Statement by Ms. Liz DeLisle       34         Statement by Mr. John DeLisle       37         12       Statement by Mr. Jason Trautz       38         Statement by Ms. Alexis Ruemenapp       39         13       Statement by Mr. Aiden Backstrom       40         Statement by Ms. Lillian Schell       41         14       Statement by Ms. Cathy Wusterbarth       42         Statement by Ms. Elyssa Steward       45         15       Statement by Mr. John Morris       46         16       Closing Statement by Mr. Ostrowski       46         17       18         19       20         21       22         23       24         25       25	9	Statement by Ms. Rachel Cara	26
11       Statement by Mr. John DeLisle.       34         12       Statement by Mr. John DeLisle.       37         12       Statement by Mr. Jason Trautz.       38         Statement by Ms. Alexis Ruemenapp.       39         13       Statement by Mr. Aiden Backstrom       40         Statement by Ms. Lillian Schell.       41         14       Statement by Ms. Cathy Wusterbarth       42         Statement by Mr. John Morris       46         15       Statement by Mr. John Morris       46         16       Closing Statement by Mr. Ostrowski       46         17       18         19       20         21       22         23       24         25       25	10	Statement by Mr. Greg Cole	30
Statement by Mr. Jason Trautz.   38	11	Statement by Ms. Liz DeLisle	34
Statement by Mr. Aiden Backstrom	12	Statement by Mr. Jason Trautz	38
14       Statement by Ms. Cathy Wusterbarth	13	Statement by Mr. Aiden Backstrom	40
Statement by Mr. John Morris	14	Statement by Ms. Cathy Wusterbarth	42
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	15		
18   19   20   21   22   23   24   25	16	Closing Statement by Mr. Ostrowski	46
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	17		
<ul> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ul>			
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>			
24 25			
25			
Page 2			
Lugo 2		Page 2	



1	Roscommon, Michigan
2	Thursday, January 16, 2020 - 6:03 p.m.
3 .	MR. OSTROWSKI: Good evening. The Michigan
4	Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy in
5	partnership with the Michigan Department of Health and Human
6	Services would like to welcome you to the public hearing on
7	the proposed administrative rule revisions to: Rule Set
8	#2019-35 EG - Supplying Water to the Public.
9	My name is Jim Ostrowski, and I am the hearings
10	officer for this public hearing. I'd like to take this
11	opportunity to also introduce Eric Oswald, Director of
12	Drinking Water and Environmental Health Division, you've
13	already heard from Eric, and Dave Fiedler, EGLE's regulatory
14	affairs officer. There are also several staff from EGLE and
15	the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services
16	available to answer your questions in the resource area
17	right outside of the room there. I'm sure you saw them.
18	They will be at the tables for the entire length of
19	tonight's hearing and they're going to stick around
20	afterwards, after we adjourn, too, if you have any
21	questions.
22	So hearing agenda for tonight hopefully you
23	grabbed one of those agendas. If you did not, I'll go
24	through it. It's pretty, pretty easy. First, we will begin
25	with some background information about why we're here, then
	Page 3

1	we'll describe the purpose of the hearing and how your
2	comments will be considered. Following that, we will
3 .	outline the procedures under which we will take your
4	comments and describe what will happen after tonight's
5	hearing. Then it will be time to hear your comments, and we
6	will spend the majority of tonight's time listening to and
7	recording those comments.
8	Dave Fiedler will provide some information now, so
9	come on up, Dave.
10	MR. FIEDLER: All right. Thanks, Jim. Good
11	evening. The Drinking Water and Environmental Health
12	Division of EGLE is responsible for ensuring Michigan's
13	Public Water Supplies deliver water that meets the state's
14	drinking water requirements that are set out in the Michigan
15	Safe Drinking Water Act, or Public Act 399 of 1976, and its
16	associated administrative rules. Today we are here for a
17	public hearing on the proposed revisions to the
18	administrative rules titled Supplying Water to the Public,
19	Parts 1 through 28. These revisions pertain to drinking
20	water standards, water supply sampling, public notification
21	and laboratory provisions.
22	The proposed amendments to the administrative
23	rules we are discussing today, are state-specific revisions
24	that go beyond the federal regulations. While the U.S.
25	Environmental Protection Agency has established an
	Page 4



unenforceable lifetime health advisory level for total PFOS
and PFOA, many academia, health officials, and the Agency
for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry deem this advisory
level is too high or nonprotective enough. In addition, the
lack of enforceable standards for PFOS and PFOA and other
commonly found PFAS chemicals impairs EGLE's ability to take
enforceable actions to protect human health and the
environment.

enforceable drinking water standards and monitoring requirements for several PFAS substances. Notable PFAS rule revisions include the following: The establishment of a maximum contaminant level for seven PFAS; the establishment of sampling requirements for community and nontransient noncommunity water supplies; publication or public notification requirements for water supplies that either exceed a maximum contaminant level or did not perform monitoring as required; and finally, criteria for certifying drinking water laboratories for PFAS analysis.

When drafting the proposed revisions, EGLE considered data and recommendations made by the Michigan PFAS Response Team or MPART, MPART's Science Advisory work group, and numerous stakeholders including, but not limited to, environmental organizations, water industry representatives, public health professionals, and citizens

1 groups.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, today's hearing gives you an opportunity to provide -- to provide information to EGLE to consider when finalizing the revisions of these administrative rules. Ιf you would like to see the current proposed rule revisions, they are available to the public on the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules' web site and the EGLE's drinking water rule promulgation web site, and those links, or those e-mail -- those addresses to those locations are on It's one of the handouts that you should have the agenda. received when you came in. So, again, I want to thank you again for participating in this public hearing. MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. Thanks, Dave. got a few more things to go through. So I'm going to explain the rulemaking process and how your comments will be In order for the rules to be adopted, the Department must follow the procedures set forth in the Administrative Procedures Act, Act 306 of 1969. The purpose of today's hearing is to give anyone interested in the proposed rules an opportunity to provide information the Department can use in making possible changes to the draft rules. We are here tonight to receive public input on these proposed changes, changes intended to better protect public health by PFAS drinking water standards, PFAS sampling establishing: requirements, PFAS public notification requirements, PFAS



laboratory certification criteria. 1 2 The Notice of this hearing was published in three 3 newspapers of general circulation: The Ann Arbor News, The Grand Rapids Press, and The Marquette Mining Journal on December 12th, 2019. It was also published on January 1st, 2020 in the Michigan Register, and posted on the EGLE 7 calendar beginning on December 16th, 2019. Copies of the Notice of Public Hearing, Regulatory Impact Statement, and proposed rules have been made available on the EGLE and Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules web 10 11 site and upon request. 12 So as you came in, you were given the opportunity 13 to fill out an attendance card which I've already shown you. 14 We can request that -- we request that everybody fill out a 15 card and indicate if you wish to make a comment. 16 use these cards to call upon those who want to make a 17 statement today. And to ensure that the hearing is 18 conducted in a fair manner, we're going to follow these 19 steps. So I'll go -- I will call on those who have 20 indicated on the cards that they would like to ma- -- to 21 speak in the general order in which the cards were turned 22 When this has been completed, and if time permits, I 23 will ask if anyone else would like to make a statement. All 24 right. When your name is called, please come to the 25 Page 7



microphone right there (indicating), speak directly into the 1 2 microphone, and make your statement. If you have written ર comments or materials that you would like to present, please hand them to me as you come up there. Before you begin your 5 comments, please state your name and spell it, and identify 6 any group or association you may represent. So we have 7 limited time today and want to be fair and allow everyone an Therefore, each person indicating opportunity to speak. 9 they want to speak will be given four minutes to make their 10 comments. I will indicate when you have one minute left and 11 I'll try to be subtle. I'll give you the little one-minute 12 sign and I'll just tell you, you have one minute left. 13 won't make a big deal out of it. At that time, please begin 14 wrapping up your comments and end within the allotted time. 15 If need be, I will indicate when your time has ended. 16 So please be respectful to others and do not 17 interrupt a speaker. Please understand the purpose of the 18 hearing today is to provide an opportunity to receive and 19 listen to your comments only; the hearing process does not 20 allow for open discussion or questions about the proposed 21 EGLE staff will not be responding to comments or 22 questions during the hearing. However, staff are available 23 in the lobby to answer your questions now and after we 24 All right. Almost done. adjourn. 25 So next part is about how we're going to use the



1	comments. So the hearing is being recorded and your
2	comments will become part of the information the Department
3 .	will consider when finalizing the proposed rules. You will
4	have until Friday, January 31st, to submit your comments.
5	And your agenda that you have contains the instructions on
6	where to send the written comments if you did not bring them
7	with you tonight. So if you see on there, there is an e-
8	mail mailbox as well as an address you can send those
9	comments to.
10	Following the close of the public comment period
11	on January 31st, we will review all written and verbal
12	comments and prepare an Agency Report which summarizes who
13	is in favor of the draft rules and who is opposed to them.
14	It will also contain an explanation of what additional
15	changes EGLE will be making to the draft rules. This Agency
16	Report and the final proposed rules will then be sent to the
17	Environmental Rules Review Committee which provides
18	stakeholder oversight of the Department's rulemaking. This
19	12-maker 12-member committee will vote to approve,
20	approve with changes, or reject the rules. If the Committee
21	approves the rules, they move forward to the Joint Committee
22	on Administrative Rulemaking or JCAR. JCAR is a bipartisan
23	legislative committee made up of five house and five senate
24	members and they provide legislative oversight of the
25	rulemaking process. They can hold hearings on the rules and
	Page 9

1	also can object or ask the agency to make changes to the
2	rules.
3 .	Okay. So if the Environmental Rules Committee
4	approves with modification or rejects them, they must submit
5	a notice of objection to EGLE explaining their decision, and
6	if EGLE cannot resolve the issues, then EGLE submits a
7	written finding to the Governor. And if the Governor agrees
8	with EGLE, then the rules proceed onward to JCAR. If the
9	Governor does not agree with EGLE, the rules are withdrawn.
10	If the rules are not opposed by JCAR, then they
11	will be filed with the Secretary of State and will take
12	effect seven days after being filed. You can follow all the
13	rulemaking process, step-by-step by going to the Michigan
14	Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules' web site.
15	All right. Thank you for your attention and I
16	will now begin calling the names of those who have indicated
17	they would like to make a statement. All right. So that's
18	the formal written statement I have for you. Just want to
19	remind everybody that, again, fill out this card if you want
20	to make a comment. You have until January 31st if you
21	decide after you go home you want to make a comment. You
22	can always submit a written comment to us online by January
23	31st.
24	And so, again, when you come up, please state your
25	name and spell it for us. And I just want to remind
	Page 10



1	everybody that this process doesn't really allow for back
2	and forth conversations, so we're here to listen to you and
3 .	so it's your time to speak and we'll just listen. I'm
4	giving you five minutes. And I will be looking at my phone.
5	It's not because I'm rude, but it's because I'm using it for
6	my timer. Okay. So I just got to keep track of everybody,
7	check, keep track of your time. So let's get started.
8	So the first person that wants to make a comment
9	is and, okay. This is also what I'm going to do. I'm
10	going to call the on-deck person, too. So I'll say who's
11	coming up and who's on-deck. All right? So first person
12	coming up is Mark Janeczko, Janeczko (pronouncing).
13	MR. MARK JANECZKO: Yeah.
14	MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. Come on up. And then
15	after Mark is going to be David Winn, Sr. So, Mark, come on
16	up. Watch your step. Again, you have about four minutes
17	each.
18	MARK JANECZKO
19	MR. MARK JANECZKO: Okay. My name is Mark
20	Janeczko. That's J-a-n-e-c-z-k-o. I'm from Harrison,
21	Michigan. I used to work in a non-community program and I
22	just have a couple questions. One, is there polluter
23	polluter pay legislation introduced? When I was with the
24	non-community program we would have businesses sample for
25	contaminants in their water that they had that they would
	Page 11

1 not be guilty of contaminating. So if we have a business 2 that's contaminating the water and then we require that 3 other people pay for their sampling, I'm concerned about And the other question I would ask is would it help that. 5 to have a national standard since our -- since Michigan is 6 connected to other states through the Great Lakes? 7 would it help to have the EPA set a standard that be as 8 strict as, say, Michigan's? And that's the only questions I 9 have and only comments I have. Thank you. 10 All right. MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. 11 next up is David Winn, and then after David -- oh, bring it 12 on up -- and then after David will be Jesse Osmer. 13 you. 14 DAVID WINN, SR. 15 MR. DAVID WINN, SR.: My name is David Winn, 16 I'm here on behalf of Need our Water in Oscoda. And I want to thank EGLE for the opportunity to speak here 17 18 We in Oscoda and surrounding areas have been dealing today. 19 with what I believe is a very difficult situation with 20 respect to the PFAS contamination in our area that was 21 created dec- -- decades ago by the Department of Defense and 22 In our case, the Air Force has made in my the Air Force. 23 opinion too many broken commitments and promises to remediate the PFAS contamination on or around Wurtsmith Air 24 25 Force Base with far too little progress and to date hiding Page 12



behind their so-called CERCLA process. They have diverted resources and funding originally assigned for Wurtsmith Base for remediating PFAS at and around the base and other areas within the Department of Defense as the government in which we as taxpayers have trusted have let us down again and feel that a closed Air Force Base is not a top priority for their list of remediations. Just so you know, the contamination created by the Air Force in our area has resulted in increased health concerns throughout the community, lower property values, decreased tourism and vacation rental activity, as well as fish and wildlife contamination. All of these have greatly affected Oscoda and the surrounding area revenue stream that does not have —— seem to matter to the Department of Defense and the Air Force.

I truly believe and it is extremely important that the new MCL guidelines being discussed here today be approved and passed into Michigan law swiftly in order to hold the Department of Defense and private sector industries accountable to remediate the PFAS contamination at Wurtsmith Air Force Base and all across the state of Michigan, thus not allowing the Air Force in our case to drag their feet any longer on such an important issue that they've done —since they've done in the past. I also suggest that EGLE and the EERC Committee work with Governor Whitmer and the Attorney General's Office to investigate what legal actions



1	need to be taken by the state of Michigan in order to
2	enforce the new MCL guidelines and learn and learn from
3	other states such as New Hampshire and New Jersey that have
4	adopted the reduced MCL guidelines into state law and have
5	been told by the Department of Defense that they do not
6	recognize these state mandated lower MCL guidelines and
7	therefore are not planning to adhere to the individual state
8	reduced MCLs at this point in time and will continue to use
9	the 70 parts per trillion guideline. It is time for
10	Michigan to stand up and be recognized as a state and will
11	not tolerate the federal government and private businesses
12	to continue to contaminate our state land and water
13	resources. Thank you.
14	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you, sir. All right. Next
15	up is Jesse Osmer, then after Jesse is John Hines.
16	JESSE OSMER
17	MR. JESSE OSMER: Good evening. My name is Jesse
18	Osmer. It's J-e-s-s-e O-s-m-e-r. I serve as the
19	legislative director for State Representative Sue Allor
20	whose district includes Oscoda Township which is known as
21	ground zero when it comes to PFAS contamination in Michigan.
22	Additionally, she serves as chairman of the appropriation
23	subcommittee on natural resources and the Department of
24	Environment, Great Lakes and Energy. Unfortunately, she was
25	not able to be here tonight due to a conflict in the
	Page 14

R

schedule, however, she did ask me to give comment on her behalf concerning the proposed limits on the seven types of PFAS in drinking water as well as the other rules being offered up.

She did want me to express gratitude for the work performed by the Michigan PFAS Action Response Team, known as MPART, in addressing this very serious issue. Because of the efforts of this first of its kind interdepar- -- interdepartmental body, sorry, Michigan has quickly been recognized as a leader when it comes to identifying sites and conducting testing. But she also wanted to convey appreciation for the working relationships she's been able to develop -- develop with Director Clark and the Department when it comes to creating budgets that allow them to effectively continue addressing this issue in Michigan.

Regarding the proposed rules which is the focus of tonight's meeting, the Representative believes that it is a very important step toward setting necessary limits and over the course of the past three years she's met with many residents, attended meetings, and spoke with local units of government in both Oscoda and Alpena Townships which both have -- have PFAS identified in those areas, to discuss their contamination and how it affects the lives of the individuals who live in those areas on a daily. To see communities suffering because they cannot drink their water,



1	they cannot swim in their lakes, they cannot eat the fish
2	or, or the deer that they hunt locally, they cannot even
3	sell their homes. It is absolutely devastating. But what's
4	even more horrifying is the health conditions that
5	Michiganders are facing as a result of this type of
6	contamination not just today, but individuals who have been
7	consuming it for years or individuals who 10, 15, 20 years
8	down the road from now will will find health issues as a
9	result of contamination.
10	The Representative welcomes these MCLs along with
11	the accompanying rules and looks forward to continuing to
12	work with the Department ahead, in the years ahead in
13	combating PFAS exposure to Michiganders and those visiting
14	our state. Thank you.
15	MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. Thank you. All right.
16	John Hines, come on up, and then after John, Dave Greco.
17	JOHN HINES
18	MR. JOHN HINES: John Hines, H-i-n-e-s. I'm the
19	superintendent at the Houghton Lake Wastewater Treatment
20	Plant. I'd like to thank you for your commitment to the
21	most important resource in our state, water. I'd also like
22	to see you fund further monies to put forth for better and
23	cheaper treatment of the PFAS. I would like to see that
24	done along with this bill. Thank you.
25	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Dave
	Page 16



1	Greco, and after Dave, Sean Overheul.
2	DAVE GRECO
3 .	MR. DAVE GRECO: Good evening. I'M Dave Greco, G-
4	r-e-c-o, director of Regulatory and Environmental Affairs at
5	the Michigan Manufacturers Association. On behalf of MMA,
6	thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on the
7	proposed rules this evening. MMA represents nearly 1700
8	manufacturers that can be found in every corner of the
9	state. They are small and large and they employ more than
10	635,000 Michigan citizens. Manufacturing represents
11	Michigan's largest economic sector, drives our state's
12	economy, and contributes to our quality of life. The matter
13	before us this evening is of great interest to our
14	organization and our members. We agree the safety of public
15	drinking water supplies is paramount as is the public
16	confidence in drinking water safety. We believe the state
17	can protect the public health and its economic
18	competitiveness. These are not mutually exclusive goals.
19	We have been actively engaged in discussions with state
20	regulators, legislators, and local communities for nearly
21	two years and we welcome the opportunity to be part of the
22	solution to what has been identified as a challenging and
23	complex problem.
24	To meaningfully contribute to the rulemaking
25	process, MMA commissioned an independent peer-review report
	Page 17



6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by leading PFAS researchers on the proposed rules that we
will submit later this month. We trust this report will aid
in the rulemaking process by providing scientific and
technical information.

In broad summary of the report findings, I want to emphasize three main points. First, we agree with the notion that the state rely on settled and established science as the foundation for developing regulatory standards. Second, MMA is concerned that the cost benefit balance is not yet sufficiently assessed and that more focus in this area will ensure that Michigan does not inadvertently establish standards that can result in significant costs on local communities, citizens, and Third, the proposed rules need to be regulation employers. That means implementing rules that provide clarity, consistency, and certainty. We have seen other states take a course that does not meet this goal and they are now buried in legal challenges stalling real progress.

As we move forward, we encourage EGLE to do three things. First, let's get this right. Address and resolve key scientific uncertainties in shortcomings that have been identified as part of the public hearing process. We hope the state will find our report to be useful in closing some of those information gaps and questions that remain.

Second, root the rules in settled science. We all recognize



1	the science on PFAS is evolving and we should regulate now
2	what is based on settled science and revisit the rules as
3 .	the body of settled science grows. Third, fully account for
4	the cost. Properly account for the cost to employers,
5	municipal water systems, and citizens by identifying the
6	costs for retrofitting, remediation, disposal, and ongoing
7	operating costs. If EGLE considers these three items,
8	Michigan will be the credible leader on establishing
9	PFAS-related safe drinking water standards.
10	Thank you again for the opportunity to speak this
11	evening and we look forward to being part of the solution.
12	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. All right.
13	Next up, Sean Overheul and after Sean, Cyndi Roper.
14	SEAN OVERHEUL
14 15	SEAN OVERHEUL: Hello. I'm Sean Overheul,
15	MR. SEAN OVERHEUL: Hello. I'm Sean Overheul,
15 16	MR. SEAN OVERHEUL: Hello. I'm Sean Overheul, S-e-a-n, Overheul is O-v-e-r-h-e-u-l. I am with Pure Water
15 16 17	MR. SEAN OVERHEUL: Hello. I'm Sean Overheul, S-e-a-n, Overheul is O-v-e-r-h-e-u-l. I am with Pure Water Works, Incorporated in Traverse City and we we take care
15 16 17 18	MR. SEAN OVERHEUL: Hello. I'm Sean Overheul, S-e-a-n, Overheul is O-v-e-r-h-e-u-l. I am with Pure Water Works, Incorporated in Traverse City and we we take care of about 6200 square miles worth of our Michigan residents.
15 16 17 18 19	MR. SEAN OVERHEUL: Hello. I'm Sean Overheul, S-e-a-n, Overheul is O-v-e-r-h-e-u-l. I am with Pure Water Works, Incorporated in Traverse City and we we take care of about 6200 square miles worth of our Michigan residents.  The questions that we have that are to be focused
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SEAN OVERHEUL: Hello. I'm Sean Overheul, S-e-a-n, Overheul is O-v-e-r-h-e-u-l. I am with Pure Water Works, Incorporated in Traverse City and we we take care of about 6200 square miles worth of our Michigan residents.  The questions that we have that are to be focused upon and hopefully looked at throughout the litigation
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SEAN OVERHEUL: Hello. I'm Sean Overheul, S-e-a-n, Overheul is O-v-e-r-h-e-u-l. I am with Pure Water Works, Incorporated in Traverse City and we we take care of about 6200 square miles worth of our Michigan residents.  The questions that we have that are to be focused upon and hopefully looked at throughout the litigation process and the development of the new standards is that
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SEAN OVERHEUL: Hello. I'm Sean Overheul, S-e-a-n, Overheul is O-v-e-r-h-e-u-l. I am with Pure Water Works, Incorporated in Traverse City and we we take care of about 6200 square miles worth of our Michigan residents.  The questions that we have that are to be focused upon and hopefully looked at throughout the litigation process and the development of the new standards is that everything here seems to be focused again on municipal water
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SEAN OVERHEUL: Hello. I'm Sean Overheul, S-e-a-n, Overheul is O-v-e-r-h-e-u-l. I am with Pure Water Works, Incorporated in Traverse City and we we take care of about 6200 square miles worth of our Michigan residents.  The questions that we have that are to be focused upon and hopefully looked at throughout the litigation process and the development of the new standards is that everything here seems to be focused again on municipal water supplies. And according to the DEQ, Michigan has nearly

1 supplies in the state of Michigan as well, 2 there/thereabouts. The question that we have is that 90 3 percent of us up here are going to be on well water. not on municipal water. And that's not necessarily just because we want our own well, but because of the logistics 5 of getting water through a municipality is just not feasible. And it seems like those people that are on well water are being forgotten and it's only focused on municipals because that's what is set as a standard and what 9 10 you can regulate. What is something that as homeowners, as people that live in these communities, what can we do to 11 12 ensure that everybody else is going to have safe drinking 13 water? 14 The other aspect that I have is that with the --15 with the increase of the PFAS, P-F-A-S, P-F-O-S, we're looking at long-term effects. I mean, I don't understand 16 17 how an action level or maximum contaminant level can be set 18 without long-term studies being done. But with the fact 19 that PFAS are a -- are an emerging contaminant, there are no 20 long-term studies that have been completed to show the 21 health effects and the issues that are caused by these 22 chemicals. So how can a level be set without knowing how 23 that level will work over time or bioaccumulate in the body? 24 Thank you. 25 MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thanks. So Cyndi Roper and Page 20



1	after Cyndi, Chuck Leady.
2	CYNDI ROPER
3	MS. CYNDI ROPER: Thank you. My name is Cyndi
4	Roper, C-y-n-d-i R-o-p-e-r, and I'm the Michigan senior
5	policy advocate for the Natural Resources Defense Council.
6	On behalf of our more than three million members and online
7	activists including 69,000 members in Michigan, the Natural
8	Resources Defense Council appreciates the opportunity to
9	comment on the Michigan Department of Environment, Great
10	Lakes and Energy I've been through too many names
11	changes proposed PFAS MCLs. We laud the Governor and the
12	agency for the work they've done and for the amount of hours
13	and time and commitment they've put in to trying to get
14	these rules moving quickly, and also MPART, I know we have
15	some people from MPART here.
16	However, as you already know, NRGC has serious
17	concerns about the proposed standards and we raised these
18	concerns with MPART after its science advisory work group
19	released the health based values upon which Michigan's
20	proposed MCLs are based. We will be submitting detailed,
21	written comments, so we will go through all of these
22	these points, but we believe there is inadequate
23	consideration of the best available current science to
24	ensure standards protect Michigan's most vulnerable
25	populations like developing fetuses, infants, children,
	Page 21



pregnant women, and nursing moms. We believe the absence of a class-based regulations that fully protect individuals from all types of PFAS exposure and drinking water, including exposures to mixtures of multiple types of PFAS, is highly problematic. We also believe the omission of total PFAS MCL requiring water systems that detect PFAS to install water treatment that will remove a broad range of PFAS substances instead of focusing only on a few PFAS at a time is also highly problematic.

We have played a leadership role in advancing solutions to the PFAS crisis through our efforts in the nation's capitol and in states across the country. In Michigan we released a PFAS in drinking water report last March based on the science, based on the ATSDR report, based on the footnotes in the Agency on Tox- -- for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry Report and we called on EGLE at that time to issue emergency rules with standards that would best protect public health. Although emergency rules were not issues that would have been -- would have taken effect immediately, it was our hope that EGLE would produce MCLs using a class-based approach. This has not happened with the current proposal.

Given the extensive PFAS contamination in Michigan, EGLE should not attempt to balance industries acceptance of its proposed drinking water standards against



protecting public health. It's time for industry to accept responsibility for chemical contamination resulting from near successful lobbying efforts that allowed chemicals to be released without proving they are safe, that block health protective standards, and that protect them from liability from their pollution and more.

And I -- I'd like to add a few comments from my personal experience. As a young mechanical engineer working at Ford Motor Company's River Rouge Plant, my father held professional development sessions to educate his coworkers on why they shouldn't dump paint into the Rouge River.

These attend -- these sessions were sparsely attended in large part because we didn't have a Clean Water Act and other major environmental laws. Fast forward to the final 20 years of my father's career before he passed away from lymphoma when he was a plant engineer at a 3M plant that makes adhesives, sealants, and coating -- coatings and I worked at that plant for two summers during college.

So, despite my father's commitment to keeping pollutants out of water, it was clear to me that environmental permits were permission to pol- -- permission slips to pollute. I realize you are trying to balance the interest of corporate polluters, but we're breathing, drinking, swimming, and eating PFAS right now, and even before PFAS we had at least 7300 toxic sites in this state.



1	Many of you have seen the green ooze on in Madison
2	Heights. It is symbolic of these sites. And if all 7300
3	sites were oozing colors, the state of Michigan would look
4	like the Sherwin-Williams color the world logo.
5	And so, you know, we just aren't seeing what's
6	happening and we feel strongly that our health and our
7	pocketbooks are now bearing the brunt of the attempted
8	balancing act, but the scales are broken. So this is your
9	opportunity to ensure the water we drink won't harm us, and
10	especially our most vulnerable populations. We're counting
11	on you. Thank you again for your efforts.
12	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. All right. Next,
13	Chuck Leady and then Rachel Cara.
14	CHUCK LEADY
15	MR. CHUCK LEADY: Good evening. My name is Chuck
16	Leady. That's spelled L-e-a-d-y. And I represent myself
17	here and anybody that happens to agree with me. I want to
18	first thank everybody that had anything to do with putting
19	this thing on because this in my opinion is what government
20	should be doing, being responsible to reporting back what's
21	going on to the people. So thank thanks everybody to
22	that.
23	I noticed in your presentation that you said that
24	you're putting you're watching and regulating municipal
25	power municipal water supplies, school water supplies
	Page 24



1	and and certain other things. But I think what I'm
2	really, although I don't believe that I have too much of a
3 .	personal impact on this as far as having my well water being
4	adversely affected by these chemicals, I think that all of
5	us need to be aware of this and look out for the rest of the
6	citizenry of of the state here as well as the country.
7	And so I'm kind of concerned here that although you said
8	there are testing available that an individual can do, you
9	can put in a reverse osmosis system or possibly, you know,
10	activated charcoal filtering, but these are expensive
11	systems and since there is this problem and I would suspect
12	that any contamination in any individual's well water is
13	probably not the fault of that individual homeowner. It is
14	more than likely, came from, even though it might be
15	inadvertent from either government, federal, state, industry
16	of, you know, whether it's a chemical company that's
17	producing the chemicals or a another industry that's a
18	user of the chemicals. It it also I mean, so, it's
19	not an act of God that put this stuff in there, at least I
20	don't think so.
21	So I would I really would like to see something
22	in in the effort that you're doing to help the individual
23	homeowner that may have a well and maybe having a problem to
24	at least have if they're in an area that is designated a
25	hotspot, so to speak, at least provide somehow, whether it's
	Page 25

1	through the state or through assessments of the potential
2	people who provided the bad chemicals in the first place,
3	 that they would have financial relief to do this testing or
4	have this testing made available to them at no cost. And if
5	by chance, unfortunately, they have the need for an
6	expensive water treatment system or bottled water or
7	whatever solves the problem, that we would hold accountable
8	both financially and legally the the companies,
9	government, or whoever that ended up putting the stuff there
10	in the first place.
11	And lastly, I'd just like to also thank Sue
12	Allor who happens to be my Representative, I meet with
13	her on most of her coffee hours for being for
14	spearheading an effort to help, you know, resolve this
15	issue. Thank you very much.
16	MR. OSTROWSKI: Thanks. Okay. Rachel Cara and
17	then after Rachel is Aaron Weed.
18	RACHEL CARA
19	MS. RACHEL CARA: Hello. My name is Rachel Cara.
20	I am the Michigan outreach director for We Are the Evidence.
21	It's a wireless technology advocacy group for those who have
22	been injured by wireless which many of you may not know, but
23	there are a good five to ten percent of the population that
24	have effects from Wi-Fi, cell phone towers, and such and
25	it's becoming increasingly more and more.
	Page 26

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Most of us, as myself, I have been damaged in that We have over the years had chemical sensitivity or some sort of autoimmune disorder that led to our immune system being weakened and therefore we react more. have been extremely concerned myself as I know many of my co-advocates and those that -- that we represent in, you know, regard to PFAS and many chemicals in the environment, but specifically PFAS potentially being in well water concerns me as I do reside in northern Michigan now and have a private home well. I went to great lengths to move back across the country after living out west for many years in larger cities and being here in a more rural area to be in a healthier environment away from the primarily wireless sources which you find in many cities. But at any rate here, you know, there are other problems as I've, you know, encountered with potential for the -- the water being polluted and such. However, I -- I do agree with many of the others who have spoken before regarding the fact that it would be nice to have some sort of testing available that could be made available to assist, you know, those who can't afford the expensive systems and who would like to know, really, what's in their water. So I would encourage that to be

Page 27

looked into. And then also, I understand that the EGLE

organization does look at all types of environmental toxins,



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or at least many beyond water, and I would very much 1 encourage you to look into the wireless issue because that 2 3 is something that the majority of people are not aware of, however there have been literally thousands of studies, the 5 majority of which have not been well publicized, however, the most recent this past year, the NTP study, National 7 Toxicology Program, put \$30 million into this and determined 8 that there is a very large percentage connection there with 9 brain tumors and wireless. So I would definitely, you know, 10 appreciate any further looking into that and I thank you for 11 your presentation here.

MR. OSTROWSKI: Thank you. Okay. Aaron Weed and then after that, Greg Cole.

## AARON WEED

MR. AARON WEED: Aaron Weed, A-a-r-o-n W-e-e-d, Oscoda Township supervisor. Oscoda Township was found to have PFAS in it, publicly known as early as 1999. The second most contaminated fish in the world came out of our township because we are the home of Wurtsmith Air Force Base, second-most PFAS contaminated fish at 9,000 parts per billion. We have contaminated deer, we have contaminated people, we have over 400 wells potentially contaminated by PFAS. Our water from the Wurtsmith Air Force Base, that groundwater flows into the Au Sable River with PFAS contamination and into Lake Huron at a rate of 21 million



1	gallons per hour thereby feeding into millions of other
2	users of municipal water systems.
3 .	This rulemaking I think is very necessary. I
4	believe the limits you have set are not adequate and should
5	actually be lower, especially at PFHXS. I think the more
6	PFAS chemicals should be added to it. As you had mentioned,
7	a class. PFECHS should also be included in there.
8	So while I don't necessarily agree with the limits
9	that EGLE has set, it is far better than what we've had in
10	the past, especially since we've dealt with decades of this
11	problem. So I fully support these MCLs and I applaud EGLE
12	for coming up with this albeit probably a decade too late.
13	For over 60 years, testing has been done on PFAS
14	that shows health studies that show that it is a human
15	hazard. So my question to MMA, what's more important, your
16	pocketbooks or the people's health? Because if you don't
17	have healthy people, you don't have workers. You as
18	industry will find alternatives.
19	MR. OSTROWSKI: Mr. Weed? Yeah, address the
20	front, please.
21	MR. AARON WEED: So I think you needed to hear
22	that, but thank you very much.
23	MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. Thank you. All right.
24	Just got a couple more cards here. And, again, remember
25	when you're up here, make sure you're addressing the front
	Page 29

1	of the room. Oh, Dave's got a couple more. So first one
2	up, Greg Cole, you're up, and then Hank Bailey next. All
3 .	right. Thank you. Oh, thank you, sir. All right. Mr.
4	Cole?
5	GREG COLE
6	MR. GREG COLE: Greg Cole, C-o-l-e. I'm a member
7	of the NOW group in Oscoda, Need Our Water. I'd like to
8	first read my comment and then I have some added things to
9	that.
10	I hope you set the level at the lowest possible
11	number to protect the citizens of Michigan. As far as
12	putting all the PFAS contaminants into one MCL, I feel I
13	would leave that decision up to EGLE. If EGLE recommends to
14	do just a part of the contaminants, I would hope as the
15	science comes in, EGLE would address the remaining PFAS
16	chemicals. Immediate action by our state to assign
17	additional MCLs at a timely rate would get my support.
18	Beware, we will be holding you accountable for your actions.
19	That's my personal comment, not the NOW group.
20	And then I'd like to add we have here tonight the
21	Oscoda swim team, and they're doing a community service
22	event for them. About the swim team, a couple years ago the
23	swimming pool at Oscoda High School was fed by wells. And
24	to show you, or to tell you how dangerous these contaminants
25	are, there was a forest fire behind the high school years
	Page 30



1 ago and I'm sure some of the EGLE team knows about that. 2 And the Air Force come over and helped out to put that fire 3 out and they used AFFF foam years and years ago and, of course, the PFAS got into the ground and this is why they're called forever chemicals. The state went out -- back then it was MPART -- and they detected PFAS. So the NOW group, 7 we were invited into the Board of Education at the high school and we talked about the dangers of PFAS maybe in the wells at the high school. So the next thing we did is we 10 went to our Representatives and Aaron Weed, our township 11 supervisor, and I believe it was Dan Kildee, and it wasn't 12 long we received city water for the high school. So we want 13 to thank the state for coming in and giving our kids clean 14 water for that swimming pool and now they have all clean 15 drinking water fountains in the high school. And the kids 16 are here tonight, some of them are going to speak. 17 And the other thing is I do think we can overcome 18 When I was a kid, I was raised down on Lake Erie 19 where the Huron River, the mouth -- the mouth of the Huron 20 River is, Point Mouillee, and now that Huron River is 21 contaminated. And we know on Lake Erie when I -- when I 22 lived there, we had signs on our beach at that time that 23 said "swim at your own risk." It was highly polluted. 24 I thought there's never going to be a time where that's 25 going to get cleaned up, but it did and the walleye Page 31

1	population, the fishing population is enormous out there.
2	So I think we can overcome these PFAS contaminants because
3	when you think about it, how much more technology do we have
4	now compared to back then?
5	So my request to EGLE is to work hard. I really
6	applaud that you met with us tonight and take our comments
7	and our questions to heart and go to work. Thank you.
8	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay. So Greg
9	Cole, after Greg is Hank Bailey. Greg here?
10	MR. GREG COLE: I'm Greg Cole.
11	MR. OSTROWSKI: Oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Cole. I forgot
12	to give my card over. I'm trying to keep track of this
13	stuff. Okay. So Hank Bailey, come on up, and after Hank is
14	going to be Liz DeLisle.
15	MS. LIZ DELISLE: Yup.
16	MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. Go ahead.
17	HANK BAILEY
18	MR. HANK BAILEY: Buzhu. Thank you for allowing
19	me to speak tonight. My name is Hank Bailey, B-a-i-l-e-y.
20	I'm a tribal elder who has legal rights to the water of
21	Michigan that are located within our ceded territory that
22	was when we had to cede our land to the federal government
23	in the Treaty of Washington 1836. And because of that
24	treaty, I have seen a lot of things go downhill in this
25	in our land that we ceded and and it worries me. The
	Page 32

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Great Lakes have went -- now have more invasive species in it than native species. And so with the water being the strongest thing that we have and how important it is to our tribal people, what makes me think about being here is the idea that you have now taken on the name of EGLE in place of the Michigan Department of Natural Resources had. You know, I think that's just fine. But the "eagle" also rep- -represents so much to us as a tribal nation. So I think by the state of Michigan taking on that name of EGLE, I think you have accepted a great responsibility. And so I hope when each one of you people that are here to protect our environment, our Great Lakes and get rid of that energy thing. When you get up in the morning you can look in the mirror and think that you're going to go out and do the best you can to protect those environments that are so vital to all of us and you have taken on a responsibility to lead that name of EGLE amongst us, especially when you have native people all over this state of Michigan. So what I'm afraid of is what I've heard people

So what I'm afraid of is what I've heard people say already is, you know, we're going to end up running into the problem of not having the proper funding to do the job. And so I'm asking you when you look in the mirror, think that you're going to have a big old job and when you go to work that day to try to get those people that will help you out and bring the monies in that you need to do your job and



1	your responsibility to that "eagle." So with that I say
2	meegwetch to you.
3 .	MR. OSTROWSKi: Thank you, sir. Okay. Liz
4	DeLisle and then John DeLisle. Is that right?
5	MS. LIZ DELISLE: Hi. Yup.
6	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay.
7	LIZ DELISLE
8	MS. LIZ DELISLE: Liz DeLisle, L-i-z, last name
9	DeLisle, D-e-L-i-s-l-e. I am here representing myself. I
10	am representing all wildlife, any unborn baby, any elderly
11	or ill person that cannot attend. This is a serious issue.
12	I drove out from West Bloomfield. I am on well water and I
13	don't know if it's safe or not. Personally I'm going to get
14	my blood tested and the well tested. But this is a serious
15	issue because we live in Michigan and it is the best state.
16	I mean, obviously I live here, so.
17	But we have to take care of the world's biggest
18	and greatest freshwater lakes system and that's a
19	responsibility that we have to do. What you're proposing is
20	seven different PFAS chemicals. There's over 5,000. It has
21	been proven that companies have switched their chemical
22	formulas and then have gotten out of having to be regulated.
23	There's no federal regulations and this is killing people.
24	People are dying. This is a very serious thing. People are
25	sick, infertility rates are up and I'm of the age where a
	Page 34

1	lot of people are wanting to have families. They have
2	beautiful jobs, beautiful husbands, wives, and they can't
3 .	have kids and I have a feeling that environmental chemicals
4	and toxins are partly to do with it.
5	So I would definitely like to ask that what you're
6	proposing in terms of the limits, they need to come down. A
7	lot of the people in the country right now are looking to
8	see what Michigan is going to do and we need to strict we
9	need to lower the levels. I mean, who wants any kind of a
10	toxic chemical in their water? I would like to drink pure,
11	clean water so if there's going to be some sort of a
12	standard, it better be pretty small and so I'd like at least
13	those numbers to come down half, if not more.
14	I also think that a big issue is that the
15	companies that are polluting need to be held accountable.
16	They are literally putting these toxic chemicals that are
17	affecting the wildlife. What is the plan for that? If we
18	go through municipality, you know, treatment filtration
19	systems, that's not getting everything. Yes, I live in West
20	Bloomfield, but I have family cottages up north. We
21	recreate recreate all around the state of Michigan. And
22	so I want to be able to know that where I'm going the water
23	is clean.
24	I also want to know that, like I said, not just
25	those seven chemicals, but the whole class of five plus
	Page 35

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

1 5,000 plus chemicals are being regulated. I would also like 2 to know that the companies are being held accountable. What 3 are the regulations on those companies? Why aren't they paying? Why is it that us hardworking people and our tax 5 dollars are having to pay for things that companies that have millions of dollars are doing. They're ruining our 7 environment. We have our world, our earth to take care of. PFAS is in the water, it's in the air. Water flows, air It's everywhere. It's in the food systems, the 10 I mean, this is a serious, serious problem and I am soil. 11 depending on the state of Michigan to take care of this for 12 future generations to come. For not only our state, but for 13 the country that's watching to see what we're going to do 14 and for the whole world that's watching to see what we're 15 going to do.

MR. OSTROWSKI: One -- one minute.

MS. LIZ DELISLE: Yeah; yup. So we are currently being used as guinea pigs because there isn't enough evidence of how this accumulates. It bioaccumulates in the system. It's a forever chemical. And there's not super long-term evidence that's been studied. If -- and I hope every single one of you has seen the documentary -- and they're making another one called No Defense -- but the one that says, "The Devil We Know" because there is plenty of information on people who live in West Virginia where DuPont



1 and 3M have polluted the water. It's killed cow -- I mean, so many animals. We need to take care of this. 3 So with that, let me make sure I didn't miss anything here. I want to make sure that the levels are 5 coming down at least half, the companies are -- the companies that are doing this are being held accountable and 6 7 that they're being regulated, and I want to make sure that we know what's going to happen with the waste if a charcoal filter is put into a municipal system. That's collecting 10 How is that going to be responsibly discarded? 11 then also, classifying everything, not just the seven that 12 you've listed. Thank you. 13 MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. All right. 14 John DeLisle and then Jason Trautz, I think. Go ahead. 15 JOHN DELISLE 16 MR. JOHN DELISLE: Hi, everybody. I -- I have 17 three basic points. My wife and I own a business, so as --18 as business owners we -- we want to be regulated. 19 that, you know, these compounds are very dangerous and that 20 there's no reason that they need to be used. 21 alternatives to everything. Human ingenuity can come up 22 with something better to perform those functions. And, you 23 know, from a -- from a personal standpoint, I second what my 24 wife said. I -- whatever regulations are put in place, I 25 hope they're, you know, stringent and, you know, I thank you Page 37

1	guys for your efforts in addressing this.
2	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. All right. So
3 .	Jason Trautz (pronouncing) I can't read it.
4	MR. JASON TRAUTZ: Yeah, German. Trautz
5	(pronouncing).
6	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Close.
7	JASON TRAUTZ
8	MR. JASON TRAUTZ: Jason Trautz, last name
9	T-r-a-u-t-z. I reside about two blocks through the woods
10	right over here (indicating). So thanks for holding the
11	meeting. Number two, I really appreciate everything that
12	everybody's doing to bring this to our attention and to
13	manage it in the future. It seems very, very critical. I
14	do have a comment, two comments, that I'd like you to take
15	very seriously.
16	Many of us in Michigan and throughout the United
17	States drink bottled water. It's my understanding that
18	you're exempting the bottling plants from testing and
19	compliance if I heard correctly earlier today. That
20	concerns me because a lot of people in Michigan and other
21	communities drink bottled water. It seems if it's good for
22	the municipalities, it's also good for the bottling plants.
23	I would encourage you to do that, regulate to those same
24	standards. We draw millions of gallons of water out of our
25	state, sell it to the citizens of our state, or export it to
	Page 38



1	the nearby states and I think that could be a selling point,
2	"Michigan Pure Water." I have nothing to do with the
3	bottling plants, by the way. There's no skin in this game.
4	But it just makes good sense. If I'm going to in some of
5	these communities that have a lot of pollution, consistently
6	drink bottled water, they should be getting the same, good,
7	quality, regulated water that you're proposing and I would
8	encourage you to do that. Don't leave that loophole open
9	because it's critical to all those people and it can be a
10	selling point for our bottling companies. Thank you.
11	MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. Thank you. Okay. So
12	next one is Alexis Ruemenapp and then Aiden Backstrom.
13	Alexis? Sorry if I got your name wrong.
14	ALEXIS RUEMENAPP
15	MS. ALEXIS RUEMENAPP: My name is Alexis
16	Ruemenapp, spelled A-l-e-x-i-s R-u-e-m-e-n-a-p-p. I live
17	in Oscoda, Michigan affected by the PFAS contamination of
18	the former Wurtsmith Air Force Base. I want you to know
19	that we know. The PFAS standards must be protective of our
20	most vulnerable populations and be based on the best
21	available science. Children, pregnant women, and those
22	suffering from chronic illness and the elderly are the most
23	susceptible to the negative health impacts of our exposure
24	to PFAS. Standards should be considered PFAS impacts to
25	children, elderly, and other vulnerable populations instead
	Page 39

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 of just adults. Michigan's PFAS standards should take into 2 account the best of all the research and studies like those 3 done in New Hampshire to ensure the limits are protective of public health. Thank you for the opportunity to share my comment. MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. All right. 7 Aiden Backstrom, then after Aiden is Lilli- -- Lillian Schnell -- Schell. 9 AIDEN BACKSTROM 10 MR. AIDEN BACKSTROM: Hi. My name is Aiden 11 Backstrom spelled A-i-d-e-n B-a-c-k-s-t-r-o-m. I'm from 12 Oscoda -- sorry. I'm from Oscoda. I go to Oscoda Area High 13 Schools and I want to share my experience with -- well, my 14 school's experience with the PFAS. 15 Over the summer we had to close down a lot of 16 sporting events because the wa- -- because the water was 17

Over the summer we had to close down a lot of sporting events because the wa- -- because the water was contaminated. A couple football games were canceled and practices at the high school for soccer were also canceled because we didn't have clean water to drink out of. I've also seen -- we've also been noticing a lot more foam on our beaches. I'm not -- I'm not entirely a hundred percent sure that it was PFAS, but I've heard a couple rumors going around that it was PFAS developing on our beaches, even though in a 2018 study or sample, one sample in Lake Huron saying that there was no PFAS.



1 And with my personal experience with PFAS over the summer, over the fall I recently had to put down my dog 3 He was exposed to PFAS. He swam in Van Etten Lake Gordon. all the time and we didn't realize what the PFAS was doing He later developed a tumor in his lower abdomen. to him. 6 Thank you for sharing -- thank you for letting me 7 share my comments and concerns and I really hope that what you're -- what you're doing really helps Michigan. 9 you. 10 MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. Thank you. All right. 11 Lillian, Lillian Schell and then Cathy Wusterbarth. 12 ahead, Lillian. 13 LILLIAN SCHELL MS. LILLIAN SCHELL: Okay. My name is Lillian 14 15 Schell spelled L-i-l-i-a-n, last name S-c-h-e-l-1. 16 in Oscoda, Michigan affected by PFAS contamination of the 17 former Wurtsmith Air Base. I want you to know that we know. 18 The PFAS limits proposed by the state are a step in the 19 right direction, but the key changes need to be made to 20 ensure they protect the health of Michigan communities. 21 Michigan should be leading the country on setting the 22 toughest standards for toxic PFAS chemicals in our water. 23 The state should set a combined total limit for all of the 24 toxic contaminants instead of smaller limits for each 25 Establi -- sorry. Establishing a combined total chemical. Page 41

1	standard for PFAS contaminants will set the baseline for
2	ensuring Michiganders have safe, clean water to drink.
3	Thank you for the opportunity to share my comments.
4	MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. Thank you. All right.
5	Cathy Wusterbarth, hopefully I got your name right.
6	CATHY WUSTERBARTH
7	MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Hi. Cathy Wusterbarth
8	which is W-u-s-t-e-r-b-a-r-t-h. And, yes, thank you so much
9	for allowing me to make some comments. I have brought some
10	things that I want to share with you. I'm from the NOW,
11	Need Our Water community action group and I'd like to share
12	with you our mission because we you along EGLE along
13	with community members in the state of Michigan have been
14	working together to make sure that these PFAS are being
15	addressed adequately.
16	Our mission is we are a community action group
17	organized to give Oscoda and Au Sable and surrounding
18	communities a voice. Our mission is to be a reliable
19	resource and catalyst for education and communication while
20	advocating for long-term health and environmental welfare
21	plans on behalf of those affected by the water contamination
22	from the former Wurtsmith Air Force Base.
23	So, again, I am from Oscoda. I I brought you
24	a a DEQ at the time it was DEQ designed map that
25	shows the plumes that are coming from the former Wurtsmith
	Page 42



Air Force Base. These images we've had to share with entities for the last now four years. We've -- we've had these nice pictures to be able to share. But it -- it's really graphic. You can see where the plumes are flowing towards the -- this lake here (indicating), Van Etten Lake, and then there's a river, the Au Sable River. And the -- like Mr. Weed had indicated, those -- those PFAS are being pumped from the ground and put into a nearby creek that's flowing into the Au Sable River and this image here (indicating) is Lake Huron. And so Lake Huron is the drinking water source for hundreds of thousands of -- of people in Michigan. So that is the -- again, the source of our municipal water supply.

This image here that I'm going to share with you, this is the shores of Lake Huron and Oscoda and Au Sable in September of 2018 and clearly this is PFAS foam. As the young man mentioned, one of the samples that was taking from -- taken for our -- our municipal water supply in 2018 was shown non-detect for PFAS. One sample was taken. And as everyone in EGLE knows, that PFAS acts in mysterious ways and it's very hard to get a surface water sample. So, you know, we really would encourage that this sampling occur more often. This foam is happening on -- on Lake Huron and other waterways in -- in our area. And these -- this one very graphic picture here was taken by Aaron Weed himself,



25

1 so he has lots of experience in identifying that foam and he 2 said it was very characteristic of -- of the PFAS foam, 3 although we didn't get a sample of that. Again, this is another graphic that I'd like to 5 submit for comments. And one of the statements that we're sharing with the rest of our contamination partners 7 throughout this -- the U.S. is that PFAS was first reported 8 in 2012 by Robert Delaney and Dr. Richard DeGrandchamp in 9 their report "Michigan's Contaminant Induced Human Health 10 Crisis Addressing Michigan's Future by Facing The Challenges 11 of the Evolving Nature of Environmental Contamination." 12 That study was submitted to EGLE, or DEQ at the time, in 13 2012 and now just recently came out. So if -- if -- you'll 14 have to forgive us if we don't trust that this process is 15 going to occur very quickly. But I -- if -- if -- like Greg 16 Cole was saying, we are going to hold EGLE and the state of 17 Michigan accountable for enforcing these standards. 18 The last thing I'd like to submit is these are 19 hundreds of private water, or some of them are private well 20 There's -- there's two PFAS that are -- like Aaron samples. 21 had mentioned, are a big concern that PFHXS. On the second 22 page the proposed level is 51. These are private wells. 23 The numbers I see here are 88, 90, 100, 75, 120, 150, 150. 24 That's on one page of this report and this is -- these are

Network Reporting

- STATE VECTOR COUNTY PERCONTERS

800-632-2720

private water wells that people are going to be asking you

1	the question, "Why am I not protected in my private well?
2	Why can't I get a subsidy for a system so that I can be
3	protected just like my city water?"
4	And the last question that I have or comment is
5	that I want to make sure that this you know, you're
6	looking at the class of PFAS. We know that PFECHS, one of
7	the other PFAS, is being detected in our Great Lakes at
8	higher level higher levels than PFOA and PFOS and the
9	state of Michigan needs to make sure that they they are
10	addressing these other chemicals that aren't even on, you
11	know, the radar right now. But we're paying attention to
12	these studies and we want answers. Thank you.
13	MR. OSTROWSKI: All right. Thank you. I can take
14	those if you want. If you'd like to leave those up here,
15	ma'am, you can do that or
16	MS. CATHY WUSTERBARTH: Oh, yeah.
17	MR. OSTROWSKI: or submit them through e-mail,
18	either way. Okay, everybody. That is all the cards I have.
19	Is there any more cards? I'll ask our staff that are here.
20	Chris, can you check? If not, other people want to make
21	comment, now is the time. So if you'd like to make a
22	comment, come on up, ma'am, and make sure that you clearly
23	state your name and spell it and, again, we'll go four
24	minutes. Okay?
25	ELYSSA STEWARD
	Page 45



1	MS. ELYSSA STEWARD: Hello. My name is Elyssa
2	Steward, E-l-y-s-s-a S-t-e-w-a-r-d. I am with NOW and I
3 .	also want to say that I am the varsity swim coach and
4	aquatics director of Oscoda High School. As someone who is
5	in charge of youth swim programs, it is a major concern of
6	mine that PFAS contaminations be addressed immediately. Not
7	only is PFAS finding its way into our drinking water, it's
8	also found in Van Etten Lake, Au Sable River, and even the
9	Great Lakes. I am concerned for the youth in Oscoda because
10	they swim in these contaminated waters all summer long. We
11	are aware of what's going on and we are calling for action.
12	Pure Michigan. Pure Water. Thank you.
13	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Anybody else
14	want to make a comment? Come on up, sir. Again, state your
15	name and spell it for us, please, and if you have any
16	association you're with, please say that, too.
17	JOHN MORRIS
18	MR. JOHN MORRIS: My name is John Morris. I live
19	in National City. J-o-h-n M-o-r-r-i-s. After hearing all
20	this, I couldn't help but think if if I put some poison
21	in somebody's coffee when they weren't looking and they
22	died, you'd call me a criminal. You'd call me a monster.
23	And yet we allow these companies, we allow our government to
24	poison us and we call it corporate citizens.
25	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Any
	Page 46



22

23

24

25

1 other comments? All right, folks. We are actually technically supposed to be here 'til 8:00 o'clock to take 3 comments and we will stay here. So we're going to stick around. But because I'm sure you all don't want to just sit around here in silence, what I'm going to do is temporarily adjourn our hearing. I'm going to read off some closing 7 statements so you don't have to wait around for those either. So I'm going to read off the information about what's going to happen next so you can stay in touch. 10 One thing I want to remark on is that if you 11 didn't sign up on our sign-in sheet out there, please do 12 because that's going to help us keep in touch with you and 13 keep engaged with you. So as we have things to share with 14 you after the hearings, we'll e-mail you information. 15 just helps us keep in touch with you. So please sign in. 16 You don't have to, but if you want, leave your e-mail there 17 and that'll help us keep in touch with you. 18 So, with that, I've got a couple of things I need 19 to go over if I can get my projector working again, it'll 20 help. Let's see if it comes on. Maybe not. Well, I'll 21 just read these off.

So anyways, thank you again for your comments and your cooperation and, of course, your respect tonight. We appreciate your interest in the proposed rules and that you took the time to be here today.

Page 47



Я

As previously mentioned, the public comment period ends Friday, January 31st, 2020. In order to be included for consideration, comments must be received by 5:00 o'clock p.m. on January 31st, and please submit your comments in writing to: EGLE-PFAS-Rulemaking@michigan.gov. Again, more details on where to send your comments and to learn more details about the rulemaking process is on your printed agenda.

The next steps in the rulemaking process after the public hearing is preparing the Agency Report and the final draft rules that will go first to the Environmental Rules Review Committee. The Agency Report will include the following: Identification of the comments that are the basis for any rule changes, EGLE's rationale for making the rules changes, transcripts from all three hearings, and a copy of all written comments that resulted in a rule change.

The Environmental Rules Review Committee holds meetings open to the public on the last Thursday of the month and we expect that they be able to vote on the draft rules at their February 27th or March 26th meeting.

From the Committee, the -- from the Committee the Agency Report and the final rules then go through another round of overview and approvals by the Legislative Services Bureau and the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules before they are forwarded to the Joint Committee

Page 48



1	on Administrative Rulemaking or JCAR. JCAR has 15 full
2	session days in which to consider the rule package. We're
3 .	hoping for the rules to be promulgated in April or May.
4	All right. So like I said, I'm going to
5	temporarily suspend the hearing until, you know, if we have
6	more comments, people want to make comments before 8:00
7	o'clock, we'll re-open it up and take those comments. But
8	otherwise, we have staff out there to answer your questions,
9	stick around. Dave and Eric will be here as well, you can
10	talk to them. Thank you all very much for joining us
11	tonight. Thank you.
12	(Off the record)
13	MR. OSTROWSKI: Okay. I'm reopening I'm
14	reopening the hearing to see if there is any more comments
15	and there are no commentors or comments in the room, so I'm
16	going to read off my last statement and close it off.
17	As previously mentioned, the public comment period
18	ends Friday, January 31st, 2020. In order to be included
19	for consideration, comments must be received by 5:00 o'clock
20	p.m. on January 31st. Please submit comments in writing to:
21	EGLE-PFAS-Rulemaking@michigan.gov. Again, more details on
22	where to send your comments and to learn more details about
23	the rulemaking process is in the printed agenda.
24	Thank you all for your time and attention. The
25	hearing is now closed.
	Page 49



1	(Proceedings concluded at 7:55 p.m.)
2	
3 .	-0-0-0-
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	•
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 50



A
A-a-r-o-n 28:15
A-i-d-e-n 40:11
A-l-e-x-i-s 39:16
Aaron 2:9 26:17
28:12,14,15,15
29:21 31:10 43:25
44:20
abdomen 41:5
ability 5:6
able 14:25 15:12
35:22 43:3 48:19
absence 22:1
absolutely 16:3
academia 5:2
accept 23:1
acceptance 22:25
accepted 33:10
accompanying
16:11
account 19:3,4 40:2
accountable 13:19
26:7 30:18 35:15
36:2 37:6 44:17
accumulates 36:19
act 4:15,15 6:18,18
23:13 24:8 25:19
action 15:6 20:17
30:16 42:11,16
46:11
actions 5:7 13:25
30:18
activated 25:10
actively 17:19
activists 21:7
activity 13:11
acts 43:20
add 23:7 30:20
added 29:6 30:8
addition 5:4
additional 9:14
30:17
Additionally 14:22
address 9:8 18:20
29:19 30:15 addressed 42:15
46:6
addresses 6:9
addressing 15:7,15
29:25 38:1 44:10
45:10
adequate 29:4
adequately 42:15
adequatery 72.13

adhere 14:7 adhesives 23:17 adjourn 3:20 8:24 47:6 administrative 1:5 3:7 4:16,18,22 6:4 6:7,17 7:10 9:22 10:14 48:24 49:1 adopted 6:16 14:4 adults 40:1 advancing 22:10 adversely 25:4 advisory 5:1,3,22 21:18 advocacy 26:21 advocate 21:5 advocating 42:20 affairs 3:14 17:4 **AFFF 31:3** afford 27:21 afraid 33:19 age 34:25 agency 4:25 5:2 9:12,15 10:1 21:12 22:15 48:10 48:12,22 agenda 3:22 6:10 9:5 48:8 49:23 agendas 3:23 ago 12:21 30:22 31:1.3 agree 10:9 17:14 18:6 24:17 27:18 29:8 agrees 10:7 ahead 16:12,12 32:16 37:14 41:12 aid 18:2 Aiden 2:13 39:12 40:7,7,9,10,10 air 12:22,22,24 13:6 13:8,14,20,21 28:19,23 31:2 36:8,8 39:18 41:17 42:22 43:1 albeit 29:12 Alexis 2:12 39:12,13 39:14,15,15 Allegan 1:11 Allor 14:19 26:12 allotted 8:14 allow 8:7,20 11:1

15:14 46:23,23

allowed 23:3 allowing 13:21 32:18 42:9 Alpena 15:21 alternatives 29:18 37:21 amendments 4:22 amount 21:12 analysis 5:19 animals 37:2 Ann 1:16 7:3 answer 3:16 8:23 49:8 answers 45:12 anybody 24:17 46:13 anyways 47:22 **APPEARANCES** 1:9 applaud 29:11 32:6 appreciate 28:10 38:11 47:24 appreciates 21:8 appreciation 15:12 approach 22:21 appropriation 14:22 approvals 48:23 approve 9:19,20 approved 13:17 approves 9:21 10:4 April 49:3 aquatics 46:4 Arbor 7:3 area 3:16 12:20 13:8,13 18:11 25:24 27:12 40:12 43:24 areas 12:18 13:3 15:22,24 asking 33:22 44:25 aspect 20:14 assessed 18:10 assessments 26:1 assign 30:16 assigned 13:2 assist 27:21 associated 4:16 association 8:6 17:5 46:16 ATSDR 22:14 attempt 22:24 attempted 24:7

attend 23:12 34:11 attendance 7:13 attended 15:20 23:12 attention 10:15 38:12 45:11 49:24 Attorney 13:25 Au 28:24 42:17 43:6 43:9,15 46:8 autoimmune 27:3 available 3:16 6:6 7:9 8:22 21:23 25:8 26:4 27:20 27:21 39:21 aware 25:5 28:3 46:11 В

B-a-c-k-s-t-r-o-m 40:11 B-a-i-l-e-y 32:19 baby 34:10 back 11:1 24:20 27:10 31:5 32:4 background 3:25 Backstrom 2:13 39:12 40:7,9,10 40:11 bad 26:2 Bailey 2:10 30:2 32:9,13,17,18,19 balance 18:10 22:24 23:22 balancing 24:8 base 12:25 13:2,3,6 13:20 28:20.23 39:18 41:17 42:22 43:1 based 19:2 21:19,20 22:14,14,14 39:20 baseline 42:1 basic 37:17 basis 48:14 beach 31:22 beaches 40:21,23 bearing 24:7 beautiful 35:2,2 becoming 26:25 beginning 7:7 behalf 12:16 15:2 17:5 21:6 42:21 believe 12:19 13:15

17:16 21:22 22:1

22:5 25:2 29:4 31:11 believes 15:17 benefit 18:9 best 21:23 22:18 33:14 34:15 39:20 40:2 better 6:23 16:22 29:9 35:12 37:22 **Beware 30:18** beyond 4:24 28:1 big 8:13 33:23 35:14 44:21 biggest 34:17 bill 16:24 billion 28:21 bioaccumulate 20:23 bioaccumulates 36:19 bipartisan 9:22 block 23:4 blocks 38:9 blood 34:14 Bloomfield 34:12 35:20 blows 36:9 Board 31:7 body 15:9 19:3 20:23 bottled 26:6 38:17 38:21 39:6 bottling 38:18,22 39:3,10 brain 28:9 breathing 23:23 bring 9:6 12:11 33:25 38:12 broad 18:5 22:7 broken 12:23 24:8 brought 42:9,23 brunt 24:7 budgets 15:14 Bureau 48:24 buried 18:18 business 12:1 37:17 37:18 businesses 11:24 14.11 Buzhu 32:18

C-o-l-e 30:6

 $\mathbf{C}$ 

26:2 27:7 2
30:16 31:5
35:3,16,25
41:22 45:1
children 21:2
39:21,25
Chris 45:20
chronic 39:2
Chuck 2:8 2
24:13,14,1
circulation 7
cities 27:12,1
citizenry 25:
citizens 5:25
18:13 19:5
38:25 46:2
city 19:17 31
45:3 46:19
clarity 18:15
Clark 15:13
class 29:7 35
45:6
class-based 2
classifying 3
clean 23:13 3
31:14 35:1
40:19 42:2
cleaned 31:2
clear 23:20
clearly 43:16
close 9:10 38
40:15 49:1
closed 13:6 4
closing 2:16
47:6
co-advocates
coach 46:3
coating 23:1
coatings 23:
coffee 26:13
Cole 2:10 28
30:2,4,5,6,
32:10,10,1
collecting 37
college 23:18
color 24:4
colors 24:3
combating 1
combined 41
come 4:9 7:2
10:24 11:1
16:16 31:2
35:6,13 36

29:6 37:21 45:22 46:14 34:20 comes 14:21 15:10 36:1 15:14 30:15 47:20 0 coming 11:11,12 25 29:12 31:13 37:5 42:25 comment 7:15 9:10 22 10:20,21,22 11:8 1:1 15:1 21:9 30:8,19 5,15 38:14 40:5 45:4 7:3 45:21,22 46:14 14 48:1 49:17 :6 commentors 49:15 17:10 comments 4:2,4,5,7 5 30:11 6:15 8:3,5,10,14 24 8:19,21 9:1,2,4,6 1:12 9:9,12 12:9 17:6 21:21 23:7 32:6 38:14 41:7 42:3,9 5 44:5 47:1,3,22 5:25 48:3,4,6,13,16 49:6,6,7,14,15,19 22:2,21 49:20,22 7:11 commissioned 17:25 31:13 commitment 16:20 21:13 23:19 11,23 commitments 12:23 committee 9:17,19 25 9:20,21,23 10:3 6 45:22 13:24 48:12,17,21 8:6 48:21,25 6 commonly 5:6 49:25 communication 18:23 42:19 communities 15:25 s 27:6 17:20 18:13 20:11 38:21 39:5 41:20 7 42:18 17 community 5:14 46:21 13:9 19:25 30:21 8:13 42:11,13,16 .6 32:9 companies 26:8 1 44:16 34:21 35:15 36:2 7:9 36:3,5 37:5,6 8 39:10 46:23 company 25:16 Company's 23:9 6:13 compared 32:4 1:23,25 competitiveness 25 8:4 17:18 14,15 completed 7:22 2 32:13 20:20 35:6,13 36:12 complex 17:23

compliance 38:19 compounds 37:19 concern 44:21 46:5 concerned 12:3 18:9 25:7 27:5 46:9 concerning 15:2 concerns 13:9 21:17 21:18 27:9 38:20 41:7 concluded 50:1 conditions 16:4 conducted 7:18 conducting 15:11 confidence 17:16 conflict 14:25 connected 12:6 connection 28:8 Conservation 1:6 consider 6:3 9:3 49:2 consideration 21:23 48:3 49:19 considered 4:2 5:21 39:24 considers 19:7 consistency 18:16 consistently 39:5 consuming 16:7 contain 9:14 contains 9:5 contaminant 5:13 5:17 20:17,19 44:9 contaminants 11:25 30:12,14,24 32:2 41:24 42:1 contaminate 14:12 contaminated 28:18 28:20,21,21,22 31:21 40:17 46:10 contaminating 12:1 12:2 contamination 12:20,24 13:7,11 13:19 14:21 15:23 16:6,9 22:23 23:2 25:12 28:25 39:17 41:16 42:21 44:6 44:11 contaminations 46:6 CONTENTS 2:1 continue 14:8,12

15:15 continuing 16:11 contribute 17:24 contributes 17:12 conversations 11:2 convey 15:11 cooperation 47:23 Copies 7:7 copy 48:16 corner 17:8 corporate 23:23 46:24 Corporation 1:17 correctly 38:19 cost 18:9 19:4,4 26:4 costs 18:13 19:6,7 cottages 35:20 Council 21:5,8 counting 24:10 country 22:12 25:6 27:11 35:7 36:13 41:21 couple 11:22 29:24 30:1,22 40:17,22 47:18 course 15:19 18:17 31:4 47:23 cow 37:1 coworkers 23:10 created 12:21 13:8 creating 15:14 credible 19:8 creek 43:8 criminal 46:22 crisis 22:11 44:10 criteria 5:18 7:1 critical 38:13 39:9 current 6:5 21:23 22:22 currently 36:17 Cyndi 2:8 19:13 20:25 21:1,2,3,3

D-e-L-i-s-l-e 34:9 daily 15:24 damaged 27:1 Dan 31:11 dangerous 30:24 37:19 dangers 31:8 data 5:21





19:8 41:25

5:13

establishment 5:12

date 12:25
Dave 1:13 2:7 3:13
4:8,9 6:13 16:16
16:25 17:1,2,3,3
49:9
Dave's 30:1
David 2:5 11:15
12:11,11,12,14,15
12:15
day 33:24
days 10:12 49:2
deal 8:13
dealing 12:18
dealt 29:10
dec- 12:21
decade 29:12
decades 12:21 29:10
December 7:5,7
decide 10:21
decision 10:5 30:13
decreased 13:10
deem 5:3
deer 16:2 28:21
Defense 12:21 13:4
13:14,18 14:5
21:5,8 36:23
definitely 28:9 35:5
DeGrandchamp
44:8
Delaney 44:8
DeLisle 2:11,11
32:14,15 34:4,4,5
34:7,8,8,9 36:17
37:14,15,16
deliver 4:13
Department 1:2,10
3:4,5,15 6:16,20
9:2 12:21 13:4,14
13:18 14:5,23
15.10 17.0,20
15:13 16:12 21:9
33:6
Department's 9:18
depending 36:11
DEQ 19:23 42:24
42:24 44:12
describe 4:1,4
designated 25:24
designed 42:24
despite 23:19
detailed 21:20
details 48:6,7 49:21
1
49:22
detect 22:6

detected 31:6 45:7 determined 28:7 devastating 16:3 develop 15:13,13 developed 41:5 developing 18:8 21:25 40:23 development 19:21 23:10 Devil 36:24 died 46:22 different 34:20 difficult 12:19 direction 41:19 directly 8:1 director 3:11 14:19 15:13 17:4 26:20 46:4 discarded 37:10 discuss 15:22 discussed 13:16 discussing 4:23 discussion 8:20 discussions 17:19 Disease 5:3 22:16 disorder 27:3 disposal 19:6 district 14:20 diverted 13:1 **Division 3:12 4:12** documentary 36:22 dog 41:2 doing 24:20 25:22 30:21 36:6 37:6 38:12 41:4.8 dollars 36:5,6 downhill 32:24 Dr 44:8 draft 6:21 9:13,15 48:11,19 drafting 5:20 drag 13:21 draw 38:24 drilled 19:24 drink 15:25 24:9 35:10 38:17,21 39:6 40:19 42:2 drinking 3:12 4:11 4:14,15,19 5:10

5:19 6:8,24 15:3

20:12 22:3,13,25

23:24 31:15 43:11

17:15,16 19:9

46:7 Drive 1:6 drives 17:11 drove 34:12 due 14:25 dump 23:11 DuPont 36:25 dying 34:24

E e-9:7 E-l-y-s-s-a 46:2 e-mail 6:9 45:17 47:14.16 eagle 33:7 34:1 earlier 38:19 early 28:17 earth 36:7 easy 3:24 eat 16:1 eating 23:24 economic 17:11,17 economy 17:12 educate 23:10 education 31:7 42:19 **EERC 13:24** effect 10:12 22:20 effectively 15:15 effects 20:16,21 26:24 effort 25:22 26:14 efforts 15:8 22:11 23:3 24:11 38:1 **EGLE** 1:13 3:14 4:12 5:20 6:3 7:6 7:9 8:21 9:15 10:5 10:6,6,8,9 12:17 13:23 18:19 19:7 22:16,20,24 27:24 29:9,11 30:13,13 30:15 31:1 32:5 33:5,9,17 42:12 43:20 44:12,16 EGLE's 3:13 5:6,9 6:7 48:14 EGLE-PFAS-Rul... 48:5 49:21 either 5:16 25:15 45:18 47:8 elder 32:20 elderly 34:10 39:22

Electronic 1:16 Elyssa 2:14 45:25 46:1,1 emergency 22:17,18 emerging 20:19 emphasize 18:6 employ 17:9 employers 18:14 19:4 encountered 27:16 encourage 18:19 27:23 28:2 38:23 39:8 43:22 ended 8:15 26:9 ends 48:2 49:18 energy 1:2 3:4 14:24 21:10 33:12 enforce 14:2 enforceable 5:5,7,10 enforcing 44:17 engaged 17:19 47:13 engineer 23:8,16 enormous 32:1 ensure 7:17 18:11 20:12 21:24 24:9 40:3 41:20 ensuring 4:12 42:2 entire 3:18 entirely 40:21 entities 43:2 environment 1:2.10 3:4 5:8 14:24 21:9 27:7,13 33:12 36:7 environmental 3:12 4:11,25 5:24 9:17 10:3 17:4 23:14 23:21 27:25 35:3 42:20 44:11 48:11 48:17 environments 33:15 EPA 12:7 Eric 1:14 3:11,13 49:9 Erie 31:18,21 especially 24:10 29:5,10 33:17 Establi- 41:25 establish 5:9 18:12 established 4:25

18:7

establishing 6:24

Etten 41:3 43:5 46:8 evening 3:3 4:11 14:17 17:3,7,13 19:11 24:15 event 30:22 events 40:16 everybody 7:14 10:19 11:1,6 20:12 24:18,21 37:16 45:18 everybody's 38:12 evidence 26:20 36:19,21 evolving 19:1 44:11 exceed 5:17 exclusive 17:18 exempting 38:18 expect 48:19 expensive 25:10 26:6 27:22 experience 23:8 40:13,14 41:1 44:1 explain 6:15 explaining 10:5 explanation 9:14 export 38:25 exposed 41:3 exposure 16:13 22:3 39:23 exposures 22:4 express 15:5 extensive 22:23 extremely 13:15 27:5 F facing 16:5 44:10 fact 20:18 27:19 fair 7:18 8:7





39:25

MICHIGAN DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENT,
feasible 20:7 February 48:20 fed 30:23 federal 4:24 14:11
filed 10:11,12 fill 7:13,14 10:19
filter 37:9
filtering 25:10
filtration 35:18 final 9:16 23:14
48:10,22
finalizing 6:4 9:3
finally 5:18
financial 26:3
financially 26:8 find 16:8 18:23
27:14 29:18
finding 10:7 46:7
findings 18:5 fine 33:7
fire 30:25 31:2
Firm 1:17
first 3:24 11:8,11
15:8 18:6,20 24:18 26:2,10
30:1,8 44:7 48:11
fish 13:11 16:1
28:18,20 fishing 32:1
five 9:23,23 11:4
26:23 35:25
flowing 43:4,9
flows 28:24 36:8 foam 31:3 40:20
43:16,23 44:1,2
focus 15:16 18:10
focused 19:19,22 20:8
focusing 22:8
folks 47:1
follow 6:17 7:18
10:12 following 4:2 5:12
9:10 48:13

REAT LAKES, AND ENERGY, PUBLIC H
food 36:9
football 40:17
footnotes 22:15
Force 12:22,22,25
13:6,8,14,20,21
28:19,23 31:2
39:18 42:22 43:1
Ford 23:9
forest 30:25
forever 31:5 36:20
forgive 44:14
forgot 32:11
forgotten 20:8
formal 10:18
former 39:18 41:17
42:22,25
formulas 34:22
forth 6:17 11:2
16:22
forward 9:21 16:11
18:19 19:11 23:14
forwarded 48:25
found 5:6 17:8
28:16 46:8
foundation 18:8
fountains 31:15
four 8:9 11:16 43:2
45:23
freshwater 34:18
Friday 9:4 48:2
49:18
front 29:20,25
full 49:1
fully 19:3 22:2
29:11
functions 37:22
fund 16:22
funding 13:2 33:21
further 16:22 28:10
future 36:12 38:13
44:10
77,10
G
G- 17:3
gallons 29:1 38:24
game 39:3
games 40:17
gaps 18:24
general 7:3,21
General's 13:25
generations 36:12
Corman 38:4

German 38:4 getting 20:6 35:19

ARING
39:6
give 6:19 8:11 15:1
32:12 42:17
given 7:12 8:9 22:23
gives 6:2
giving 11:4 31:13
go 3:23 4:24 6:14
7:19 10:21 21:21 32:7,16,24 33:14
32:7,16,24 33:14
33:23 35:18 37:14
40:12 41:11 45:23
47:19 48:11,22
goal 18:17
goals 17:18
God 25:19
going 3:19 6:14 7:18
8:25 10:13 11:9
11:10,15 20:3,12
24:21 31:16,24,25
32:14 33:14,20,23
34:13 35:8,11,22
36:13,15 37:8,10
39:4 40:22 43:14
44:15,16,25 46:11
47:3,5,6,8,9,12
49:4,16
good 3:3 4:10 14:17
17:3 24:15 26:23
38:21,22 39:4,6
Gordon 41:3
gotten 34:22
government 13:4
14:11 15:21 24:19
25:15 26:9 32:22
46:23
Governor 10:7,7,9
13:24 21:11
grabbed 3:23
Grand 7:4
graphic 43:4,25
44:4
gratitude 15:5
great 1:2,10 3:4
12:6 14:24 17:13
21:9 27:10 33:1 33:10,12 45:7
33:10,12 45:7 46:9
greatest 34:18
greatly 13:12
Greco 2:7 16:16
17:1,2,3,3
green 24:1
Greg 2:10 28:13
G. VE A. 10 20,13

	30:2,5,6,6 32:8,9 32:9,10,10 44:15
	ground 14:21 31:4 43:8
	groundwater 28:24
	group 5:23 8:6 21:18 26:21 30:7
	30:19 31:6 42:11
	42:16
	groups 6:1
	grows 19:3 guideline 14:9
	guidelines 13:16
	14:2,4,6
	guilty 12:1
	guinea 36:18 guys 38:1
	guya 50.1
	Н
	H-i-n-e-s 16:18
	half 35:13 37:5 Hampshire 14:3
	40:3
	hand 8:4
	handouts 6:10
	Hank 2:10 30:2 32:9,13,13,17,18
	32:19
	happen 4:4 37:8
	47:9
	happened 22:21 happening 24:6
	43:23
	happens 24:17
	26:12 hard 32:5 43:21
	hardworking 36:4
	harm 24:9
	Harrison 11:20
	hazard 29:15 health 3:5,12,15
	4:11 5:1,2,7,25
	6:23 13:9 16:4,8
	17:17 20:21 21:19
	22:18 23:1,4 24:6 29:14,16 39:23
	40:4 41:20 42:20
	44:9
	healthier 27:13
	healthy 29:17 hear 4:5 29:21
-	heard 3:13 33:19
-	38:19 40:22

	****
ĺ	
	hearing 1:4 3:6,10
	3:19,22 4:1,5,17
	6:2,12,19 7:2,8,17
	8:18,19,22 9:1
	18:22 46:19 47:6
	48:10 49:5,14,25
	hearings 3:9 6:7
	7:10 9:25 10:14
	47:14 48:15,24
	heart 32:7
	Heights 24:2
	held 23:9 35:15 36:2
	37:6
	Hello 19:15 26:19
	46:1
	help 12:4,7 25:22
	26:14 33:24 46:20
	47:12,17,20
	helped 31:2
	helps 41:8 47:15
	Hi 34:5 37:16 40:10
	42:7
	hiding 12:25
	high 5:4 30:23,25
	31:7,9,12,15
	40:12,18 46:4
	higher 45:8,8
	highly 22:5,9 31:23
	Hines 2:6 14:15
	16:16,17,18,18
	hold 9:25 13:18 26:7
	44:16
	holding 30:18 38:10
	holds 48:17
	Holmes 1:16
	home 10:21 27:10
	28:19
	homeowner 25:13
	25:23
	homeowners 20:10 homes 16:3
	hope 18:22 22:20
	30:10,14 33:10
ļ	36:21 37:25 41:7
	hopefully 3:22
	19:20 42:5
	hoping 49:3
	horrifying 16:4
	hotspot 25:25
	Houghton 16:19
	hour 29:1
	hours 21:12 26:13
	house 9:23

10:16 43:7

households 19:24	indicating 8:1,8	9:4,11 10:20,22	laboratory 4:21 7:1	Lillian 2:13 40:7
human 3:5,15 5:7	38:10 43:5,10	48:2,4 49:18,20	lack 5:5	41:11,11,12,13,14
29:14 37:21 44:9	individual 14:7 25:8	Jason 2:12 37:14	lake 16:19 28:25	41:14
hundred 40:21	25:13,22	38:3,4,7,8,8	31:18,21 40:24	limit 41:23
hundreds 43:11	individual's 25:12	JCAR 9:22,22 10:8	41:3 43:5,5,10,10	limited 5:23 8:7
44:19	individuals 15:24	10:10 49:1,1	43:15,23 46:8	limits 15:2,18 29:4,8
hunt 16:2	16:6,7 22:2	Jersey 14:3	lakes 1:2 3:4 12:6	35:6 40:3 41:18
Huron 28:25 31:19	Induced 44:9	Jesse 2:6 12:12	14:24 16:1 21:10	41:24
31:19,20 40:24	industries 13:18	14:15,15,16,17,17	33:1,12 34:18	links 6:8
43:10,10,15,23	22:24	Jim 3:9 4:10 6:12	45:7 46:9	list 13:7
husbands 35:2	industry 5:24 23:1	job 33:21,23,25	land 14:12 32:22,25	listed 37:12
Indominatio 5512	25:15,17 29:18	jobs 35:2	Lansing 1:11	listen 8:19 11:2,3
I	infants 21:25	John 2:6,11,15	large 17:9 23:13	listening 4:6
idea 33:5	infertility 34:25	14:15 16:16,16,17	28:8	literally 28:4 35:16
Identification 48:13	information 3:25	16:18,18 34:4	larger 27:12	litigation 19:20
identified 15:22	4:8 6:3,20 9:2	37:14,15,16 46:17	largest 17:11	little 8:11 12:25
17:22 18:22	18:4,24 36:25	46:18,18	lastly 26:11	live 15:24 20:11
identify 8:5	47:8,14	joining 49:10	late 29:12	34:15,16 35:19
identifying 15:10	ingenuity 37:21	Joint 9:21 48:25	laud 21:11	36:25 39:16 41:15
19:5 44:1	injured 26:22	Journal 7:4	law 13:17 14:4	46:18
ill 34:11	input 6:22		laws 23:14	lived 31:22
illness 39:22	install 22:7	K	lead 33:16	lives 15:23
image 43:9,14	instructions 9:5	keep 11:6,7 32:12	Ieader 15:10 19:8	living 27:11
images 43:1	intended 6:23	47:12,13,15,17	leadership 22:10	Liz 2:11 32:14,15
Immediate 30:16	interdepar- 15:8	keeping 23:19	leading 18:1 41:21	34:3,5,7,8,8 36:17
immediately 22:20	interdepartmental	key 18:21 41:19	Leady 2:8 21:1	lobby 8:23
46:6	15:9	kid 31:18	24:13,14,15,16	lobbying 23:3
immune 27:3	interest 17:13 23:23	kids 31:13,15 35:3	learn 14:2,2 48:6	local 15:20 17:20
impact 7:8 25:3	47:24	Kildee 31:11	49:22	18:13
impacts 39:23,24	interested 6:19	killed 37:1	leave 30:13 39:8	locally 16:2
impairs 5:6	interrupt 8:17	killing 34:23	45:14 47:16	located 32:21
implementing 18:15	introduce 3:11	kind 15:8 25:7 35:9	led 27:3	locations 6:9
important 13:15,22	introduced 11:23	know 13:7 21:14,16	left 8:10,12	logistics 20:5
15:18 16:21 29:15	invasive 33:1	24:5 25:9,16	legal 13:25 18:18	logo 24:4
33:3	investigate 13:25	26:14,22 27:5,7	32:20	long 31:12 46:10
inadequate 21:22	invited 31:7	27:15,15,21,22	legally 26:8	long-term 20:16,18
inadvertent 25:15	issue 13:22 15:7,15	28:9 31:21 33:6	legislation 11:23	20:20 36:21 42:20
inadvertently 18:12	22:17 26:15 28:2	33:20 34:13 35:18	legislative 9:23,24	longer 13:22
include 5:12 48:12	34:11,15 35:14	35:22,24 36:2,24	14:19 48:23 legislators 17:20	look 19:11 24:3 25:5 27:25 28:2 33:13
included 29:7 48:2	issues 10:6 16:8	37:8,19,23,25,25 39:18,19 41:17,17	length 3:18	33:22
49:18	20:21 22:19	43:22 45:5,6,11	lengths 27:10	looked 19:20 27:24
includes 14:20	it'll 47:19 items 19:7	49:5	let's 11:7 18:20	looking 11:4 20:16
including 5:23 21:7 22:4	Items 19.7	knowing 20:22	47:20	28:10 35:7 45:6
Incorporated 19:17	J	known 14:20 15:6	letting 41:6	46:21
increase 20:15	J-a-n-e-c-z-k-o	28:17	level 5:1,4,13,17	looks 16:11
increased 13:9	11:20	knows 31:1 43:20	20:17,17,22,23	loophole 39:8
increasingly 26:25	J-e-s-s-e 14:18	1000000111 10120	30:10 44:22 45:8	lot 32:24 35:1,7
independent 17:25	J-o-h-n 46:19	L	levels 35:9 37:4 45:8	38:20 39:5 40:15
indicate 7:15 8:10	JAMES 1:10	L-e-a-d-y 24:16	liability 23:5	40:20
8:15	Janeczko 2:5 11:12	L-i-l-l-i-a-n 41:15	life 17:12	lots 44:1
indicated 7:20	11:12,13,18,19,20	L-i-z 34:8	lifetime 5:1	lower 13:9 14:6 29:5
10:16.43:7	January 1:73:275	Jahoratories 5:19	Lilli- 40:7	35.941.5



35:9 41:5

laboratories 5:19

Lilli- 40:7

January 1:7 3:2 7:5

	l	1 .	1	
lowest 30:10	21:6,7 42:13	46:18,18	47:18	12:10 16:25,25
lymphoma 23:16	mentioned 29:6	Motor 23:9	needed 29:21	19:12 20:25 26:16
	43:17 44:21 48:1	Mouillee 31:20	needs 45:9	28:12 32:8,8,13
M	49:17	mouth 31:19,19	negative 39:23	34:3,6 37:13 38:2
M 1:16	met 15:19 32:6	move 9:21 18:19	Network 1:17	38:6 39:11 40:6
M-o-r-r-i-s 46:19	Michigan 1:1,2,6,11	27:10	never 31:24	41:14 45:18,24
ma'am 45:15,22	3:1,3,5,15 4:14	moving 21:14	new 13:16 14:2,3,3	46:13,25,25 49:13
ma- 7:20	5:21 6:6 7:6,10	MPART 5:22 15:7	19:21,24 40:3	old 33:23
Madison 24:1	10:13 11:21 12:5	21:14,15,18 31:6	News 7:3	omission 22:5
mail 9:8	13:17,20 14:1,10	MPART's 5:22	newspapers 7:3	on-deck 11:10,11
mailbox 9:8	14:21 15:6,9,15	multiple 22:4	nice 27:20 43:3	one-minute 8:11
main 18:6	17:5,10 18:11	municipal 19:5,22	non-community	ongoing 19:6
major 23:14 46:5	19:8,18,23 20:1	20:4 24:24,25	11:21,24	online 10:22 21:6
majority 4:6 28:3,5	21:4,7,9 22:13,24	29:2 37:9 43:13	non-detect 43:19	onward 10:8
making 6:21 9:15	24:3 26:20 27:9	43:18	noncommunity 5:15	ooze 24:1
36:23 48:14	30:11 32:21 33:6	municipalities 38:22	nonprotective 5:4	oozing 24:3
man 43:17	33:9,18 34:15	municipality 20:6	nontransient 5:14	open 8:20 39:8
manage 38:13	35:8,21 36:11	35:18	north 35:20	48:18
mandated 14:6	38:16,20 39:2,17	municipals 20:9	northern 27:9	operating 19:7
manner 7:18	41:8,16,20,21	mutually 17:18	Notable 5:11	opinion 12:23 24:19
manufacturers 17:5	42:13 43:12 44:17	mysterious 43:20	notice 7:2,8 10:5	opportunity 3:11
17:8	45:9 46:12 48:24		noticed 24:23	6:2,20 7:12 8:8,18
Manufacturing	Michigan's 4:12	N	noticing 40:20	12:17 17:6,21
17:10	12:8 17:11 21:19	name 3:9 7:25 8:5	notification 4:20	19:10 21:8 24:9
map 42:24	21:24 40:1 44:9	10:25 11:19 12:15	5:16 6:25	40:4 42:3
March 22:14 48:20	44:10	14:17 21:3 24:15	notion 18:7	opposed 9:13 10:10
Mark 2:5 11:12,13	Michiganders 16:5	26:19 32:19 33:5	NRGC 21:16	order 6:16 7:21
11:15,15,18,19,19	16:13 42:2	33:9,17 34:8 38:8	NTP 28:6	13:17 14:1 48:2
Marquette 7:4	microphone 8:1,2	39:13,15 40:10	number 1:17 30:11	49:18
materials 8:3	miles 19:18	41:14,15 42:5	38:11	organization 17:14
matter 13:13 17:12	million 19:24 21:6	45:23 46:1,15,18	numbers 35:13	27:25
maximum 5:13,17	28:7,25	names 10:16 21:10	44:23	organizations 5:24
20:17	millions 29:1 36:6	nation 33:8	numerous 5:23	organized 42:17
MCL 13:16 14:2,4,6	38:24	nation's 22:12	nursing 22:1	originally 13:2
22:6 30:12	mine 46:6	national 12:5 28:6		Oscoda 12:16,18
MCLs 14:8 16:10	Mining 7:4	46:19	0	13:12 14:20 15:21
21:11,20 22:21	minute 8:10,12	native 33:2,18	o'clock 47:2 48:3	28:16,16 30:7,21
29:11 30:17	36:16	natural 14:23 21:5	49:7,19	30:23 39:17 40:12
mean 20:16 25:18	minutes 8:9 11:4,16	21:7 33:6	O-s-m-e-r 14:18	40:12,12 41:16
34:16 35:9 36:10	45:24	Nature 44:11	O-v-e-r-h-e-u-l	42:17,23 43:15
37:1	mirror 33:14,22	near 23:3	19:16	46:4,9
meaningfully 17:24	mission 42:12,16,18	nearby 39:1 43:8	object 10:1	Osmer 2:6 12:12
means 18:15	mixtures 22:4	nearly 17:7,20	objection 10:5	14:15,16,17,18
mechanical 23:8	MMA 17:5,7,25	19:23	obviously 34:16	osmosis 25:9
meegwetch 34:2	18:9 29:15	necessarily 20:4	occur 43:22 44:15	Ostrowski 1:10 2:3
meet 18:17 26:12	modification 10:4	29:8	offered 15:4	2:16 3:3,9 6:13
meeting 15:17 38:11	moms 22:1	necessary 15:18	Office 6:6 7:10	11:14 12:10 14:14
48:20	monies 16:22 33:25	29:3	10:14 13:25 48:24	16:15,25 19:12
meetings 15:20	monitoring 5:10,18	need 8:15 12:16	officer 3:10,14	20:25 24:12 26:16
48:18	monster 46:22	14:1 18:14 25:5	officials 5:2	28:12 29:19,23
meets 4:13	month 18:2 48:19	26:5 30:7 33:25	oh 12:11 30:1,3	32:8,11,16 34:3,6
member 30:6	morning 33:13	35:6,8,9,15 37:2	32:11 45:16	36:16 37:13 38:2
members 9:24 17:14	Morris 2:15 46:17	37:20 41:19 42:11	okay 10:3 11:6,9,19	38:6 39:11 40:6
	]	]		
				Paga 6

MICHIGAN DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENT, GR	EAT LAKES, AND ENERGY, PUBLIC H
41:10 42:4 45:13	49:17
45:17 46:13,25	permission 23:21,21
49:13	permits 7:22 23:21
Oswald 1:14 3:11	person 8:8 11:8,10
outline 4:3	11:11 34:11
outreach 1:10 26:20	personal 23:8 25:3
outside 3:17	30:19 37:23 41:1
overcome 31:17	Personally 34:13
32:2	pertain 4:19
Overheul 2:7 17:1	PFAS 5:6,11,11,13
19:13,14,15,15,16	5:19,22 6:24,24
oversight 9:18,24	6:25,25 12:20,24
overview 48:23	13:3,19 14:21
owners 37:18	15:3,6,22 16:13
OWINCIS 57,10	16:23 18:1 19:1
P	20:15,19 21:11
P-F-A-S 20:15	22:3,4,6,6,8,8,11
P-F-O-S 20:15	22:13,23 23:24,25
p.m 1:7 3:2 48:4	27:7,8 28:17,20
49:20 50:1	28:23,24 29:6,13
package 49:2	30:12,15 31:4,6,8
page 2:2 44:22,24	32:2 34:20 36:8
page 2.2 44.22,24 paint 23:11	37:10 39:17,19,24
PANEL 1:13	39:24 40:1,14,22
paramount 17:15	40:23,25 41:1,3,4
part 8:25 9:2 17:21	41:16,18,22 42:1
18:22 19:11 23:13	42:14 43:7,16,19
30:14	43:20 44:2,7,20
participating 6:12	45:6,7 46:6,7
partly 35:4	PFAS-related 19:9
partners 44:6	PFECHS 29:7 45:6
partnership 3:5	PFHXS 29:5 44:21
parts 4:19 14:9	PFOA 5:2,5 45:8
28:20	PFOS 5:1,5 45:8
passed 13:17 23:15	phone 11:4 26:24
pay 11:23 12:3 36:5	picture 43:25
paying 36:4 45:11	pictures 43:3
peer-review 17:25	pigs 36:18
people 12:3 20:7,11	place 26:2,10 33:5
21:15 24:21 26:2	37:24
28:3,22 29:17	plan 35:17
33:4,11,18,19,24	planning 14:7
34:23,24,24 35:1	plans 42:21
35:7 36:4,25	plant 16:20 23:9,16
38:20 39:9 43:12	23:16,18
30,20 37,7 43,12	23.10,10

44:25 45:20 49:6

percent 20:3 26:23

perform 5:17 37:22

percentage 28:8

performed 15:6

period 9:10 48:1

people's 29:16

40:21

plumes 42:25 43:4 plus 35:25 36:1 pocketbooks 24:7 29:16 point 14:8 31:20 39:1.10 points 18:6 21:22 37:17 poison 46:20,24 pol-23:21 policy 21:5 pollutants 23:20 pollute 23:22 polluted 27:17 31:23 37:1 polluter 11:22,23 polluters 23:23 polluting 35:15 pollution 23:6 39:5 pool 30:23 31:14 population 26:23 32:1,1 populations 21:25 24:10 39:20,25 possible 6:21 30:10 possibly 25:9 posted 7:6 potential 26:1 27:16 potentially 27:8 28:22 power 24:25 practices 40:18 pregnant 22:1 39:21 prepare 9:12 preparing 48:10 present 8:3 presentation 24:23 28:11 Press 7:4 pretty 3:24,24 35:12 previously 48:1 49:17 primarily 27:13 printed 48:7 49:23 priority 13:6 private 13:18 14:11 27:10 44:19,19,22 44:25 45:1 probably 25:13 29:12 problem 17:23 25:11,23 26:7 29:11 33:21 36:10

plants 38:18,22 39:3

please 7:25 8:3,5,13

8:16,17 10:24

47:11,15 48:4

29:20 46:15,16

played 22:10

49:20

plenty 36:24

problematic 22:5,9 problems 27:15 procedures 4:3 6:17 6:18 proceed 10:8 Proceedings 50:1 process 6:15 8:19 9:25 10:13 11:1 13:1 17:25 18:3 18:22 19:21 44:14 48:7,9 49:23 produce 22:20 producing 25:17 professional 23:10 professionals 5:25 program 11:21,24 28:7 programs 46:5 progress 12:25 18:18 projector 47:19 promises 12:23 promulgated 49:3 promulgation 6:8 pronouncing 11:12 38:3,5 proper 33:21 Properly 19:4 property 13:10 proposal 22:22 proposed 3:7 4:17 4:22 5:9,20 6:5,19 6:22 7:9 8:20 9:3 9:16 15:2,16 17:7 18:1,14 21:11,17 21:20 22:25 41:18 44:22 47:24 proposing 34:19 35:6 39:7 protect 5:7 6:23 17:17 21:24 22:2 22:18 23:5 30:11 33:11,15 41:20 protected 45:1,3 protecting 23:1 **Protection 4:25** protective 23:5 39:19 40:3 proven 34:21 provide 4:8 6:3,3,20 8:18 9:24 17:6 18:15 25:25 provided 26:2

provides 9:17 providing 18:3 proving 23:4 provisions 4:21 public 1:4,5 3:6,8,10 4:13,15,17,18,20 5:15,25 6:6,12,22 6:23,25 7:8 9:10 17:14,15,17 18:22 19:25 22:18 23:1 40:4 48:1,10,18 49:17 publication 5:15 publicized 28:5 publicly 28:17 published 7:2,5 pumped 43:8 pure 19:16 35:10 39:2 46:12,12 purpose 4:1 6:18 8:17 put 16:22 21:13 25:9,19 28:7 31:2 37:9,24 41:2 43:8 46:20 putting 24:18,24 26:9 30:12 35:16

Q quality 17:12 39:7 question 12:4 20:2 29:15 45:1,4 questions 3:16,21 8:20,22,23 11:22 12:8 18:24 19:19 32:7 49:8 quickly 15:9 21:14 44:15

R r-e-c-o 17:4 R-o-p-e-r 21:4 R-u-e-m-e-n-a-p-p 39:16 Rachel 2:9 24:13 26:16,17,18,19,19 radar 45:11 raised 21:17 31:18 range 22:7 Rapids 7:4 rate 27:14 28:25 30:17 rates 34:25

Page 7



rationale 48:14
re-open 49:7
react 27:4
read 30:8 38:3 47:6
47:8,21 49:16
ready 18:15
real 18:18
realize 23:22 41:4
really 11:1 25:2,21
27:22 32:5 38:11
41:7,8 43:4,22
reason 37:20
receive 6:22 8:18
received 6:11 31:12
48:3 49:19
recognize 14:6
18:25
· ·
recognized 14:10
15:10
recommendations
5:21
recommends 30:13
record 49:12
recorded 1:16 9:1
Recorder 1:16
recording 4:7
recreate 35:21,21
reduced 14:4,8
regard 27:7
regarding 15:16
27:19
Register 7:6
Registration 1:17
Registry 5:3 22:16
regulate 19:1 20:10
38:23
regulated 34:22
36:1 37:7,18 39:7
regulating 24:24
regulation 18:14
regulations 4:24
22:2 34:23 36:3
37:24
regulators 17:20
regulatory 3:13 7:8
17:4 18:8
reject 9:20
rejects 10:4
relationships 15:12
released 21:19
22:13 23:4
reliable 42:18
relief 26:3

rely 18:7 remain 18:24 remaining 30:15 remark 47:10 remediate 12:24 13:19 remediating 13:3 remediation 19:6 remediations 13:7 remember 29:24 remind 10:19.25 remove 22:7 rental 13:10 reopening 49:13,14 rep- 33:7 report 9:12,16 17:25 18:2,5,23 22:13,14,16 44:9 44:24 48:10,12,22 reported 44:7 reporting 1:17 24:20 represent 8:6 24:16 27:6 Representative 14:19 15:17 16:10 26:12 representatives 5:25 31:10 representing 34:9 34:10 represents 17:7,10 33:8 request 7:11,14,14 32:5 require 12:2 required 5:18 requirements 4:14 5:11,14,16 6:25 6:25 requiring 22:6 research 40:2 researchers 18:1 reside 27:9 38:9 residents 15:20 19:18 resolve 10:6 18:20 26:14 resource 3:16 16:21 42:19 resources 13:2 14:13,23 21:5,8 33:6

respect 12:20 47:23 respectful 8:16 responding 8:21 Response 5:22 15:6 responsibility 23:2 33:10,16 34:1,19 responsible 4:12 24:20 responsibly 37:10 rest 25:5 44:6 result 16:5,9 18:12 resulted 13:8 48:16 resulting 23:2 retrofitting 19:6 revenue 13:13 reverse 25:9 review 9:11,17 48:12,17 revisions 3:7 4:17 4:19,23 5:9,12,20 6:4,5 revisit 19:2 Richard 44:8 rid 33:12 right 3:17 4:10 6:13 7:24 8:1,24 10:15 10:17 11:11,14 12:10 14:14 16:15 16:15 18:20 19:12 23:24 24:12 29:23 29:23 30:3,3 32:16 34:4 35:7 37:13 38:2,10 39:11 40:6 41:10 41:10,19 42:4,4,5 45:11,13 47:1 49:4 rights 32:20 risk 31:23 river 23:9,11 28:24 31:19,20,20 43:6 43:6,9 46:8 road 16:8 Robert 44:8 role 22:10 room 3:17 30:1 49:15 root 18:25 Roper 2:8 19:13 20:25 21:2,3,4 Roscommon 1:6 3:1 Rouge 23:9,11 round 48:23

rude 11:5 Ruemenapp 2:12 39:12,14,15,16 ruining 36:6 rule 3:7,7 5:9,11 6:5 6:8 48:14,16 49:2 rulemaking 6:15 9:18,22,25 10:13 17:24 18:3 29:3 48:7,9 49:1,23 rules 1:5 4:16,18,23 6:4,16,19,21 7:9 7:10 8:21 9:3,13 9:15,16,17,20,21 9:25 10:2,3,8,9,10 15:3,16 16:11 17:7 18:1,14,15 18:25 19:2 21:14 22:17,18 47:24 48:11,11,15,17,20 48:22,25 49:3 Rules' 6:7 10:14 rumors 40:22 running 33:20 rural 27:12 S

S-c-h-e-l-141:15 S-e-a-n 19:16 S-t-e-w-a-r-d 46:2 Sable 28:24 42:17 43:6,9,15 46:8 safe 4:15 19:9 20:12 23:4 34:13 42:2 safety 17:14,16 sample 11:24 40:24 40:24 43:19,21 44:3 samples 43:17 44:20 sampling 4:20 5:14 6:24 12:3 43:22 saw 3:17 saying 40:25 44:16 says 36:24 scales 24:8 schedule 15:1 Schell 2:13 40:8 41:11,13,14,15 Schnell 40:8 school 24:25 30:23 30:25 31:8,9,12 31:15 40:18 46:4

school's 40:14

Schools 40:13 science 5:22 18:8,25 19:1,2,3 21:18,23 22:14 30:15 39:21 scientific 18:3,21 sealants 23:17 Sean 2:7 17:1 19:13 19:13,14,15,15 second 18:9,25 28:18 37:23 44:21 second-most 28:20 Secretary 10:11 sector 13:18 17:11 see 6:5 9:7 15:24 16:22,23 25:21 35:8 36:13,14 43:4 44:23 47:20 49:14 seeing 24:5 seen 18:16 24:1 32:24 36:22 40:20 sell 16:3 38:25 selling 39:1,10 senate 9:23 send 9:6,8 48:6 49:22 senior 21:4 sense 27:2 39:4 sensitivity 27:2 sent 9:16 September 43:16 serious 15:7 21:16 34:11,14,24 36:10 36:10 seriously 38:15 serve 14:18 serves 14:22 service 30:21 **Services 3:6.15** 48:23 session 49:2 sessions 23:10.12 set 3:7 4:14 6:17 12:7 20:9,17,22 29:4,9 30:10 41:23 42:1 setting 15:18 41:21 settled 18:7,25 19:2 19:3 seven 5:13 10:12 15:2 34:20 35:25 37:11



share 40:4,13 41:7



40 0 10 11 40 10
42:3,10,11 43:1,3 43:14 47:13
43:14 47:13 sharing 41:6 44:6
sheet 47:11
Sherwin-Williams
24:4·
shores 43:15
shortcomings 18:21
show 20:20 29:14
30:24
shown 7:13 43:19
shows 29:14 42:25
sick 34:25
sign 8:12 47:11,15
sign-in 47:11
significant 18:13
signs 31:22
silence 47:5
single 36:22
sir 14:14 30:3 34:3
46:14
sit 47:4
site 6:7,8 7:11 10:14
sites 15:10 23:25
24:2,3
situation 12:19
skin 39:3
slips 23:22
small 17:9 35:12
smaller 41:24
so-called 13:1
soccer 40:18
soil 36:10
solution 17:22 19:11
solutions 22:11
solves 26:7
somebody's 46:21
sorry 15:9 32:11
39:13 40:12 41:25
sort 27:3,20 35:11
source 43:11,12
sources 27:14
sparsely 23:12
speak 7:21 8:1,8,9 11:3 12:17 19:10
25:25 31:16 32:19
speaker 8:17
spearheading 26:14 species 33:1,2
specifically 27:8
specifically 27.8 spell 8:5 10:25
45:23 46:15
spelled 24:16 39:16
ородон 27,10 33,10

EAT LAKES, AND ENERGY, PUBLIC HE
40:11 41:15
spend 4:6
spoke 15:20
spoken 27:19
sporting 40:16
square 19:18
Sr 2:5 11:15 12:14
12:15
staff 3:14 8:21,22
45:19 49:8
stakeholder 9:18
stakeholders 5:23
stalling 18:18
stand 14:10
standard 12:5,7
20:9 35:12 42:1
standards 4:20 5:5
5:10 6:24 18:9,12
19:9,21 21:17,24
22:17,25 23:5
38:24 39:19,24
40:1 41:22 44:17
standpoint 37:23
started 11:7
state 1:1 8:5 10:11
10:24 13:20 14:1
14:4,6,7,10,12,19
16:14,21 17:9,16
17:19 18:7,23
20:1 23:25 24:3
25:6,15 26:1
30:16 31:5,13
33:9,18 34:15
35:21 36:11,12
38:25,25 41:18,23
42:13 44:16 45:9
45:23 46:14
state's 4:13 17:11
state-specific 4:23
statement 2:3,4,5,5
2:6,6,7,7,8,8,9,9
2:10,10,11,11,12
2:12,13,13,14,14
2:15,16 7:8,17,23
8:2 10:17,18
49:16
statements 44:5
47:7
states 12:6 14:3
18:16 22:12 38:17
39:1
stay 47:3,9
1 . 44 46 18 10

step 11:16 15:18

41:18
step-by-step 10:13
steps 7:19 48:9
Steward 2:14 45:25
46:1,2
stick 3:19 47:3 49:9
stream 13:13
Street 1:11
strict 12:8 35:8
stringent 37:25
strongest 33:3
strongly 24:6
studied 36:21
studies 20:18,20
28:4 29:14 40:2
45:12
study 28:6 40:24
44:12
stuff 25:19 26:9
32:13
subcommittee 14:23
submit 9:4 10:4,22
18:2 44:5,18
45:17 48:4 49:20
submits 10:6
submitted 44:12
submitting 21:20 subsidy 45:2
substances 5:3,11
22:8,16
subtle 8:11
successful 23:3
Sue 14:19 26:11
suffering 15:25
39:22
sufficiently 18:10 suggest 13:23
summarizes 9:12
summanu 19:5
summary 18:5 summer 40:15 41:2
46:10
summers 23:18 super 36:20
super 30:20 superintendent
16:19
supervisor 1:10
28:16 31:11
supplies 4:13 5:15
5:16 17:15 19:23
20:1 24:25,25
supply 4:20 43:13
43:18
Supplying 1:5 3:8

4:18 support 29:11 30:17 supposed 47:2 sure 3:17 29:25 31:1 37:3,4,7 40:21 42:14 45:5,9,22 47:4 surface 43:21 surrounding 12:18 13:12 42:17 susceptible 39:23 suspect 25:11 suspend 49:5 swam 41:3 swiftly 13:17 swim 16:1 30:21,22 31:23 46:3,5,10 swimming 23:24 30:23 31:14 switched 34:21 symbolic 24:2 system 25:9 26:6 27:4 34:18 36:20 37:9 45:2 systems 19:5 22:6 25:11 27:22 29:2 35:19 36:9 T T-r-a-u-t-z 38:9 **TABLE 2:1** 

tables 3:18 take 3:10 4:3 5:6 10:11 18:16 19:17 32:6 34:17 36:7 36:11 37:2 38:14 40:1 45:13 47:2 49:7 taken 14:1 22:19 33:5,16 43:18,19 43:25 talk 49:10 talked 31:8 tax 36:4 taxpayers 13:5 team 5:22 15:6 30:21,22 31:1 technical 18:4 technically 47:2 technology 26:21 32:3 tell 8:12 30:24 temporarily 47:5

49:5 ten 26:23 terms 35:6 territory 32:21 tested 34:14,14 testing 15:11 25:8 26:3,4 27:20 29:13 38:18 thank 6:11 10:15 12:9,10,12,17 14:13,14 16:14,15 16:20,24,25 17:6 19:10,12 20:24 21:3 24:11.12.18 24:21 26:11,15 28:10,12 29:22,23 30:3,3 31:13 32:7 32:8,18 34:3 37:12,13,25 38:2 39:10,11 40:4,6 41:6,6,8,10 42:3,4 42:8 45:12,13 46:12,13,25 47:22 49:10,11,24 thanks 4:10 6:13 20:25 24:21 26:16 38:10 there/thereabouts 20:2 thing 24:19 31:9,17 33:3,13 34:24 44:18 47:10 things 6:14 18:20 25:1 30:8 32:24 36:5 42:10 47:13 47:18 think 25:1,4,20 29:3 29:5,21 31:17 32:2,3 33:4,7,8,9 33:14,22 35:14 37:14 39:1 46:20 Third 18:14 19:3 thought 31:24 thousands 28:4 43:11 three 7:2 15:19 18:6 18:19 19:7 21:6 37:17 48:15 Thursday 1:7 3:2 48:18 time 4:5,6 7:22 8:7



8:13,14,15 11:3,7

14:8,9 20:23

	1 .		1	[
21:13 22:9,17	tumor 41:5	12:17 15:5 18:5	we've 29:9,10 40:20	wrong 39:13
23:1 31:22,24	tumors 28:9	20:5 24:17 31:12	43:1,2,2	Wurtsmith 12:24
41:4 42:24 44:12	turned 7:21	35:22,24 37:4,7	weakened 27:4	13:2,19 28:19,23
45:21 47:25 49:24	two 17:21 23:18	37:18 39:18 40:13	web 6:7,8 7:10	39:18 41:17 42:22
timely 30:17	38:9,11,14 44:20	41:17 42:10 45:5	10:14	42:25
timer 11:6	type 16:5	45:12,14,20 46:3	Weed 2:9 26:17	Wusterbarth 2:14
titled 4:18	types 15:2 22:3,4	46:14 47:4,10,16	28:12,14,15,15	41:11 42:5,6,7,7
today 4:16,23 7:17	27:25	49:6	29:19,21 31:10	45:16
8:7,18 12:18		wanted 15:11	43:7,25	
13:16 16:6 38:19	U	wanting 35:1	welcome 3:6 17:21	X
47:25	U.S 4:24 44:7	wants 11:8 35:9	welcomes 16:10	***
today's 6:2,18	unborn 34:10	Washington 32:23	welfare 42:20	<u> </u>
told 14:5	uncertainties 18:21	wasn't 31:11	wells 19:24 28:22	yeah 11:13 29:19
tolerate 14:11	understand 8:17	waste 37:8	30:23 31:9 44:22	36:17 38:4 45:16
tonight 3:22 6:22	20:16 27:24	Wastewater 16:19	44:25	year 19:25 28:6
9:7 14:25 30:20	understanding	Watch 11:16	went 27:10 31:5,10	years 15:19 16:7,7
31:16 32:6,19	38:17	watching 24:24	33:1	16:12 17:21 23:15
47:23 49:11	unenforceable 5:1	36:13,14	weren't 46:21	27:2,11 29:13
tonight's 3:19 4:4,6	unfortunately 14:24	water 1:5 3:8,12	west 1:11 27:11	30:22,25 31:3,3
15:17	26:5	4:11,13,13,14,15	34:12 35:19 36:25	43:2
top 13:6	Unit 1:10	4:18,20,20 5:10	Whitmer 13:24	young 23:8 43:17
total 5:1 22:6 41:23	United 38:16	5:15,16,19,24 6:8	Wi-Fi 26:24	youth 46:5,9
41:25	units 15:20	6:24 11:25 12:2	wife 37:17,24	yup 32:15 34:5
touch 47:9,12,15,17	use 6:20 7:16 8:25	12:16 14:12 15:3	wildlife 13:11 34:10	36:17
toughest 41:22	14:8	15:25 16:21 17:15	35:17	Z
tourism 13:10	useful 18:23	17:16 19:5,9,16	Winn 2:5 11:15	
towers 26:24	user 25:18	19:22,25 20:3,4,6	12:11,14,15,15	zero 14:21
township 14:20	users 29:2	20:8,13 22:3,6,7	wireless 26:21,22	0
28:16,16,19 31:10	v	22:13,25 23:13,20	27:13 28:2,9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Townships 15:21	l —————	24:9,25,25 25:3	wish 7:15	0-0-0- 50:3
Tox- 22:15	vacation 13:10	25:12 26:6,6 27:8	withdrawn 10:9	1
toxic 5:3 22:15	values 13:10 21:19	27:16,23 28:1,23	wives 35:2	1 4:19
23:25 35:10,16	Van 41:3 43:5 46:8	29:2 30:7 31:12	women 22:1 39:21	1-800-632-2720
41:22,24	varsity 46:3	31:14,15 32:20	woods 38:9	
Toxicology 28:7	verbal 9:11	33:2 34:12 35:10	work 5:22 11:21	1:18
toxins 27:25 35:4	Virginia 36:25	35:11,22 36:8,8	13:24 15:5 16:12	1.12 19:24 10 16:7
track 11:6,7 32:12	visiting 16:13	37:1 38:17,21,24	20:23 21:12,18	1016:7
Training 1:10	vital 33:15	39:2,6,7 40:16,19	32:5,7 33:24	104 1:6
transcripts 48:15	voice 42:18	41:22 42:2,11,21	worked 23:18	11 2:5
Trautz 2:12 37:14	vote 9:19 48:19	43:11,13,18,21	workers 29:17	12 2:5
38:3,4,4,7,8,8	vulnerable 21:24	44:19,25 45:3	working 15:12 23:8	12-maker 9:19
Traverse 19:17	24:10 39:20,25	46:7,12	42:14 47:19	12-maker 9.19
treatment 16:19,23	w	waters 46:10	Works 19:17	12-member 9:19 120 44:23
22:7 26:6 35:18	W-e-e-d 28:15	waterways 43:24	world 24:4 28:18	12th 7:5
treaty 32:23,24 tribal 32:20 33:4,8	W-i-n-n 12:16	way 39:3 45:18 46:7	36:7,14	14 2:6
tribal 32:20 33:4,8 trillion 14:9	W-u-s-t-e-r-b-a-r	ways 43:20 we'll 4:1 11:3 45:23	world's 34:17	1400 19:25
P	42:8	47:14 49:7	worries 32:25	<b>15</b> 16:7 49:1
truly 13:15 trust 18:2 44:14	wa- 40:16	we're 3:25 7:18 8:25	worth 19:18 wrapping 8:14	15,000 19:24
trust 18:2 44:14 trusted 13:5	wait 47:7	11:2 20:3,15	wrapping 8:14 writing 48:5 49:20	150 44:23,23
try 8:11 33:24	walleye 31:25	23:23 24:10 33:20	written 8:2 9:6,11	16 1:7 2:6 3:2
trying 21:13 23:22	want 6:11 7:16 8:7,9	36:13,14 44:5	10:7,18,22 21:21	16th 7:7
32:12	10:18,19,21,25	45:11 47:3 49:2	48:16	17 2:7
J4,12	10,10,17,21,20	すびはエサルジサブル	10.10	^ ′ ~ ′ ′
	1	1		1

1700 17:7	<b>51</b> 44:22		
1836 32:23	517 1:12		
19 2:7	525 1:11		
1969 6:18			
1976 4:15	6		
1999 28:17	62:3		
	6:03 3:2		
1st 7:5			
	60 29:13		
2	6200 19:18		
<b>20</b> 16:7 23:15	635,000 17:10		
<b>2012</b> 44:8,13	69,000 21:7		
<b>2018</b> 40:24 43:16,18			
2019 7:5,7	7		
2019-35 3:8	<b>7:55</b> 50:1		
2020 1:7 3:2 7:6	70 14:9		
48:2 49:18	7300 23:25 24:2		
21 2:8 28:25	75 44:23		
24 2:8	, 5 , 1,23		
<b>26</b> 2:9	8		
			ļ
2629 1:16	8:00 47:2 49:6		
26th 48:20	8151 1:17		
27th 48:20	88 44:23		
<b>28</b> 2:9 4:19			
<b>284-6870</b> 1:12	9		
	9,000 28:20		
3	90 20:2 44:23		
3 2:3			
30 2:10 28:7			
306 6:18			
31st 9:4,11 10:20,23			
48:2,4 49:18,20		l	
<b>32</b> 2:10			
34 2:11			
<b>37</b> 2:11			
38 2:12		***************************************	
39 2:12			
399 4:15		[	
<b>3M</b> 23:16 37:1			
4			
4 2:4			
40 2:13			
400 28:22			
41 2:13	į		
42 2:14			
45 2:14			
46 2:15,16			
48909 1:11			
10,70,71,11			
5			
5,000 34:20 36:1			
5:00 48:3 49:19			
5:30 1:7			İ
3;30 1./			
		l l	l 

